Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. RPTS CARR HIF049030 3 4 5 A SMARTER INVESTMENT: 6 7 PATHWAYS TO A CLEAN ENERGY FUTURE THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2021 8 House of Representatives, 9 Subcommittee on Energy, 10 Committee on Energy and Commerce, 11 Washington, D.C. 12 13 14 15 The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 11:30 a.m. 16 via Webex, Hon. Bobby Rush [chairman of the subcommittee], 17 presiding. 18 19 Present: Representatives Rush, Peters, Doyle, McNerney, Tonko, Veasey, Schrier, DeGette, Butterfield, Matsui, Castor, 20 Welch, Schrader, Kuster, Barragan, Blunt Rochester, 21 O'Halleran, Pallone (ex officio); Burgess, Latta, McKinley, 22 Kinzinger, Griffith, Johnson, Bucshon, Walberg, Duncan, 23 24 Palmer, Lesko, Pence, Armstrong, and Rodgers (ex officio). 25 Staff Present: Jeff Carroll, Staff Director; Waverly 26

Gordon, General Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Deputy Staff

Director; Perry Hamilton, Deputy Chief Clerk; Anne Marie 28 Hirschberger, FERC Detailee; Rick Kessler, Senior Advisor and 29 Staff Director, Energy and Environment; Mackenzie Kuhl, Press 30 Assistant; Jourdan Lewis, Policy Coordinator; Elysa Montfort, 31 32 Press Secretary; Lino Pena-Martinez, Policy Analyst; Kaitlyn Peel, Digital Director; Medha Surampudy, Professional Staff 33 Member; Tuley Wright, Senior Energy and Environment Policy 34 Advisor; Sarah Burke, Minority Deputy Staff Director; Jerry 35 Couri, Minority Deputy Chief Counsel for Environment; William 36 37 Clutterbuck, Minority Staff Assistant; Nate Hodson, Minority Staff Director; Peter Kielty, Minority General Counsel; Emily 38 King, Minority Member Services Director; Mary Martin, 39 Minority Chief Counsel, Energy & Environment; Brandon Mooney, 40 Minority Deputy Chief Counsel for Energy; Brannon Rains, 41 Minority Policy Analyst, CPC, Energy, Environment; Peter 42 Spencer, Minority Senior Professional Staff Member, Energy; 43

and Michael Taggart, Minority Policy Director.

- \*Mr. Rush. I am going to symbolically gavel the meeting
- 47 to order. The Subcommittee on Energy will now come to order.
- I want to thank all of you all for your presence here.
- Today the subcommittee is holding a hearing entitled, "A
- 50 Smarter Investment: Pathways to a Clean Energy Future.''
- Due to COVID-19 and this pandemic that we are living in,
- 52 the public health emergency, today's hearing is being held
- 53 remotely. Our members and our witnesses will be
- 54 participating via video conferencing.
- As part of our hearing, microphones will be set on mute
- for the purposes of eliminating any and -- any unnecessary --
- as part of our hearing, microphones will be set on mute for
- 58 the purposes of eliminating inadvertent background noise.
- 59 Members and witnesses, you will need to unmute your
- 60 microphone each time you wish to speak.
- Documents for the record can be sent to Lino Pena-
- 62 Martinez in the email address that we provided through staff.
- All documents will be entered into the record at the
- 64 conclusion of the hearing.
- And now, today, in -- the Subcommittee on Energy
- 66 convenes for its first hearing in the 117th Congress. Before
- I proceed to beginning the opening statements, I would like
- to take a moment to welcome to the subcommittee's new
- 69 majority and minority -- new minority members. I want to
- take a moment just to welcome our new majority members, and

- 71 they include Congresswoman Kim Schrier from Washington.
- 72 Welcome, Kim.
- She is new to the Energy and Commerce Committee. And 2
- veterans of the Energy and Commerce Committee, Congresswoman
- 75 Doris Matsui of California.
- 76 Wave to us, Doris. That is right.
- She will be joining with us, and Congresswoman Kathy
- 78 Castor. Is Kathy on the line? I don't see her on the line.
- 79 They will both be joining us.
- \*Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- \*Mr. Rush. Thank you. I am pleased to have each of our
- new majority members on the subcommittee for this Congress.
- And we also have returning with us our esteemed ranking
- 84 member, Fred Upton, of the great state of Michigan. And
- 85 Fred, I am also pleased that you also are rejoining us as the
- leader of our minority colleagues.
- And would you at this time like to introduce the
- minority's new members?
- \*Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, this is Kathy.
- 90 Fred Upton is not able to be with us today, so we have Dr.
- 91 Burgess that is sitting in to serve as the ranking member on
- 92 the subcommittee. And as far as our new members, I can do a
- 93 little wing action here.
- Let's see here, Debbie Lesko from Arizona, new member to
- 95 the subcommittee; Greg Pence from Indiana, new member to the

- 96 subcommittee. And the rest of you may need to wave at me
- 97 here.
- 98 \*Mr. Rush. I think we have Gary Palmer.
- 99 \*Ms. Castor. Gary Palmer.
- 100 \*Mr. Rush. And Debbie Lesko.
- \*Ms. Castor. Yes.
- 102 \*Mr. Rush. Greg Pence.
- 103 \*Ms. Castor. Yes.
- \*Mr. Rush. And Kelly Armstrong.
- \*Ms. Castor. There we go, Kelly Armstrong. I did see
- 106 him, too.
- 107 \*Mr. Rush. Right.
- 108 \*Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 109 \*Mr. Rush. That is quite all right. Well, I want to
- 110 thank you, Chairman -- the ranking member of the full
- 111 committee. And I will now -- opening -- 5 minutes for an
- opening statement on my part. I recognize myself for 5
- 113 minutes for a brief opening statement.
- In October 2018 the IPCC Panel on Climate Change
- released a special report on global warming. This report
- 116 made several things apparent: global emissions are on the
- rise; changes are necessary before 2030; and, to avoid the
- 118 harshest consequences of this climate change, we must reduce
- global emissions to net zero by 2050. Today the subcommittee
- 120 meets to discuss the reinvigoration of our nation's pathways

- 121 to a clean energy future toward those very ends.
- In the year 2018 the energy sector was the second-
- largest source of U.S. greenhouse gas emissions. This is
- according to the U.S. Information -- Energy Information
- 125 Administration in 2019.
- In the year 2019, approximately 26 percent of the U.S.
- energy-related CO2 emissions came from mining petroleum
- fuels; 33 percent came from natural gas; and 21 percent came
- from burning coal. In the same year, 63 percent of U.S.
- 130 electricity generation came from fossil fuels.
- These past trends may seem daunting. However, reports
- show that a clean energy future is more than possible, and
- that our progress toward this goal is well underway. For a
- 134 case in point, renewables will account for most of the new
- electricity generating capacity for commercial operations in
- the year 2021. In addition, the cost of clean energy sources
- like solar power has increased by up to 82 percent since
- 138 2010, as a result of improved technology and expanding market
- 139 participation.
- 140 This month the National Academies released a report on
- 141 the U.S. energy system. The report emphasizes that achieving
- net zero carbon emissions in our nation by 2050 is not only
- 143 feasible, but that it would also bolster the economy,
- increase the availability of quality jobs, and help address
- systemic and longstanding social injustices.

- It also concludes that near-term emission reduction may 146 be achieved by doubling generation from non-carbon-emitting 147 sources, deploying renewables, scaling back coal and some 148 gas, and preserving nuclear and hydroelectric plants. 149 150 Representatives of the subcommittee, I humbly submit to you that getting the U.S. back in the lead on clean energy is 151 essential for all of us. Yet there are severe consequences 152 153 to our inaction. Inaction is not an option. Recent manifestation of this includes the 154 155 disproportionate impact on the coronavirus on communities that shoulder the burden of energy generation, and what is 156 currently happening in the great State of Texas, where many 157 of you reside, also where at least 4.3 million customers have 158 endured frigid -- I must say, Chicago-like -- temperatures 159 160 without electricity. This is a climate crisis in the State of Texas, and I do intend to have hearings in the future 161 around the failure of our energy center to protect our 162 American citizens in the State of Texas. 163
- Members, through our jurisdiction and through our
  membership, you and I have the tools and we are the team to
  address these issues and other issues, as well. We
  demonstrated these -- this same acumen during the 116th
  Congress by releasing the Clean Future Act, which was a
  framework to get the U.S. on a path to net zero by 2050.

  This year we are in pursuit of complementary policies

171	that would increase our overall transmission capacity to
172	support energy security; advance electric vehicle charging;
173	drive diversity and inclusion; and increase clean energy
174	usage by via strategies like a clean electricity standard
175	It has been said that a journey of 1,000 miles begins
176	with the first step. Today, my dear colleagues, I urge the
177	reinvigoration of our march toward a clean center of gravity
178	and that is to and that is we must march forward to a
179	clean, reliable, and secure energy future.
180	[The prepared statement of Mr. Rush follows:]
181	
182	**************************************

- \*Mr. Rush. And with that, I recognize -- now recognize
- my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Texas who is the
- 186 ranking -- acting ranking member of the Energy Subcommittee.
- I recognize you for 5 minutes for an opening statement,
- 188 Representative Burgess.
- 189 \*Mr. Burgess. And I thank the chair. And let me just
- 190 say, starting out, I also want to thank the Denton
- 191 Independent School District that has provided me one of their
- 192 offices that has both heat and Internet. So I knew I needed
- 193 a reliable source of Internet to be a participant in this
- 194 hearing.
- And Chairman Rush, it is good to be back with you. Of
- 196 course, you and I served for 5 terms on the Energy
- 197 Subcommittee going back to the 119th Congress. I took a
- 198 brief hiatus, but with the retirement of Representatives
- 199 Olson and Flores, is it important to have a Texan back on the
- 200 subcommittee.
- 201 And your hearing today does occur at a critical time in
- the nation's history: 5 million American households left
- 203 without electricity across Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, and
- 204 Arkansas. And we had dangerous record-setting winter
- weather, which ravaged the entire central United States,
- 206 blasting sub-freezing Arctic air all the way well south of
- the Mexican border, and many types of power production across
- 208 all fuel types were challenged and went offline. People were

- left stranded. Power outages have lasted for days. Other
- utility services, particularly water, has also been impacted.
- 211 Tragically, people have lost their lives.
- 212 Americans are rightfully angry. Texans are rightfully
- 213 angry and deserve answers. Given these recent events, it is
- important -- and I welcome your observation to hold
- 215 additional hearings, but part of today's hearing should focus
- on ways to increase the reliability and resilience of our
- 217 electric grid. This is not a partisan issue. When the
- 218 temperature drops below zero, no one cares which party the
- 219 electricity comes from. They just want the heat to come on,
- the lights to go on when they flip the switch.
- As we know, Texas leads the nation in renewable power.
- 222 It has transitioned faster than any other state. Congress
- needs to gather facts and understand the root causes of this
- 224 energy crisis before speeding ahead with new renewable
- 225 mandates that shift away from more reliable components of the
- 226 existing energy fleet.
- In recent years the energy sector has done a rapid
- transformation and reduced our dependence on foreign energy.
- 229 And that is so critically important, and people forget that.
- 230 And it has helped rescue us from the 2008 economic recession,
- and lowered our nation's emissions. This revolution was not
- 232 produced alone by federal spending and mandates, but instead
- created by America's spirit of innovation and our nation's

- 234 dynamic free market economy. Transformation has brought many
- 235 benefits to our nation, including -- but those benefits do
- 236 not eclipse the importance of a stable supply of energy for
- 237 all Americans.
- Investments are made in new energy production and energy
- infrastructure, but the reliability of those systems must
- 240 always be the priority. Unfortunately, some of the early
- 241 actions of this Administration cancelling pipelines,
- 242 prohibiting new energy production on federal lands signaled
- the desire to go in the opposite direction.
- And let me just remind my colleagues that America leads
- the world in reducing its carbon emissions. And some of us
- are still around who sat through the markup of the 2009
- Waxman-Markey climate bill. But in fact, we have reduced
- 248 emissions through market forces greater than what would have
- 249 been reduced if Waxman and Markey's bill had been signed into
- 250 law. So let's not forget the actions that have been produced
- by the free market, and they will reduce our nation's -- they
- 252 -- if we don't pay attention to that we will reduce our
- 253 nation's energy resiliency, and hurt our energy workers
- without any significant impact to global emissions.
- Look, Chairman Rush, you are correct to say that America
- deserves a cleaner energy future, but pursuing a path toward
- 257 that future while ignoring energy reliability may be the
- 258 wrong approach. This subcommittee, this subcommittee should

```
work together to prioritize the reliability of our power
259
     sector. We can pursue methods of expediting clean, American-
260
     made energy products, but we must remove barriers to slowing
261
     down innovation and creating jobs to provide affordable
262
     energy at home for America's -- for Americans at home. Our
263
     energy sector stands ready to meet those challenges, but we
264
     can't let the heavy hand of government become an additional
265
266
     obstacle.
          Look, we have got significant work ahead of this
267
268
     Congress. We can look to America's clean energy future, but
     we cannot afford to rapidly transition our energy system
269
     without assurance of its reliability. We cannot support
270
     policies that destroy entire industries or increase America's
271
     dependence on foreign sources of energy and critical
272
273
     minerals. I hope we can find a bipartisan consensus and keep
     those priorities in mind.
274
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Burgess follows:]
275
276
     ******************************
277
```

```
*Mr. Burgess. And Mr. Rush, I would also ask unanimous
279
     consent -- in my research for this hearing I came across a
280
     Scientific American article that talked about the 2003
281
     northeast blackout 5 years later. And interesting in this
282
283
     look-back article, they referenced the Energy Policy Act of
     2005, which many of us will remember, that created some
284
     additional resiliency because of the challenges to the
285
286
     northeast grid that happened during that summer. And of
     course, we all recall that many lives were lost to the
287
288
     extreme heat conditions, and we can't forget that heat can be
     just as deadly as cold if Americans are unprepared.
289
          But again, Mr. Chairman, I will get this -- have my
290
     staff get this to your staff, but I would ask unanimous
291
     consent to include it as part of the record, and I will yield
292
293
     back.
          *Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back.
294
          Are there any objections?
295
          Hearing no objections, so ordered.
296
          [The information follows:]
297
298
     *********COMMITTEE INSERT******
299
```

- \*Mr. Rush. The chairman now recognizes the chairman of
- 302 the committee, Mr. Pallone, for 5 minutes.
- \*The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Today the energy subcommittee begins its work for this
- 305 Congress renewing our efforts to chart a path to a clean
- 306 energy future. Last Congress was particularly productive for
- 307 the subcommittee, culminating in enactment of the Energy Act
- of 2020. And I commend Chairman Rush, along with many others
- from both sides of the aisle, for their work on this new law
- 310 that was included in the omnibus.
- Last year Chairmen Rush, Tonko, and I released a draft
- of the Clean Future Act, comprehensive climate legislation to
- get us to a 100-percent clean economy by 2050. In the coming
- 314 weeks we plan to introduce an updated version of the Clean
- Future Act that will serve as the basis for comprehensive
- 316 climate action this year.
- The Clean Future Act touches on the whole energy
- 318 economy, from the power sector to buildings to
- 319 transportation, all aspects we will explore at today's
- 320 hearing. The bill includes a federal Clean Electricity
- 321 Standard, or a CES, a policy that has long existed in many
- 322 states. A national CES can play a key role in building a
- 323 clean power sector, which is critical to reducing carbon
- 324 emissions in other economic sectors. And the Clean Future
- 325 Act also sets forth policies to drastically reduce energy

- consumption in the building, transportation, and industrial sectors, among others.
- Now, President Biden has made the climate crisis a
- 329 centerpiece of his Administration, and has already taken bold
- actions to address climate change. I stand ready to work
- with him to enact comprehensive climate legislation, and I
- 332 hope my Republican colleagues will join us in that effort.
- Now, as we discuss the climate crisis, it is important
- 334 to also recognize the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Last
- 335 Congress this subcommittee held a hearing on the impacts of
- the pandemic on the energy sector, including job loss,
- delayed projects, and the effect of pandemic restrictions on
- 338 energy demand. Pandemic-related job losses have also
- resulted in millions of households being unable to pay their
- utility bills, and that is why the reconciliation
- instructions our committee marked up last week included
- 342 additional funding for the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
- Program, or LIHEAP. And LIHEAP helps the growing number of
- qualifying families pay their utility bills, and is
- 345 especially crucial during a pandemic.
- And last, it is critical that we discuss the devastating
- 347 toll this week's severe winter weather is taking on our
- nation. Millions are facing power outages and dangerously
- 349 cold conditions, and these outages are further exacerbated by
- 350 the COVID-19 pandemic.

- Now, earlier this week the energy market in Texas, known as ERCOT, was forced to take 34,000 megawatts of electricity generation off the system. And since Sunday evening, over 25,000 megawatts of mostly fossil-fueled energy were offline.
- Of this number, most of those outages are at gas-fired power plants.
- Those are the facts, as stated by Texas's own regulator.

  Yet some Republicans and media outlets are suggesting

  alternative realities. They are turning a crisis into an

  anti-renewables campaign, and they are conveniently leaving

  out the fact that the majority of the failures have come from

  fossil fuel. So we can't allow the Texas crisis to be used

  as an excuse to discourage movement towards renewables. That

will not help Texas or the United States.

- 365 What failed here was an energy sector that didn't consider fully our changing climate and the extreme weather 366 that comes with it. It was a failure to fully recognize that 367 the 100-year-old storm of yesterday may now be the 10-year 368 storm of today. As both the Department of Energy and fossil 369 370 generation companies reported yesterday, gas pipelines, wells, and plants all froze because they weren't equipped to 371 handle the cold weather. 372
- But I agree -- I heard what Dr. Burgess said, and I

  agree that we need to do more in terms of resiliency. And

  certainly the bill that we are hoping -- an infrastructure

- 376 bill that we are hoping we will be doing, similar or maybe
- 377 even more expansive than the Moving Forward Act that we
- passed last year in the Congress and through this committee,
- 379 will be an opportunity for us to address some of these
- resiliency issues, as well. And those things are also
- included in our Clean Future Act.
- But I do think that the severely limited interconnection
- 383 between ERCOT and the rest of the country probably didn't
- 384 help matters, either. I think it is sad that we saw these
- problems arise 10 years ago with another major storm that hit
- Texas and the Southwest, and the Federal Energy Regulatory
- 387 Commission at the time issued a report, but nothing really
- 388 changed. You know, the fact that Texas is almost like an
- island separated from the rest of the nation's energy grids I
- don't think helps, because it is more difficult for us to get
- 391 power to them in the time of crisis. So hopefully we won't
- ignore this last FERC report, and we will follow up on it.
- And I also want to stress that this committee will
- investigate the Texas crisis further, and we will see what
- other actions we have to take based on that oil report, as
- 396 well as what we find out now. So ultimately, this episode
- underscores the importance of prioritizing clean and
- 398 resilient energy infrastructure, which is exactly what we aim
- 399 to do with this.
- 400 So thank you again. I yield back.

401	[The prepared statement of The Chairman follows:]
402	
403	**************************************
404	

- \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- recognizes the ranking member, Mrs. McMorris Rodgers, for 5
- 407 minutes.
- \*Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want to
- 409 thank Dr. Burgess for the extra effort to sit in the lead
- Republican chair today. He is certainly at the right place
- at the right time for this hearing. Texas has the lowest
- energy cost in the country, along with the fastest transition
- 413 to clean energy.
- With that, let's recognize that there is many good ideas
- for developing cleaner energy systems to ensure that we win
- the future. The key is to recognize how we unleash American
- 417 innovation and free enterprise using all our resources to
- 418 protect our economic and energy security. We should build,
- 419 not destroy. We should use our abundant natural resources
- like hydrogen and natural gas, not shutter them. We should
- 421 enable people to deploy, take risks, improve, and create the
- next great advances so America leads a new era of
- 423 entrepreneurship and innovation.
- 424 We can pursue practical policies to innovate a cleaner
- 425 energy future if we work together. We should be clear-eyed
- about what is at stake if we get this wrong.
- The radical environmental left is pushing top-down, one-
- 428 size-fits-all mandates and costs on Americans, which will
- 429 threaten our nation's energy dominance and our national

- 430 security. This is clear in the repeated attacks on our oil
- and natural gas industry and its people, which has provided
- tremendous opportunity and given the advances -- has actually
- driven the advances in cleaner energy generation that are
- benefitting all around the globe. Yet the left is rejecting
- fossil energy, while also talking about transforming
- America's electricity system in 14 years, and the entire
- energy economy in 30 years.
- How is that possible? What does this transformation
- really mean for our economy? What does it mean for families
- and workers? We should look beyond the rhetoric to
- 441 understand what this is really about, and we should
- 442 understand the consequences on energy, reliability, household
- 443 cost, and security.
- The importance of reliability has been on full, heart-
- wrenching display this week in Texas, the South, and the
- 446 Midwest. At times available electricity could not meet the
- record-high demand for power from the extreme cold. Wind
- 448 turbines across the state froze. Natural gas production was
- 449 shut in. This ultimately deprived the grid of critical
- energy and power, just as the demand spiked. There wasn't
- enough natural gas supply or baseload generation to close the
- gap, especially because of other weather issues and emergency
- 453 priorities to heat homes and hospitals.
- On Monday, to prevent more widespread power failure, the

- Texas grid operator, ERCOT, directed utilities to implement
- 456 outages that eventually affected an estimated 5 million
- 457 households. The emergency exposed systematic weaknesses
- 458 relating in part to over-reliance on intermittent renewables.
- 459 It is a powerful reminder that electricity reliability is a
- 460 life-and-death matter.
- The supply of energy also is a serious pocketbook
- matter, especially for low-income households. Low and
- 463 middle-income families must be top of mind if this discussion
- turns to new, clean energy mandates and taxes. Especially
- during the pandemic recovery families cannot afford an
- increase in their electricity and gasoline bills.
- According to the Department of Energy, states with the
- 468 highest low-income energy burdens, 10 percent or higher, are
- in the Southeast. For mostly heating and cooling, low-income
- 470 households use about 36 percent more power than the national
- 471 average for low-income households in other regions of our
- 472 country. Fortunately, states like my home state of
- Washington also enjoy some of the lowest electricity rates in
- the nation, thanks to our hydropower.
- But imagine how families will be squeezed if top-down
- 476 energy policies increase the price of electricity. What
- 477 happens when people in Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, or
- 478 South Carolina have to pay the same rates as people in
- 479 California or Connecticut?

```
And then there is economic and national security.
480
     rush to green seeks to ban fossil energy and its quality jobs
481
     for millions of people. It will massively increase reliance
482
     on renewables and electrification of transportation.
483
484
     domestic policy has global implications.
          First, it won't do much to reduce global emissions.
485
                                                                 The
     global emissions will keep going up as developed nations seek
486
     access to affordable energy.
487
          It will also hurt America's security and competitive
488
489
     edge. Absent major changes in our domestic mining and
     manufacturing base, increasing reliance on wind, solar, and
490
     electric batteries trades energy security for energy
491
     insecurity. It pushes carbon emissions offshore, and
492
     increases reliance on Chinese supply chains. It does nothing
493
     meaningful for global climate change.
494
          We can do better, and I hope that we all begin to pay
495
     attention to what is really at stake: reliability, jobs,
496
     affordability, and our nation's economic security.
497
          I yield back.
498
499
          [The prepared statement of Mrs. Rodgers follows:]
500
```

\*

501

- 503 \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. The chair would
- like to remind members that, pursuant to committee rules, all
- members' written opening statements shall be made part of the
- 506 hearing record.
- Now I would like to welcome our esteemed witnesses for
- 508 today's hearing.
- The first witness is Dr. Stephen Pacala, who is the
- 510 president (sic) of ecology and evolutionary biology at
- 511 Princeton University.
- Our next witness is Ms. Paula Glover, a friend who is
- 513 the president of the Alliance to Save Energy.
- 514 The next witness is Mr. Craig Gordon, the senior vice
- 515 president of global affairs (sic) at Invenergy.
- The next is Mr. Richard Powell, the executive director
- 517 at ClearPath, Incorporated.
- And finally, last but not least, Mr. David (sic) Camp
- 519 III, who is the chairman of the Beaver County Commissioners.
- I want to thank each and every one of our witnesses for
- 521 being with us today. I must say that our witness -- we have
- 522 -- one of our witnesses have a --1:00 -- a 2:45 hard stop.
- 523 So we want to be mindful of this hard stop for our witness as
- we go forward.
- And to all of our witnesses this morning, we look
- forward to your testimony.
- And now we begin with Dr. Pacala.

You are recognized for 5 minutes for an opening statement.

- 531 STATEMENT OF STEPHEN W. PACALA, PROFESSOR OF ECOLOGY AND
- 532 EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY; PAULA R. GLOVER,
- PRESIDENT, ALLIANCE TO SAVE ENERGY; CRAIG GORDON, SENIOR VICE
- PRESIDENT, GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS, INVENERGY; RICHARD J. POWELL,
- 535 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, CLEARPATH, INC.; AND DANIEL C. CAMP, III,
- 536 CHAIRMAN, BEAVER COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

538 STATEMENT OF STEPHEN W. PACALA

- \*Dr. Pacala. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for this
- invitation to provide testimony. I am here as chairman of
- 542 the National Academies committee that released a report on
- 543 February 2nd that Chairman Rush just mentioned, containing
- 544 policies that would, over the next 10 years, put the U.S. on
- a 30-year path to net zero greenhouse gas emissions.
- The committee was asked to determine how to achieve net
- 547 zero, but importantly, not whether or not the nation should
- 548 do so. The committee was instructed to provide both the
- 549 technological blueprint for the transition to net zero and a
- 550 portfolio of socio-economic policies to ensure that the
- 551 transition is fair and just.
- The first of 2 reports covers CO2 emissions from
- electric power, transportation, industry, buildings, and
- fuels, but not agricultural and forestry carbon sinks, nor
- non-CO2 greenhouse gases that will be covered in the second.

- 556 It covers only federal actions over the first 10 years of the
- 557 30-year transition.
- Lessons learned in a comprehensive review of existing
- information include, first, that the transition is
- 560 affordable. The nation would spend a similar or lower
- fraction of GDP on energy during the transition than it has
- over the past 30 years because of the dramatic drop in the
- cost of wind, solar, and lithium ion batteries.
- The transition would save lives. Medical savings during
- the 2020s would be larger than the costs.
- The transition would create more than a million new net
- jobs, but fossil jobs would decline.
- The energy system today contains substantial injustice.
- 569 Poor and historically marginalized groups suffer
- 570 disproportionate harm from fossil pollution, while receiving
- disproportionately low benefits from fossil energy.
- Past transitions have left legacy workers and
- 573 infrastructure behind. If we do that again, and if we do not
- work to eliminate existing environmental injustice, then
- 575 prohibitive public opposition is likely to develop.
- The report identifies technological goals with
- 577 quantitative targets, including a doubling of the share of
- net zero electricity, increased electrification of transport
- and home heating, and new infrastructure such as electrical
- transmission lines and CO2 pipelines.

- It also identifies socio-economic goals, including
  revitalizing the manufacturing sector, cost-effectiveness,
  increasing high-quality jobs, promoting equity, diversity,
  and inclusion, and fair treatment of communities, businesses,
  and workers during the transition.
- The policy recommendations are summarized in a single
  table, which is in the testimony. The table offers the
  quickest way to assimilate and understand what the report
  recommends. Recommendations include an economy-wide price on
  emissions starting at \$40 per ton, a green bank, and
  standards to ensure an on-schedule transition, including
  zero-emissions electric power and vehicle standards.
- The report calls for regulatory reforms in the
  electricity sector, without which net zero power goals are
  unlikely to be realized.
- It recommends a tripling of federal net zero RD&D.
- It is the first report containing a comprehensive policy 597 portfolio designed from scratch to address the social 598 dimensions of the energy transition. This includes a 599 600 national transition task force to identify workers and communities at risk, regional centers where state and local 601 leaders can learn about what is coming and how to manage it, 602 community block grants for local planning, and an independent 603 national transition corporation that would provide funding to 604 605 address social impacts of the transition, and a comprehensive

- 606 education and training program.
- Some might be tempted to view policies targeting
- deployment of net zero technology as the highest priorities,
- 609 because the social consequences would lag behind deployment.
- 610 However, this view has it backwards, because the
- 611 technological transition and the social disruption that goes
- 612 with it are already occurring, and will inevitably continue.
- The ongoing decline in coal sector employment is already
- 614 hollowing out communities across the nation. The recent
- announcement by General Motors that it will produce only
- electric cars by 2035 is a harbinger of similar inevitable
- declines in oil and gas employment.
- In conclusion, a transition to a net zero economy in the
- 619 United States by mid century is technologically feasible with
- 620 energy system costs that have been manageable in the recent
- 621 past. With appropriate policy, the transition could advance
- 622 a number of national objectives simultaneously: a more fair
- and just energy system; improved international
- 624 competitiveness; revitalized American manufacturing; and
- enhanced energy innovation.
- The transition would also provide new, high-quality
- jobs, but at the cost of lost fossil jobs; eliminate the
- substantial health impacts of fossil fuels; reduce U.S.
- greenhouse gas emissions to zero; and enhance the nation's
- 630 leadership in climate and energy.

631	Thank you.
632	[The prepared statement of Dr. Pacala follows:
633	
634	**************************************
635	

636	*Mr. Rush. The gentleman opening statement. The
637	chair now recognizes Ms. Paula Glover, the president of the
638	Alliance to Save Energy.
639	Paula, it is so good to see you again. And you are
640	recognized for 5 minutes.

- 642 STATEMENT OF PAULA R. GLOVER
- 643
- \*Ms. Glover. Thank you, Chairman. Thank you and good
- afternoon, Chairman Rush, Chairman Pallone, Ranking Member
- 646 McMorris Rodgers, and Dr. Burgess, for holding this hearing.
- A clean energy future that works for everyone is going
- 648 to require careful planning. And we all appreciate your
- leadership. Certainly I do. I want to recognize the members
- of this committee who also serve on the Alliance's honorary
- 651 board: Chairman Rush, Representatives Tonko, Welch, Dr.
- Burgess, Representative McKinley, and Kinzinger. I started
- with the Alliance just about a month ago, and I am really
- looking forward to working with all of you.
- I also want to just send my thoughts out to those folks
- in Texas, my friends, my colleagues, and all of those
- individuals and members of my family who are really going
- 658 through a very tough time, and just want them to know that we
- are all thinking and praying about them.
- I am going to start by saying, you know, there has been
- 661 a lot of back and forth recently about the risks and
- opportunities of the clean energy transition. And I actually
- 663 believe that it boils down to one essential question: How do
- we handle and tackle the climate crisis in a way that uplifts
- 665 every community?
- How do we avoid leaving future generations the costs and

- life-threatening dangers of climate change, while at the same
- time making sure we are not increasing energy costs or
- leaving communities behind?
- 670 We can't make this transition fair unless we are
- thinking of the communities that could be harmed in the
- 672 process, as well as the communities that have already been
- harmed, communities where history tells us we need to do so
- much better at providing clean air, economic opportunity, and
- 675 more affordable energy.
- And if I leave you with one thought with my testimony
- today, I hope it is that energy efficiency is the most
- 678 powerful answer we have for addressing this challenge. And I
- 679 would argue that energy efficiency should be the starting
- 680 point in the conversation about an equitable, clean energy
- 681 transition.
- We can start with jobs. Energy efficiency is often
- 683 overlooked as one of the largest employers in the entire
- 684 energy economy. Even after losing more than 300,000 jobs
- during this -- since this pandemic began, efficiency employs
- 686 more than 2 million Americans. That is about 7 times the
- amount of wind and solar industries combined, and more than
- 10 times the size of the coal workforce.
- Energy efficiency jobs are spread all over the country,
- they are construction workers and HVAC contractors who
- 691 retrofit homes and buildings. They are factory workers

- 692 making windows and insulation. They are electricians and
- 693 plumbers and, increasingly, tech workers designing or
- 694 installing digital controls and systems to manage energy
- 695 demand. These are the type of jobs that will be created if
- 696 we launch a national campaign to modernize our infrastructure
- 697 by retrofitting millions of homes and buildings, creating a
- 698 more efficient transportation system, and cleaning up our
- 699 industrial sector.
- 700 It is an incredible opportunity to create durable,
- 701 skilled trade jobs that pay good wages, and that are
- available in 99 percent of U.S. counties. And if we do it
- 703 right, we can ensure that those opportunities are available
- 704 first for the communities that need them the most, whether it
- is a rural town in West Virginia, or an urban neighborhood in
- 706 Illinois.
- And at the same time, we have to carefully consider
- 708 energy affordability. I started my career more than 30 years
- 709 ago taking payments in a gas utility. And I know firsthand
- 710 about the energy burden that many families deal with. In
- 711 fact, 1 in 5 U.S. households today have -- find themselves
- 712 making a choice at least once a year between paying their
- 713 energy bill, food -- or buying food and medicine. And I
- 714 can't begin to imagine what that must be like.
- I am not here to tell you that energy efficiency is
- 716 going to make that burden disappear. But what it can do is

- 717 deliver hundreds of dollars in lower bills and savings that
- 718 can make the difference for some families. And that cost
- 719 savings is not just for consumers. Energy efficiency
- 720 improvements can cut costs and increase profits for small
- businesses and manufacturing plants, making them more
- 722 productive and competitive.
- Finally, we have a pressing need to address climate
- 724 change. When it comes to greenhouse gas emissions, energy
- 725 efficiency is simply the fastest, cheapest, and most
- of effective solution we have. The International Energy Agency
- 727 projects that energy efficiency using existing technologies
- 728 will account for nearly half of the emission reductions
- needed to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement.
- So what do we need to do to achieve these goals? I am
- 731 going to highlight several policy solutions under this
- 732 committee's jurisdiction, and we urge you at the Alliance to
- 733 consider them as you develop infrastructure and clean energy
- 734 legislation this year.
- First, we have been working with Representative Welch
- 736 and others -- thank you, Congressman -- to develop a new
- 737 program for helping small businesses improve their efficiency
- vith an emphasis on boosting minority-owned businesses and
- 739 businesses in disadvantages -- disadvantaged communities.
- 740 This plan for Main Street efficiency would target federal
- 741 grants to match existing utility programs to provide low and

- 742 no-cost efficiency upgrades to small businesses immediately
- and permanently, lowering their operating expenses. Since 80
- 744 percent of energy efficiency contractors are small businesses
- 745 themselves, this is a small business helping small
- 746 businesses.
- We also strongly support a proposal championed by
- 748 Representative Blunt Rochester -- thank you, Congresswoman --
- 749 to retrofit mission-critical public buildings around the
- 750 country -- our schools, hospitals, airports, and other
- 751 facilities -- not just to be more efficient, but also to be
- 752 safer and more resilient in the face of natural disasters and
- 753 other emergencies. This proposal would leverage federal
- funding to draw billions in private capital through
- 755 performance contracting and other financing and, importantly,
- 756 ensure that at least 40 percent of the projects are in low-
- 757 income or disadvantaged communities.
- 758 We also strongly support expanding core efficiency
- 759 programs at the U.S. Department of Energy, particularly the
- 760 weatherization assistance program. I would emphasize that
- 761 all these proposals, because they are so tailored to creating
- jobs, go hand in hand with improved worker training programs.
- We support Chairman Rush's longstanding workforce
- legislation, the Blue Collar and Green Collar Jobs Act,
- 765 because that ensures that everyone seeking a skilled position
- 766 can get one.

767	In addition, while not under your jurisdiction, we also
768	are looking at tax incentives that will help us grow our
769	grow efficiency improvements in our homes and buildings. I
770	believe efficiency is a foundational solution to the
771	challenges that you are trying to address. And we at the
772	Alliance are looking forward, and we are eager to working
773	with you to find the best solutions for all of our
774	communities. Thank you.
775	[The prepared statement of Ms. Glover follows:]
776	
777	**************************************

779 \*Mr. Rush. Thank you, Ms. Glover. The chair now

780 recognizes Mr. Gordon.

Mr. Gordon, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

## 783 STATEMENT OF CRAIG GORDON

- 785 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Subcommittee Chairman Rush, Mr.
- 786 Chairman Pallone, Ranking Member Rodgers, and Acting Member
- 787 Burgess for inviting me to participate in today's hearing.
- 788 My name is Craig Gordon, and I am senior vice president of
- 789 government affairs at Invenergy.
- Invenergy is a privately-held clean energy company that
- 791 develops, owns, and operates large-scale renewables, gas-
- fired generation, as well as energy storage and electric
- 793 transmission. Starting with just 6 employees in 2001,
- Invenergy will employ more than 1,500 employees by the end of
- 795 the year. Invenergy has developed 175 utility-scale clean
- energy projects, with the capacity of over 27,000 megawatts,
- and has completed more than 40 billion in project financings.
- 798 We focus on renewables because, as our CEO, Michael Polsky,
- 799 loves to say, it just makes sense.
- Before I begin my testimony, I would like to briefly
- 801 address the recent reliability issues in Texas and
- 802 neighboring states. These events underscore the importance
- of your work to ensure a reliable and affordable grid as the
- realities of climate change are hitting us in unexpected
- 805 ways.
- On behalf of Invenergy I want to say that we are deeply
- 807 troubled and saddened by the events that have unfolded. The

market and the resources themselves were not designed to 809 sustain such extreme cold weather -- wind, gas, coal, and 810 nuclear operations were all disrupted -- no single resource 811 812 type bears all the blame; second, since Texas is electrically isolated from the rest of the grid, available generation 813 elsewhere could not be imported to address the shortfall. 814 815 Even now, as the crisis in Texas and elsewhere continues, the real investigations into what went wrong 816 817 haven't even begun. But the recommendations I made in the file testimony already point to the path forward. They were 818 true before the disaster hit, and they are painfully true 819 820 today. First, transmission. There is simply no way to achieve 821 822 the ambitions of this Administration and the American people without more of it. Higher penetrations of renewables 823 throughout the country require a massive investment in 824 transmission infrastructure. Transmission connecting diverse 825 regions of the country and different types of technologies 826 827 with complementary generation profiles is key to solving this challenge. 828 829 Second, long-term energy policy. Without a national policy to direct the country toward a decarbonized grid, we 830

will only make incremental progress. And without a long-term

approach, the industry will not be able to plan for projects

system failed in Texas for 2 reasons: first, because the

808

831

- and infrastructure across the multi-year development and
- 834 supply chain timelines that are required for these huge
- investments. A patchwork of state policies has filled the
- void of a federal policy so far, but real progress has been
- 837 limited because every state does it differently. An
- overarching goal would align all states and help address
- 839 thorny issues.
- Additionally, there are several other policies that
- aren't squarely under the jurisdiction of this committee that
- 842 are critical to meeting these goals.
- First, Congress should consider policies that allow for
- monetization of energy tax credits at 100 percent of their
- value to address the tightening tax equity market.
- Second, Congress should consider federal incentives like
- 847 an investment tax credit for transmission to unlock
- 848 renewables and improve reliability of the grid.
- Third, Congress should increase resources and develop
- advanced technologies to ensure the long-term compatibility
- of renewable energy and our national security.
- The transition to a decarbonized grid will create
- 853 significant socio-economic benefits. For example, we create
- good-paying jobs in rural and historically disadvantaged
- 855 communities. Invenergy invests in training and STEM programs
- 856 to produce the next generation of workers and communities we
- 857 serve. Approximately 10 percent of Invenergy's employees are

veterans, and we continue to recruit from that great talent 858 859 pool. In addition to job benefits, the affordable, emissions-860 free power that our industry generates can help alleviate 861 862 environmental burdens, especially in low-income areas, or those most susceptible to harmful environmental impacts. 863 Indeed, a thoughtful expansion of clean energy can contribute 864 to a just transition in an equitable, clean-energy economy. 865 The urgency with which we must all tackle this challenge 866 867 has never been greater. Fortunately, we have the tools to do The path to achieving our goals is not mysterious. 868 Transmission is as core to the economy of the future as the 869 highway system is to interstate commerce today. What we have 870 before us is a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to tackle the 871 872 most existential threat modern mankind has ever faced. And we must, because it just makes sense. 873 Thank you again for the opportunity to address this 874 subcommittee. 875 [The prepared statement of Mr. Gordon follows:] 876

877

\*Mr. Rush. I want to thank you. Our next witness is

Mr. Powell.

Mr. Powell, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

## 884 STATEMENT OF RICHARD J. POWELL

885

891

funding.

\*Mr. Powell. Good afternoon, and thank you, Chairmen
Rush and Pallone, Dr. Burgess, and Ranking Member McMorris
Rodgers, and members of the committee. I lead ClearPath. We
advance policies that accelerate clean energy and industrial
innovation. An important note: we receive no industry

As I stated the last time I had the honor to address 892 893 this committee, climate change is an urgent challenge that merits significant policy action at every level of government 894 and the private sector. We need look no further than Texas 895 and across the Midwest to see the havoc extreme weather can 896 have on the energy system. As America creates the grids of 897 898 the future, we must utilize all forms of clean energy to ensure reliability. 899

As this committee considers its part in U.S. climate and clean energy policies, those solutions should be ambitious, but also technology inclusive, politically realistic, and pragmatic. Policies must also support U.S. jobs.

Too often solutions are oversimplified to a set of false
choices: renewable versus fossil; economy versus
environment; immediate action versus inaction. The reality
is solutions to make the global clean energy transition
cheaper, faster, and more flexible.

- Policy proposals must also reflect the global nature of 909 the challenge. A molecule of CO2 emitted in Shanghai has the 910 same impact as one released in Chicago. Policies like fuel 911 switching, shutting down traditional energy production, or 912 913 simply subsidizing certain technologies will do little to impact global emissions, and may lead to loss of American 914 jobs. A more effective strategy is rooted in American clean 915 916 energy abundance, innovation, and exports.
- Today I will, first, level-set on where we are today;

  second, discuss policy to achieve a clean power future

  affordably and reliably; and third, look at options to reduce

  U.S. industrial emissions.
- So where are we today? Emissions are significantly 921 down. Retail electricity prices have been flat, helping 922 923 manufacturing jobs come back to America. Returning these jobs is also leading to lower global emissions because our 924 environmental standards are tougher than China's. America's 925 largest electric utilities, including Southern Company, Xcel 926 Energy, Duke Energy, and DTE have committed to reaching net 927 928 zero emissions by 2050. Sixty-eight percent of the country is now served by a utility with a significant carbon goal. 929
- But these utilities have emphasized that many of the
  24/7 clean technologies required to get them to that zero are
  not commercially available today. Xcel Energy said, even
  with their first-rate access to wind and sun, existing

- 934 technology is sufficient to reach only 80 percent clean. We
- need policies to enable technologies that can eliminate the
- 936 final 20 to 50 percent of power sector emissions.
- According to the International Energy Agency, only 2 of
- 938 14 critical power technologies are on track to deploy. We
- 939 recommend that policymakers now work with industry, not
- against them. The Energy Act of 2020 is a perfect example.
- The most significant energy legislation in over a decade,
- your new law lays the foundation for a comprehensive
- ommercialization strategy that focuses the world-class
- American innovation engine on these key technologies. It
- includes more than 20 major new demonstration programs for
- long duration storage, carbon capture, advanced nuclear,
- 947 geothermal, and direct air capture. It also expands DoE's
- 948 work in industrial emissions and hydrogen.
- We congratulate you on the Energy Act, and now we must
- 950 look to implementation. Ensuring accountability at DoE and
- 951 appropriately investing so your legislative success goes from
- 952 letters in law to clean steel in the ground.
- Now, getting it built. We have all heard the Biden
- 954 Administration's mission to build back better. But right now
- 955 we can only build new clean energy and reduce CO2 emissions
- 956 as fast as we can permit new projects. The mission ought to
- 957 be to build cleaner faster. Currently, the federal
- 958 permitting process can take 5 to 10 years to complete, and

- 959 cost millions of dollars. The good news, your colleagues
- have introduced a number of proposals to modernize.
- Lastly, financing. Large-scale energy innovation needs
- 962 to bring together private and public investment to scale up
- deployment and bring down costs. At the end of 2020 and
- 964 early this year, you hit a policy trifecta for carbon
- 965 capture, new aggressive R&D authorizations, a carbon capture
- 966 tax credit, 45Q extension, and final administrative rules on
- 967 how developers can properly claim the credit. While 45Q was
- a major victory, we also need a better structure for helping
- 969 incentivize big investments and driving down costs. The
- 970 Energy Sector Innovation Credit would update the energy
- 971 portion of the tax code by allowing cutting-edge technologies
- 972 to gain commercial viability.
- Now, our power sector work has been that the U.S. will
- not meaningfully reduce emissions without more clean and
- 975 affordable technologies. This is even truer in the
- 976 industrial sectors. More than 10 million hardworking
- Americans are employed there, and ensuring those jobs stay in
- 978 America must remain a priority. Energy-intensive, trade-
- 979 exposed industries like steel-making absolutely require
- affordable new technologies to help them decarbonize.
- 981 Without them, we risk not only losing essential U.S. jobs,
- 982 but leaking the industrial activity to countries with worse
- 983 emissions, like China, effectively increasing the risks of

```
climate change.
984
         A serious debate on climate solutions must include a
985
986
     dose of political and technical realism. Climate change is
     an urgent problem that must be addressed today. It is
987
988
     imperative for all sides to agree that building cleaner
     energy in America will rebound our economy from COVID-19,
989
     create jobs, and have a significant global impact.
990
991
          Thank you for this opportunity. I look forward to the
     discussion.
992
993
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Powell follows:]
994
     995
```

```
997 [Pause.]
998 *Voice. You may need to unmute.
999 [Pause.]
1000 *Mr. Rush. Mr. Camp, you are now recognized for 5
1001 minutes.
1002
```

- 1003 STATEMENT OF DANIEL C. CAMP, III
- 1004
- 1005 \*Mr. Camp. Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman,
- 1006 Majority Chairman Rush, Dr. Burgess, Chairman Pallone,
- 1007 Ranking Member McMorris Rodgers. I want to thank you for
- 1008 having me be part of today's important hearing.
- 1009 As Chairman Rush said, my name is Daniel Camp. I
- 1010 currently serve as the chairman of the Beaver County Board of
- 1011 Commissioners.
- Beaver County and most of Pittsburgh Region's affinity
- 1013 and strong endorsement for the energy sector isn't tied to a
- 1014 common political ideology, because the support crosses
- 1015 political boundaries. The energy sector support can't be
- 1016 limited to one particular generation, because many Boomers,
- 1017 Millennials, and those in between living in Western
- 1018 Pennsylvania are supportive of our energy sector in Western
- 1019 PA. In my opinion, our support of the energy sector can be,
- in large part, due to the family-sustaining jobs they have
- 1021 been providing for many years.
- 1022 Therefore, policy -- tax through increased taxes,
- 1023 regulation, and diverse rhetoric against certain types of
- 1024 producers within the energy sector are justifiably seen as
- 1025 personal attacks by those working within those specific
- 1026 sectors, as well as the businesses benefitting those workers
- 1027 and their families.

Ultimately, if these attacks are achieved through new 1028 1029 public policy, they are risking these workers having the ability to pay their mortgage and pay their own utility 1030 bills. Please just think about that when you are considering 1031 1032 The desire for some policymakers to kill a particular industry and to invoke punitive policies against that 1033 industry alone will impact folks in my area in a way that 1034 jeopardizes their ability to put a roof over their family's 1035 heads and continue to keep food on their tables. 1036 1037 The reality of this is that hundreds of thousands of people, many working in our trade unions in Western PA, rely 1038 on the natural gas industry's ability to produce natural gas 1039 in the Marcellus and Utica shales. And thousands of moms and 1040 dads rely on CONSOL Bailey's mine to provide for their 1041 children each and every month. Combine those jobs with 1042 downstream jobs whose survival directly depends on those 1043 energy sources being readily available and affordable -- yes, 1044 in Beaver County that includes Shell's petrochemical 1045 multibillion ethylene cracker plant. 1046 1047 But let's be reminded, Shell is the fourth largest company in the world. I am not going to sit here today and 1048 argue that they can't afford to pay higher prices for their 1049 feedstock. But I know small manufacturing companies that 1050 1051 can't afford the same price increases, nor have the Capex dollars to retrofit their plants to an alternative energy

- 1053 source.
- 1054 The manufacturing sector has been -- has seen a
- 1055 resurgence recently, because of the affordability and readily
- 1056 available energy resources that we have here. As you know,
- 1057 regulations that increase the cost of energy production, even
- on large companies like Shell, EQT, Chevron will certainly be
- 1059 passed down the supply chain and ultimately be paid by their
- 1060 vendors, and even their customers. That means truck drivers,
- 1061 food workers, local union workers, power plants, and even
- 1062 homeowners will incur those higher costs, too.
- 1063 Many of these small regional companies that can't afford
- 1064 those increases -- the situation in Western Pennsylvania and
- our support for all energy sources can be summarized by
- 1066 looking at the employment statistics.
- Now, I am aware that some people and groups will distort
- 1068 statistics to fit their agenda. But that is not my reason
- 1069 for being here today. I am merely here to give my personal
- 1070 observation about reasons behind why so many people that I
- 1071 represent support this energy sector in Pennsylvania. That
- is the natural gas industry supports almost 24,000
- 1073 production-related jobs.
- 1074 Pennsylvania jobs are specifically attributed to the
- natural gas industry's total 106,000 people, and an
- 1076 outstanding 323,000 jobs are supported solely by that
- 1077 industry. The petroleum and oil industry, almost 24,000 jobs

```
associated with production alone. Combine natural gas and
1078
      oil, $23 billion in wages for Pennsylvanians. The coal
1079
      industry directly supports more than 10,000 jobs.
1080
      5,000-plus indirect jobs. Wind and solar combined for 8,000,
1081
1082
      and hydro, 400.
           There may be other others who testify that certain types
1083
      of energy have down -- have done wonderful things for their
1084
1085
      local economies and communities. But the reality today is
      that some have not had the same impact as the energy sector.
1086
1087
      And therefore, the support for those others are very
      proportional.
1088
           I thank you for your time, and I look forward to
1089
1090
      answering any questions. Again, thank you.
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Camp follows:]
1091
1092
      ********COMMITTEE INSERT******
1093
```

- 1095 \*Mr. Rush. I want to thank the witness. And we have
- 1096 now completed all the opening statements for the witnesses,
- and we will now move to member questions. Each member will
- 1098 have 5 minutes to ask questions of our witnesses. And I will
- 1099 start by recognizing myself for 5 minutes.
- 1100 A component of the National Academies report on
- 1101 decarbonization involves the elimination of inequities in the
- 1102 current energy system that already severely disadvantage the
- 1103 disenfranchized. In the coming days I intend to introduce a
- 1104 bill to establish a Department of Energy office to advance
- 1105 principles of energy equity and all the conditions and
- 1106 resources to that very end.
- 1107 Dr. Pacala, I want to ask you. What else must we do to
- 1108 eliminate the inequities of the current energy system, while
- 1109 creating, at the same time, a clean energy future?
- 1110 [No response.]
- \*Mr. Rush. Dr. Pacala? Will you unmute, Dr. Pacala?
- 1112 You are muted.
- 1113 [No response.]
- \*Mr. Rush. Can you hear me? Dr. Pacala?
- 1115 [No response.]
- 1116 \*Mr. Rush. Dr. Pacala?
- 1117 [No response.]
- \*Dr. Pacala. Can you hear me now?
- 1119 \*Mr. Rush. Yes, we hear you now, Dr. Pacala.

- \*Dr. Pacala. Okay, sorry. The system was -- we have a
- 1121 big storm going here, and I think the wires are blowing
- 1122 around. It would not unmute.
- 1123 \*Mr. Rush. All right.
- \*Dr. Pacala. So our report -- the committee included
- 1125 experts in environmental justice, and experts on the social
- 1126 consequences of technological transitions and what to do
- 1127 about it.
- 1128 The -- as a -- for a climate and energy person like me,
- 1129 it was a real education to learn how much inequity is built
- into our current energy system, with disproportionate health
- exposure to fossil pollutants in communities of color and
- low-income workers across the country, how much energy prices
- 1133 contribute to poverty, how unavailable the kinds of
- opportunities that we afford people, like tax credits for
- 1135 electric cars are to low-income communities who lack capital,
- and how difficult it is for low-income communities often to
- 1137 take advantage of federal programs that do exist because, for
- instance, their homes can be noncompliant with codes.
- 1139 So we recommended a sort of an integrated portfolio to
- 1140 address the ongoing energy injustice, and forward-looking to
- 1141 have the transition itself also be fair and just, because
- 1142 workers are -- some communities and workers would be
- otherwise damaged. This starts with a task force, a national
- task force to map where the energy injustice is in the

- 1145 country. There are good sector-specific studies, but nothing
- 1146 comprehensive. So we need first a top-down look at this.
- And then we have an integrated program of a White House
- office to coordinate 10 regional centers where
- 1149 representatives and mayors and others can get together to
- learn what can be done and to plan a national transition
- 1151 corporation that works with a green bank to provide capital,
- 1152 community block grants, so that they can plan and then apply
- for projects; a DoE extension service to provide technical
- know-how; a comprehensive education and training program;
- 1155 additional fundings in -- funding in LIHEAP and the
- 1156 Weatherization Assistance Program.
- 1157 And so, in combination, the -- this package is designed
- 1158 to provide workers with multiple options during the
- 1159 transition, and to protect communities that would lose a
- 1160 dominant employer, and to eliminate the injustice that we
- 1161 have built into the energy system to date.
- 1162 \*Mr. Rush. All right, thank you. I have -- my time is
- almost out, according to the clock, although I think that the
- 1164 -- well, let me just yield back the balance of my time.
- 1165 And now the chair recognizes the chairman of the full
- 1166 committee, Mr. Pallone, for 5 minutes.
- 1167 [Pause.]
- \*Mr. Rush. Frank, you got to unmute, Frank.
- \*Mr. Burgess. So, Mr. Chairman?

- 1170 \*Mr. Rush. Yes?
- 1171 \*Mr. Burgess. This is Burgess.
- \*Mr. Rush. Oh, Mr. Burgess.
- 1173 \*Mr. Burgess. Sometimes we go to the acting ranking
- 1174 member --
- \*Mr. Rush. Yes, absolutely. My error. Please forgive
- 1176 me.
- \*Mr. Burgess. Well, don't --
- 1178 \*Mr. Rush. You are now recognized, the acting ranking
- member of the subcommittee, my friend, Dr. Burgess, for 5
- 1180 minutes.
- \*Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Chairman Rush.
- 1182 And Ms. Glover, welcome to you. I have been on your
- 1183 board for some time, and I have always believed that energy
- 1184 efficiency is the common ground that we probably can find
- 1185 between all of the disparate political philosophies that
- 1186 weigh in on these energy questions.
- And I will just tell you my own experience with energy
- 1188 efficiency has really taught me just exactly what you are
- 1189 saying, that you can achieve 40 percent or greater reductions
- in your energy consumption. So if we look at it just from
- the standpoint of the consumer, by making wise choices with
- energy efficiency, whether it be in retrofitting a home, a
- new build, or even just a selection of particular appliances
- 1194 or products, you -- your group does bring a wealth of

- 1195 expertise and knowledge and a significant voice to the
- 1196 discussion. So I thank you for being here today.
- I do an energy efficiency summit every non-COVID year in
- 1198 my district, and I find it to be very well attended, and
- 1199 people are actually hungry for the type of information that
- 1200 you provide.
- 1201 \*Ms. Glover. Thank you, Dr. Burgess.
- \*Mr. Burgess. And Mr. Rush, I think too, you know, in
- 1203 the future, we would do well to include Ms. Glover in future
- 1204 discussions because energy efficiency sometimes just kind of
- 1205 gets pushed to the side. But it is one of the most readily
- 1206 available to the end energy consumer -- a way that they have
- of impacting their energy purchases.
- 1208 Mr. Powell, thank you for being on our group today.
- 1209 Thanks for your testimony. Can you just -- you did a very
- 1210 great job in your written testimony providing information
- 1211 about grid reliability. So the changing of the energy
- 1212 sector, I would infer from that that you believe has weakened
- 1213 our energy reliability.
- \*Dr. Pacala. Can you hear me?
- 1215 \*Mr. Burgess. Yes.
- \*Dr. Pacala. Okay, good. Well, so our committee didn't
- investigate whether or not there has been any short-term
- 1218 decrease in grid reliability. What we did was to focus on
- 1219 how to decarbonize the grid and maintain its high

- 1220 reliability. And this is, of course, technologically
- 1221 feasible. The key, of course, is to have not just -- is to
- 1222 have firm sources of power that can be relied upon at any
- 1223 time. As we have seen in Texas, when the firm sources of
- 1224 power fail, you are in trouble.
- 1225 And also it is important to -- transmission, because you
- 1226 can interconnect areas from, you know, areas where demand is
- lower than average to areas where demand is higher than
- 1228 average.
- So the -- I want to be very clear that it is possible to
- build a net zero electricity grid that is as reliable as the
- grid we have today, or as the grid that we had 10 years ago.
- \*Mr. Burgess. So if I may, I got notice over the
- 1233 weekend -- I believe it was on Saturday -- that ERCOT was
- buying power from Mexico and Southwest Power Pool. I presume
- 1235 that that was a price phenomenon, rather than a weather
- 1236 phenomenon. But obviously, those sources were closed off as
- 1237 soon as it got cold in those neighborhoods, as well. But
- 1238 ERCOT is not an entirely closed system. There are inputs and
- there are egresses into other parts of the grid.
- Mr. Gordon, I wonder if I might ask you: You operate
- 1241 wind turbines in Texas, is that correct?
- 1242 \*Mr. Gordon. Yes, sir, that is correct.
- 1243 \*Mr. Burgess. And you also operate natural gas
- 1244 facilities in Texas, is that correct?

- 1245 \*Mr. Gordon. That is correct.
- 1246 \*Mr. Burgess. Can you speak to the overall impact on
- the reliability of both of those as energy sources?
- \*Mr. Gordon. Yes. So I think, to answer your question,
- 1249 Congressman Burgess, we saw an unprecedented weather event
- 1250 hit Texas over the last week, which included significant
- 1251 icing on wind turbines. Our wind turbines are designed for
- 1252 cold-weather operation, so our turbine operations weren't
- impacted by the temperature so much as they were by
- 1254 significant icing. So we had icing, you know, for several
- days, and our technicians had worked around the clock to try
- 1256 to, you know, get the icing -- so they can resume operations.
- On our natural gas facility we have a peaking plant in
- 1258 Ector County, and we were unable to procure gas for the plant
- over the sustained time of this event. So our inability to
- 1260 get gas prevented us from operating. I think what our
- 1261 experience was is consistent with what other gas generators
- 1262 experienced, as well. Because our facility did not have dual
- 1263 fuel, we weren't able to operate. Had, you know, an ERCOT
- 1264 system been designed to pay for capacity as other systems do,
- our facility could have had dual fuel capabilities. But
- there is just no compensating that right now to do that.
- \*Mr. Burgess. Right. There has actually been a move
- 1268 away from dual fuel capabilities for some number of years.
- 1269 And although -- and I am going to yield back. And I can

- 1270 appreciate that it is an unprecedented -- but, you know, this
- happened in 2011, the same situation occurred, it just didn't
- 1272 last as long. So -- and I remember Governor Perry's response
- 1273 to that was to recommend the construction of several new coal
- 1274 power plants to sort of bolster the energy grid in Texas. He
- 1275 was rebuffed in that by the mayors of Dallas and Houston, who
- 1276 did not want to see new coal generation built in Texas. Some
- 1277 redundancy, clearly, is necessary.
- But thank you, Mr. Rush, I will yield back my time.
- 1279 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. And now that we
- 1280 return to regular order, I will now yield 5 minutes to the
- chairman of the full committee, Mr. Pallone, for 5 minutes
- 1282 for questioning.
- 1283 Mr. Pallone, you are recognized.
- \*The Chairman. I will unmute myself. Thank you,
- 1285 Chairman Rush.
- 1286 I am trying very hard today and in the future to have us
- move towards a collective, you know, bipartisan response to
- 1288 the climate crisis. I mentioned our Clean Future Act, which
- 1289 has been introduced, but I also want the Republican members
- 1290 to understand that, if at all possible, we would like to see
- 1291 a bipartisan response to the climate crisis.
- 1292 And I am concerned today, starting with the governor of
- 1293 Texas, that, you know, that somehow renewables are being
- 1294 blamed for this, what happened in Texas, or the suggestion is

- 1295 being made that we shouldn't move towards -- you know, not
- 1296 necessarily by members of this committee, but the suggestion
- is being made that this should be some reason for us to stop
- 1298 moving towards a clean energy future, or not encouraging
- 1299 renewables.
- 1300 And, you know, I really wish that we could avoid that,
- 1301 because I do think that renewables have to be a major part of
- 1302 this. It is not to say that we are going to rule out fossil
- 1303 fuels, or gas, or hydroelectric -- which is, actually, a
- 1304 renewable, hydro is a renewable. So I don't know. I just
- 1305 you know, I don't want this devastating situation in Texas to
- 1306 be blamed on renewables, because I just think that is false.
- 1307 The blame lies in the failure to properly consider how
- 1308 climate change and extreme weather events impact the grid.
- And the answer, as Dr. Burgess said, is to move towards
- 1310 more resiliency with the grid and other -- and also
- 1311 resiliency for, you know, for power lines and gas lines and
- 1312 everything else, as well as looking towards the issue of
- 1313 whether or not it may -- you know, there should be more
- interplay between the Texas grid and the grids in the other
- 1315 part of the country.
- So let me just ask Mr. Gordon. Based on recent
- 1317 statements from ERCOT, it appears that, although 12,000
- 1318 megawatts of wind and solar did go offline, the region was
- 1319 only expecting to rely upon 2,800 megawatts of wind this

- winter to meet energy demand. Meanwhile, ERCOT lost well
- over 25,000 megawatts of thermal generation, much of it
- natural gas, that it was relying on to meet the winter energy
- 1323 demand.
- So, again, I am not trying to get into this, but I think
- that the suggestion is being made that renewables are the
- 1326 cause of this power crisis. But it is not -- I want you to
- 1327 comment. I mean, is it fair to say that the failure to
- 1328 ensure a reliable natural gas supply was a major cause of the
- outages that we are now facing, as compared to any failure of
- 1330 renewables, if you will?
- \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Chairman. I guess, to answer
- 1332 your question, again, we don't want to cast aspersions on any
- 1333 particular type of technology, either. Having said that,
- 1334 wind, as everyone knows, is naturally variable, and it goes
- up and it goes down hour by hour, day by day. And, as ERCOT
- 1336 has noted, wind, as a portfolio in the system, actually
- 1337 outperformed day-ahead expectations.
- So when all things were considered, wind did better than
- 1339 ERCOT's own system operators expected it to. And, as for
- what happened to the natural gas supply system, I really
- don't have insight into what happened there, other than I
- 1342 suppose it was too cold for gas to flow.
- \*The Chairman. But I mean, right now, I mean, ERCOT was
- much more dependent on the natural gas generation to meet the

- 1345 winter energy demand. I mean, there is no question of that.
- 1346 I mean, that is just a fact, correct?
- \*Mr. Gordon. That is true. I mean, ERCOT has coal and
- 1348 nuclear and natural gas and wind, and all work in concert
- 1349 with each other. They are economically dispatched, and we
- don't run more gas than we need to when the wind is up, and
- 1351 we expect -- and ERCOT knows that gas will be available when
- the wind is down. It is, you know, how the system has
- operated pretty much flawlessly for a decade.
- \*The Chairman. Right. But, you know, my concern is --
- 1355 I don't know if you want to answer this, but -- maybe I will
- just say it, that, look, the bottom line is that Texas was
- 1357 not prepared for this. You know, gas pipelines in Texas are
- 1358 not, you know, insulated the way they are in the Northeast.
- 1359 The bottom line is that Texas and all of us had to prepare
- 1360 for these extreme weather events. And more must be done
- 1361 across the board, whether it is -- you know, whether it is
- 1362 coal powered, gas, wind, whatever it is.
- I just don't think it is fair to suggest that somehow
- 1364 wind was the real problem here, or that renewables were a
- 1365 real problem here. I mean, they don't even rely on those
- 1366 that much in the winter. And -- but if you don't want to
- 1367 comment on that, you don't have to. If you want to, go
- 1368 ahead, you have got 10 seconds.
- 1369 \*Mr. Gordon. Okay, yes. I mean, I think wind is a --

- is often the whipping boy of the energy industry. So we are
- 1371 kind of used to it. But it is unfair, and it is untrue. If
- we had more infrastructure, transmission infrastructure, this
- 1373 could have been avoided.
- \*The Chairman. All right. Thank you very much, Mr.
- 1375 Gordon.
- 1376 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- \*Mr. Rush. The chair now recognizes the ranking member,
- 1378 Mrs. McMorris Rodgers.
- \*Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want to
- 1380 start just by saying to the chairman of the full committee,
- 1381 Mr. Pallone, that I appreciate you saying that you would like
- 1382 to work with us, Republicans and Democrats, to move to the
- 1383 clean energy future. We would welcome that. We would love
- to work together on innovation and removing regulatory
- 1385 barriers to more clean energy.
- 1386 Our concern is really when American energy resources,
- 1387 whether it is pipelines like Keystone, are cancelled with the
- 1388 stroke of a pen, or other executive orders are removing
- 1389 American energy resources and fuel sources, and really
- impacting America's leadership and our future that is
- important to our economy, as well as our national security.
- But I want you to know we stand ready to work together.
- 1393 And I think these are important discussions that we are
- 1394 having.

- I appreciated -- Mr. Powell, I liked your theme about 1395 build cleaner faster. So I would like to explore that a 1396 little bit more with you, because we had testimony in the 1397 Environment Subcommittee last week that highlighted a serious 1398 1399 90 percent of solar panels are imported; 80 percent of the key components for wind turbines are imported. Asian 1400 companies dominate global battery production, and account for 1401 80 percent of all planned factories. China also dominates 1402 critical minerals, it supplies 90 percent of the rare earth 1403 1404 minerals. And China right now is announcing that they will allow the banning of exports of strategic minerals to 1405 companies and nations that are considered a national security 1406 1407 threat. That is a problem. So today, we -- you know, we continue to hear this 1408
- drumbeat of building out the wind and the solar energy, and 1409 restricting the oil and natural gas development. 1410 a collision course. And what that means is that we are going 1411 to be losing our hard-earned energy independence, and become 1412 reliable on these vulnerable supply chains from countries 1413 1414 like China, or will be offshoring our emissions to nations with lower standards. So that is no help for the climate, 1415 and it will harm our own security. 1416
- So, Mr. Powell, I wanted to start -- because I don't
  think that this is an acceptable path for American
  leadership, and for us to win the future. So would you just

- 1420 comment on how you believe the United States should focus on
- 1421 building on our own strengths, our -- and ensure that we have
- 1422 a secure energy supply, and that we are also addressing
- 1423 global emissions?
- \*Mr. Powell. Absolutely. Thank you so much, Ranking
- 1425 Member McMorris Rodgers. Let me congratulate you again on
- 1426 your election to the ranking membership of the committee.
- 1427 And thank you for your leadership on the Energy Act of 2020,
- 1428 and so much of your support for hydropower policy -- I know
- 1429 an issue we have talked about many times before -- and energy
- innovation, broadly.
- You know, I think that there is a couple of components
- 1432 to this, on retaking American leadership on clean energy,
- 1433 both domestically, here in the United States and, even more
- importantly, exports. I think that begins with innovation.
- 1435 We have fallen behind in domestic ownership and domestic
- 1436 manufacturing on a number of key clean energy technologies
- 1437 and a number of the components of those technologies. We
- 1438 need to focus on a next generation of technologies, where we
- 1439 can retake leadership. We still have a chance to lead in
- 1440 advanced nuclear energy, and long-duration storage, in carbon
- 1441 capture technologies that can use the natural fossil fuel
- 1442 abundance we have the United States, but do it in a cleaner
- and cleaner way every year. We can lead on advanced
- 1444 geothermal technology.

- And we can do more to ensure that there are strong and 1445 1446 robust domestic supply chains for critical minerals. means opening up mining resources for critical minerals here 1447 in the United States, and using innovation to find more 1448 1449 earth-abundant substitutes for those materials. necessarily have to use exactly the same mix of materials and 1450 elements that we have used so far, and that have made us 1451 quite dependent on China and other nations with very poor 1452 labor standards like the Democratic Republic of Congo, for 1453 1454 example. We can find substitutes for a lot of those materials that are more available either here in the United 1455 States or in our allied countries. So I think --1456 1457 \*Mrs. Rodgers. Great.
- 1458 \*Mr. Powell. -- innovation, opening up exploration, and 1459 finding alternatives.
- \*Mrs. Rodgers. In just these last few seconds, would

  you comment on the prospects of nuclear technology -- because

  there is some exciting technology being developed in

  Washington State -- and if it would help overcome the

  transmission problem that we are seeing even in Texas right

  now?
- \*Mr. Powell. Absolutely. As I think everyone has said,
  no technology was unscathed in Texas. But I think nuclear
  did probably a little better than average in Texas. Only one
  of the nuclear units, to my understanding, went down.

- 1470 Nuclear is a highly resilient part of any clean energy mix,
- of any energy mix, and I think that we can find even more
- 1472 resilient and even more advanced designs for nuclear.
- 1473 I am extremely excited about the 2 designs that are
- 1474 likely to be piloted and demonstrated in Washington State in
- 1475 the coming 5 years. That is part of the advanced reactor
- 1476 demonstration program started in the previous Administration
- 1477 at the Department of Energy that is going to set up 2
- 1478 commercial-scale, fully commercialized -- it is like selling
- 1479 electricity to the grid, demonstrations of advanced reactor
- 1480 technologies. These are the next generation. They don't use
- 1481 water to cool them. They have a number of different
- 1482 attributes that make them cheaper and more efficient, and
- 1483 potentially offering the same safety for a significantly
- lower cost profile. So I am very excited about those
- 1485 developments, and I hope Congress will support them.
- 1486 \*Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you.
- 1487 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.
- 1488 [Pause.]
- 1489 \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now
- 1490 recognizes Mr. Peters for 5 minutes.
- \*Mr. Peters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for
- 1492 having this fascinating hearing. And I want to start on
- 1493 behalf of the residents of San Diego, California, by
- 1494 expressing our concern and prayers for the tremendous

- 1495 challenges facing the folks in Texas and nearby areas. I
- 1496 commit to working with you to find out the facts behind what
- is -- what has gone wrong, and honestly figure out the ways
- that the federal government can play a role in ensuring
- 1499 reliability.
- I also want to acknowledge that the transition to cheap
- 1501 natural gas has lowered carbon dioxide emissions. But
- 1502 because this has become a talking point in this committee, I
- 1503 want to again remind everyone that if we don't control
- 1504 fugitive methane emissions along the way from production to
- 1505 end use, there is no climate benefit.
- And if I had more time, I would also like to explore the
- 1507 carbon tax with Dr. Pacala, as his report touts the
- 1508 advantages of pairing well-designed carbon tax -- and by that
- 1509 I mean one that can mitigate the negative distributional
- 1510 impacts on society -- with other ambitious climate policies.
- 1511 But I will defer that for our discussion of how we pay for
- infrastructure investment, because I think that matches that
- 1513 well.
- Today I want to use my time to talk about transmission.
- 1515 It is widely acknowledged that the national power grid needs
- 1516 to be modernized to make it more secure, resilient, and
- 1517 efficient. It also needs to be interstate. The United
- 1518 States has tremendous renewable energy resources that have
- 1519 not been -- not yet been tapped. But often these resources -

- sun, wind, geothermal, hydropower -- are in remote or rural areas.
- 1522 According to research from the Department of Energy's
- 1523 National Renewable Energy Lab, if we connect centers of high
- 1524 renewable resources with centers of high electric demand by
- 1525 building a macrogrid -- that is an overlay of high voltage DC
- 1526 lines -- and optimize that grid for the nation's best wind
- and solar, we can dramatically reduce carbon emissions, while
- 1528 improving system resiliency and reducing wholesale power
- 1529 costs. A macrogrid will enable more robust and more
- 1530 competitive wholesale power markets, which translates to
- lower costs for consumers. One model shows consumers saving
- 1532 \$42 billion annually by building HVDC transmission, allowing
- 1533 power to flow across the seams between electricity regions.
- And one more point about U.S. competitiveness. The
- 1535 Brattle Group estimates that the U.S. electric industry needs
- 1536 200 gigawatts of new transmission capacity in order to
- 1537 accommodate widespread electrification. China has already
- done this and more. By the end of 2021 China will have
- developed over 250 gigawatts of new interregional
- 1540 transmission capacity over the last 7 periods -- last 7-year
- 1541 period. In contrast, we, the United States, have added 3.
- 1542 We need 200; we have added 3. So clearly, the scale of the
- challenge is significant, just as clearly the current
- 1544 regulatory environment hampers our collective ability to meet

- this challenge.
- So I want to ask a question first to Ms. Glover. It
- 1547 certainly hasn't been for lack of trying. Why is it so
- 1548 difficult for us to build large-scale transmission projects
- 1549 across state lines? And what role does Congress have to play
- in removing the barriers, once and for all?
- 1551 And then -- Ms. Glover and then maybe Mr. Gordon.
- \*Ms. Glover. Thank you, Congressman. I am not sure
- that I am the best person to respond to your question,
- because my focus typically isn't on the building of
- 1555 transmission lines. So I will yield that time to someone who
- is more suited, if you don't mind. But I would welcome an
- 1557 opportunity to kind of do some research on the Alliance's
- 1558 position and get back to you.
- 1559 \*Mr. Peters. That is great. Mr. Gordon?
- \*Mr. Gordon. Yes, Congressman, thank you for the
- 1561 question.
- 1562 Invenergy, historically, has been developing wind,
- 1563 solar, thermal resources. And right now it sees the need for
- 1564 long-distance, high-voltage transmission, really, to connect
- the windiest parts of the country that don't have any real
- 1566 electric connectivity to deliver the best wind to where the
- 1567 load centers are.
- So we stepped into a project that had been in
- 1569 development for nearly a decade. And these projects take a

- 1570 long time. It is an 800-mile line project from southwest
- 1571 Kansas that would ultimately go through Missouri and
- 1572 Illinois, and terminate just across the Indiana border, and
- would carry upwards of 4,000 megawatts of clean, renewable
- 1574 power. The interesting thing about this line in the context
- of the hearing today is it would be designed so they could
- 1576 carry power in both directions, as needed.
- \*Mr. Peters. Right, right.
- 1578 \*Mr. Gordon. So if we have an abundance of wind --
- 1579 \*Mr. Peters. Right.
- \*Mr. Gordon. -- normally in southwest Kansas, we could
- take it all the way to the eastern part of the grid.
- 1582 \*Mr. Peters. Can I just add Mr. Powell -- or from Mr.
- 1583 Powell -- I don't know, I am going to run out of time -- but
- 1584 ERCOT itself explained in its comments to FERC that many ISOs
- 1585 and RTOs said that large-scale transmission is the key to
- 1586 resilience: "One of the most critical elements'' -- this is
- 1587 ERCOT --- "of system resilience is ensuring that the
- transmission system is planned in a way to ensure continued
- operations following an unexpected outage of one or more
- 1590 generators or transmission elements.''
- Mr. Powell, you have 5 seconds to react to that.
- 1592 \*Mr. Powell. Well, thank you very much, Congressman
- 1593 Peters. Thank you for your leadership on all these issues.
- In that short amount of time I will -- you know, I will

- 1595 -- as Dr. Burgess noted, ERCOT is not entirely an island.
- 1596 There is transmission that interconnects it with the rest of
- 1597 the grid. I think every observer of this, you know, would
- note that more transmission probably would be helpful here,
- 1599 if there were larger, better interconnections to --
- 1600 particularly on the east and west, there may have been an
- opportunity to bring in more resources.
- Obviously, there are cost implications to that. And it
- 1603 has been, as you noted, devilishly difficult to site and
- 1604 permit those new wires. So I think we need to figure out
- 1605 both the regulatory and permitting issues that would enable
- 1606 that, and figure out how to pay for those and maintain a --
- 1607 you know, affordability in the local power supply.
- 1608 \*Mr. Peters. Mr. Chairman, my time has expired, but I
- 1609 do see an opportunity to work with Mrs. Rodgers on regulatory
- 1610 relief on this issue, in particular, and I yield back.
- 1611 [Pause.]
- 1612 \*Mr. Rush. The chair recognizes Mr. Latta for 5
- 1613 minutes.
- 1614 \*Mr. Latta. Well, thanks, Mr. Chairman, and thanks very
- 1615 much for holding today's hearing, and thanks for our
- 1616 witnesses for being with us today.
- 1617 Also I want to express my thoughts and prayers for the
- 1618 folks down in Texas for everything that they are going
- 1619 through from this about once-in-a-century winter storm, and

- 1620 that, you know, we want to do everything we possibly can. We
- are committed to making sure that we get the assistance to
- 1622 them.
- Mr. Chairman, we can continue to work with the private
- 1624 sector to promote job creation, innovation, and emissions
- 1625 reduction, and energy security by embracing a diverse
- 1626 portfolio of domestic energy sources, or we can pursue a top-
- down, heavy-handed government policy that can destroy our
- 1628 economy, put millions of Americans out of work, and stifle
- 1629 innovation through onerous bureaucratic red tape. And
- unfortunately, what we have been seeing so far is that the
- 1631 Biden-Harris Administration is going to take that second
- 1632 path.
- 1633 If I could start with Commissioner Camp, and as a former
- 1634 county commissioner myself here in Wood County, you know, you
- 1635 have spoken previously to the committee about the benefits
- 1636 that your county has experienced because of these energy
- 1637 projects. Could you go into more detail? I know you did
- 1638 some in your opening statement about that, but could you go
- 1639 into more detail about the types of program investments that
- 1640 Beaver County has made -- been able to make because of this
- 1641 revenue stream that you have gotten?
- 1642 \*Mr. Camp. Thank you, Congressman. Absolutely. I had
- the honor to testify in 2019 to the Subcommittee on
- 1644 Environmental and Climate Change to discuss the petrochemical

- 1645 plant that we were able to land here in Beaver County in
- 1646 2016. We are -- on the process, they are still at the time
- to be finished here very soon.
- We have seen tremendous investments from, not only Shell
- 1649 Petrochemical, but the downstream organizations who are here
- in Beaver County and the southwestern Pennsylvania region
- through the infrastructure, the highways, center township.
- 1652 My home community has been granted a new water treatment
- 1653 facility with 100-year span. Our community college has been
- donated millions of dollars for a process technology lab,
- 1655 where -- we have these companies who are starting to invest
- into our community because they are going to be calling it
- their home.
- 1658 Not only are they investing in our higher education,
- they are also investing in our minority communities, who are
- 1660 not capable of the technology -- through their investments,
- 1661 because of the global pandemic here, we are capable of having
- these schools now have classes online.
- So we are seeing a great deal of investment, not only
- through Shell, but through all the other companies who are
- downstream jobs of Shell, who are now planting their feet in
- 1666 the ground.
- \*Mr. Latta. Well, thanks very much, Commissioner, for
- 1668 your leadership in the county.
- 1669 Mr. Powell, if I could go to a follow-up on some

- 1670 questions that our Republican leader was talking about on the
- nuclear side, how can Congress and the new Administration
- build on the achievements of the Energy Act of 2020 to
- accelerate the development and deployment of the domestic
- 1674 fuel supply for advanced nuclear companies?
- \*Mr. Powell. Thank you very much, Congressman Latta.
- 1676 Thank you for your leadership on this issue, and your
- 1677 legislation around creating a reserve of HALEU fuel.
- 1678 Just to take a step back, on advanced nuclear there are
- 1679 a couple of components to getting this up and running.
- 1680 Component one is to demonstrate the technologies, to
- 1681 actually show the world, show utilities, show potential
- industrial users that it is real, that it could actually
- 1683 work.
- Step two is making sure that we have the fuel to run the
- 1685 things, because they run on higher-test fuel, or high-assay,
- 1686 low-enriched uranium. Currently we don't have a supply of
- 1687 HALEU fuel in the United States, and we need to establish a
- 1688 reserve for one of those.
- And then we need to start actually building a robust
- 1690 supply chain for that HALEU fuel here in the country.
- And then last, we probably need some deployment
- incentives to provide the early financing, which would bring
- those technologies into the market, just as so many other
- 1694 technologies have had those early incentives.

And so I think we can work on all of those things. 1695 1696 There could be appropriations and oversight of the Department of Energy to make sure those demonstrations work. 1697 be legislation like yours, to establish programs to set up a 1698 1699 HALEU reserve and a robust supply of this fuel. could be new incentives created like the Energy Sector 1700 1701 Innovation Credit that would provide incentives to pull these things into the market. 1702 \*Mr. Latta. Well, thank you. Let me ask real quick in 1703 1704 my few seconds remaining, just to follow up, because, again, I am really worried about rare earth minerals. And you were 1705 also talking about finding other elements that could be a 1706 1707 substitute. Can we do that on our own in this country, without having to rely on countries that don't like us? 1708 1709 \*Mr. Powell. I sure hope so, because, as was noted previously, I worry that those countries may shut off the 1710 supply to these technologies, or threaten to shut off the 1711 supply to these minerals and resources whenever we get into 1712 areas of geopolitical tension. I think this needs to be a 1713 1714 top priority for both our private sector and for our innovators at the national labs and other research 1715 institutions, and finding ways to get around this. 1716 I am very excited about some of the developments in 1717 1718 earth-abundant battery chemicals, even an organic battery

chemical, so it would basically take things like organic

- 1720 chemicals -- think like sugars and fats -- and be using those
- as the way that we would store huge amounts of energy in new
- 1722 batteries and storage systems. So I think that there is a
- lot of potential here, but it needs to be adequately
- 1724 resourced at the research stage.
- 1725 \*Mr. Latta. Thank you very much.
- Mr. Chairman, my time has expired and I yield back.
- 1727 Thank you very much.
- \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 1729 recognizes Mr. Doyle for 5 minutes.
- 1730 \*Mr. Doyle. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want
- to thank you and the acting ranking member for holding this
- 1732 hearing today. I want to thank all the witnesses for their
- 1733 testimony, and give a special shout out to Commissioner Camp,
- 1734 of fellow Western Pennsylvanian.
- 1735 It is good to have you here on the panel.
- 1736 The commissioner knows in Western Pennsylvania we are an
- 1737 all-of-the-above region. We do fossil fuels, we do nuclear,
- 1738 we do renewables. And the people that work in those
- 1739 industries don't love one better than the other. What they
- love is to feed their families. And that is what we are
- 1741 talking about.
- So we know that, over time, there is going to be a
- 1743 transition as we take renewables and put more on the grid,
- 1744 and deal with their intermittency by using things like

- 1745 advanced nuclear and storage so that we can lessen the need
- on fossil fuels. But when we make this transition over time,
- the key is to make sure that we don't leave people behind,
- that we don't leave families behind, that if we are going to
- 1749 create new manufacturing and a clean economy, that we build
- those plants in areas where people may be displaced because
- they are working in industries that we are going to be less
- 1752 reliant on. I think that is going to be the key to success.
- But we are glad to have all the panelists here.
- Mr. Gordon, we have all heard about the struggle of
- 1755 utilities getting past that 80 percent figure. Everyone I
- 1756 have talked to is saying, you know, we can reduce 80 percent,
- 1757 but it is that last 20 -- you know, to get us to net zero
- 1758 carbon by 2050 -- that is the tough part. How important will
- energy storage and reducing its costs be to expanding
- 1760 renewable energy?
- 1761 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman Doyle, for your
- 1762 question. And as it happens, I was born in Indiana,
- 1763 Pennsylvania and lived there for 11 years, so I am also a
- 1764 Western Pennsylvania native.
- I think, to your question directly, you know, energy
- 1766 storage is going to be a critical component to the future of
- the generation stack, and reducing those costs will be
- imperative, of course. I think what we are largely looking
- 1769 forward to is, you know, additional cost reductions over

- 1770 time, different types of batteries being designed, and
- 1771 ultimately working with new technologies like hydrogen to see
- how hydrogen can play a role with battery storage, as well.
- 1773 So I think we are very optimistic.
- But you are right, the last 20 percent, that last mile,
- is going to be more expensive than the first 80. And we just
- 1776 need to put our heads together. And I think, as a country,
- 1777 we have got some pretty bright minds. And if we are
- 1778 committed to it, I think we can make it happen.
- 1779 \*Mr. Doyle. Thanks.
- Mr. Powell, you mentioned how important driving down
- 1781 emissions in the industrial sector will be. Improvements at
- 1782 industrial facilities, they are big, capital-intensive
- 1783 projects. And outside of tax credits for carbon capture, how
- 1784 would you suggest we create a long-term structure for
- 1785 cofinancing big emission-reducing investments?
- 1786 \*Mr. Powell. Thanks for the question, Congressman.
- 1787 Thanks as well for your leadership on so many of the
- innovation provisions that landed in the Energy Act of 2020,
- 1789 a very important sector, and your support for all of these
- 1790 different technologies.
- 1791 Carbon capture and incentives for carbon capture are
- 1792 actually -- are absolutely an excellent place to start for
- industrial emissions. So probably the fastest way we can
- 1794 bring those emissions down is simply capturing them before

- they leave the plant, and using the same underlying process.
- We can also do 2 other major things. One is to find
- 1797 alternative ways to supply some of the heat that go into
- 1798 industrial processes. That is the largest single source of
- 1799 those emissions. And so that would be providing clean heat
- 1800 in those facilities. So that would be with an advanced
- 1801 nuclear reactor that could provide a lot of that heat, with
- 1802 hydrogen or renewable fuels, those sorts of things, or the
- 1803 fuels themselves with carbon capture.
- The other thing we can do is provide different processes
- in the first place. So, for example, think about a steel
- 1806 plant that doesn't use coking coal to do that reduction of
- 1807 steel, but instead does electrochemical reduction. There is
- 1808 a company up in Boston called Boston Metals that is
- 1809 pioneering new technology around that, and would use direct
- 1810 electrical current to do that reduction of the iron ore.
- 1811 \*Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Mr. Powell. I want to get this
- 1812 question to Dr. Pacala, too, because I think it is important.
- 1813 All of you have testified that ensuring we don't leave
- 1814 communities behind as we move to a cleaner economy is
- 1815 crucial. And I believe that fervently. What policies do you
- 1816 see as critical to ensuring that future energy development or
- 1817 the manufacturing of energy equipment is done in those areas
- 1818 who have historically been affected by pollution or losing
- 1819 their jobs, their fossil fuel jobs? What do you say to that,

Dr. Pacala? What do we need to do to ensure that? 1820 1821 \*Dr. Pacala. Well, the package that we proposed is designed to do exactly that, right? So the idea is that --1822 let's suppose that you are a town, and we are in middle 1823 1824 America with a dominant employer that is going to be lost. And the wind and solar jobs are great, but they don't 1825 compensate for this highly-concentrated employment in your 1826 1827 The -- it is worthwhile understanding that more towns gain resources than lose them. Where they lose them, they 1828 1829 lose them in a way that would otherwise be catastrophic. And so what could be done? Well, the idea first is that 1830 you have to anticipate the loss, and plan for it in advance, 1831 because if it catches you by surprise that is it, right? 1832 And so the idea is to have a bunch of regional centers, 1833 together with state offices that work together, where 1834 Representatives of Congress, and mayors, and governors, and 1835 1836 other officials can meet to understand what regionally is 1837 likely to happen, and to serve as a conduit for planning grants to towns and to counties. And there, the idea is to 1838 1839 anticipate what is going to happen and when, with technical assistance that other programs would provide. 1840 Having discovered that something was going to happen --1841 \*Mr. Doyle. I see we are way over our time, and I want 1842 1843 to be polite to my fellow colleagues, but we will talk more

about this. Thank you so much.

- 1845 Mr. Chairman, I apologize and I yield back.
- 1846 \*Mr. Rush. The chair now recognizes the gentleman from
- 1847 West Virginia, Mr. McKinley, for 5 minutes.
- 1848 \*Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Chairman. And as a good
- 1849 friend, it is always good to see you.
- I thought the premise of this hearing was going to be
- about the decarbonization, accelerating the decarbonization
- in the United States. And I have been functioning for years
- now on the fact that climate change is a global issue, and
- 1854 requires a global solution.
- 1855 So we have heard from previous panels, including Gina
- 1856 McCarthy, when they said that, if America alone decarbonizes,
- the impact on the global environment would be virtually
- 1858 immeasurable. And then they went on to say that, as long as
- 1859 countries like China and India are expanding their dependence
- on fossil fuels, America will still experience wildfires on
- 1861 the West Coast, droughts and floods in the Midwest, and
- 1862 hurricanes in the East.
- So I guess the issue is can America decarbonize?
- 1864 Absolutely. I would agree, it can. But at -- what is the
- 1865 cost to families, communities, and businesses that are
- 1866 reliant on fossil fuels?
- This report that everyone is referring to was silent
- 1868 about Hazard, Kentucky; Gillette, Wyoming; Cadiz, Ohio.
- 1869 There are no transitional employment opportunities in those

- 1870 areas.
- So to Rich Powell, let me ask a couple of questions of
- 1872 you. First, I say, Rich, I agree with your testimony where
- 1873 you said serious federal policy proposals must also reflect
- 1874 the global nature of the challenge. Let me ask, Rich, have
- 1875 you read the National Academy report?
- 1876 \*Mr. Powell. I have.
- 1877 \*Mr. McKinley. Okay. Do you think that there were --
- 1878 maybe there was -- given that there were no representatives
- 1879 who were not academics among the authors, and based on their
- 1880 tweets and papers that they have published, do you believe
- that the authors may have had a bias against fossil fuels?
- 1882 \*Mr. Powell. It certainly seemed like an objective was
- 1883 first to think first about decarbonization, and maybe
- 1884 secondarily about the transmission impacts.
- 1885 \*Mr. McKinley. Okay. Do you agree that one of the
- 1886 authors who tweeted out that -- and his quote was in his
- 1887 tweet, showing -- these are the people that put this -- that
- 1888 "America can eradicate poverty by decarbonization.'' Do you
- 1889 agree with that?
- 1890 \*Mr. Powell. I don't think it is the first way we would
- 1891 eradicate poverty.
- 1892 \*Mr. McKinley. But that was the statement, that
- 1893 decarbonization is going to eradicate poverty.
- 1894 And then, also, part of the study was, quote, it was to

- 1895 "build an energy system without social injustices that
- 1896 permeate the current system.'' Do you think it does permeate
- 1897 the current system?
- 1898 \*Mr. Powell. I don't. I believe that there can be --
- 1899 \*Mr. McKinley. Okay, let me get back on point, through,
- 1900 Rich, because I have got some more questions I would like to
- 1901 get with you. So back on point, were the policies outlined
- 1902 in this study -- because it was very comprehensive, and very
- 1903 thoughtfully put together from white papers that they
- 1904 published. But will it encourage other nations like China
- 1905 and India to actually follow our lead and reduce their
- 1906 emissions?
- 1907 \*Mr. Powell. It focuses on U.S. emissions reductions.
- 1908 \*Mr. McKinley. Yes.
- 1909 \*Mr. Powell. The one piece that might have a global
- 1910 impact is the R&D section, and that could reduce the cost of
- 1911 global emissions. But beyond that, it is largely silent on
- 1912 the global question.
- 1913 \*Mr. McKinley. Now, since the anti-fossil fuel zealots
- 1914 that we deal with in Washington are agitating for America to
- 1915 choose this simplistic route, just -- in other words,
- 1916 discontinue fossil fuels. That is one way to do it. You
- 1917 can. That is a fork in the road, you can take that, and we
- 1918 can not use fossil fuels. But wouldn't America be better
- 1919 off, better advised if they adopted a more pragmatic approach

- 1920 to capturing carbon through advanced innovation and
- 1921 deployment?
- \*Mr. Powell. We should. We should be focusing on
- 1923 reducing emissions, not eliminating fossil fuels.
- \*Mr. McKinley. Thank you. So wouldn't that approach
- 1925 protect the economy, reduce carbon emissions, and develop a
- 1926 technology that we can export around the world for other
- 1927 nations that are offensive in their emissions? Wouldn't that
- 1928 be the better approach, rather than just doing away with
- 1929 fossil fuels?
- 1930 \*Mr. Powell. Prioritizing carbon capture so that we can
- 1931 make the breakthroughs that the rest of the world can then
- 1932 use to decarbonize should really be at the top of the list of
- 1933 our energy innovation priorities.
- 1934 \*Mr. McKinley. So, Rich, would you think that -- would
- 1935 you concur that the global environment will not improve
- 1936 measurably if America alone decarbonizes?
- 1937 \*Mr. Powell. I would.
- 1938 \*Mr. McKinley. Okay. Is there -- what policies -- in
- 1939 the remaining few seconds here, what else would you be saying
- 1940 for us that we should be adapting?
- 1941 \*Mr. Powell. Well, I think, if you look back at the
- 1942 Energy Act of 2020, the technology that received the most
- 1943 bipartisan support in that very bipartisan bill was carbon
- 1944 capture. That bill now calls for a massive demonstration

- 1945 program for carbon capture technologies. But a lot of work
- 1946 remains to actually implement that. And so I would encourage
- 1947 this committee and all of Congress to focus now on
- 1948 implementation.
- 1949 \*Mr. McKinley. Thank you very much, and I yield back
- 1950 the balance of my time.
- 1951 \*Mr. Rush. My friend yields back. The chair now
- 1952 recognizes Mr. McNerney for 5 minutes.
- 1953 \*Mr. McNerney. Well, I thank the chairman for the
- 1954 hearing, and the ranking members.
- 1955 Your witnesses -- your testimony has been very important
- 1956 and useful, so thank you for coming out, or appearing today.
- 1957 Like all of my colleagues, I am extremely concerned about
- 1958 what is taking place in Texas. Millions are suffering in the
- 1959 cold with no immediate end in sight.
- 1960 Dr. Pacala, we have heard from Mr. Gordon about what
- 1961 happened to cause the blackouts in Texas. Would you walk us
- 1962 through your understanding of what happened?
- \*Dr. Pacala. Yes. I am not an expert, but I have
- 1964 consulted experts on it, and my understanding is just about
- 1965 what has been said, predominantly. There was, in fact, a
- 1966 failure of some of the generating capacity across the board,
- 1967 and it was across all types of generating capacity. So the
- 1968 thermal units -- that is, natural gas and coal plants and
- 1969 nuclear plants -- all had a failure rate. And the cause was

- 1970 primarily, you know, different routes in which the coal --
- 1971 the cold can prevent the plant from operating. So that, for
- 1972 example, if you have got a pipeline from a production field
- 1973 to a power plant, when the production field goes down because
- 1974 of cold, the fuel stops.
- 1975 There was also some loss of wind capacity. The wind
- 1976 capacity that went down was a little bit less in sort of
- 1977 percentage terms than the thermal capacity. But it is not
- 1978 really a meaningful difference, right? So -- and those were
- 1979 primarily due to pipes freezing.
- 1980 And beyond that, I think that what has been said about
- 1981 the interconnectivity of the Texas grid is right, right? If
- 1982 you had more interstate transmission, you had high voltage
- 1983 lines that could bring power in, they would have been better
- 1984 off.
- 1985 \*Mr. McNerney. Well, thank you. At last week's markup
- 1986 in this committee we heard a lot from Republicans about
- 1987 California blackouts. And now we are seeing the same thing
- 1988 happen in Texas.
- 1989 Republicans again are blaming renewable energy this time
- 1990 for Texas problems. This is ludicrous. This is ludicrous
- 1991 because -- and both states are similar, extreme weather
- 1992 related to climate change, together with underinvestment in
- 1993 our electric utility and infrastructure and resilience --
- 1994 reducing renewables will just accelerate climate change and

- 1995 increase the suffering of our constituents.
- 1996 So moving on, as we continue to confront the severe
- 1997 impacts of climate change it is critical to prepare by
- 1998 hardening the grid. The issue is front and center to me,
- 1999 since California has its share of natural disasters and
- 2000 extreme weathers.
- 2001 Mr. Gordon, should the federal government have a role in
- 2002 grid hardening for extreme weather events?
- 2003 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman. I do think that
- 2004 the government should have a role in hardening the grid for
- 2005 extreme weather events, yes.
- 2006 \*Mr. McNerney. Well, do you have any recommendations
- 2007 for resilience improvements that are also clean?
- 2008 \*Mr. Gordon. Well, I think, going back to the
- 2009 infrastructure question, getting more transmission built,
- 2010 connecting to renewable resources would be, by definition, a
- 2011 clean way of doing that, while hardening the grid for
- 2012 reliability and resiliency for when these events happen.
- 2013 \*Mr. McNerney. Thank you.
- 2014 Dr. Pacala, same question. Is there a role for the
- 2015 federal government in grid hardening with respect to clean
- 2016 (sic) weather?
- 2017 And how do we make sure that that is done in a way that
- 2018 produces clean energy?
- 2019 \*Dr. Pacala. So there is absolutely a role. And the

- 2020 report that we released has very specific recommendations for
- 2021 regulatory reforms that are critical to get the grid reforms
- in place, certainly in time to do a rapid decarbonization of
- 2023 the U.S. grid.
- 2024 And there are 2 difficult actions in Congress that we
- 2025 think are essential. One is a clarification of the Federal
- 2026 Power Act, so that it is understood that it does not limit
- 2027 the ability of states to use policies to support the entry of
- 2028 zero carbon resources into electric utility portfolios and
- 2029 wholesale power markets. And the second is an amendment of
- 2030 the Energy Policy Act to assign FERC the responsibility to
- 2031 design the national interest electricity corridors.
- 2032 And then there are a whole host of other recommendations
- 2033 that are very specific, and that you can find in the --
- 2034 mostly in the footnotes to that table I talked about.
- 2035 \*Mr. McNerney. Well, I am going to ask, in my remaining
- 2036 time, Ms. Glover, do you think there is a role for
- 2037 electrification as a part of the effort to improve
- 2038 resilience?
- 2039 \*Ms. Glover. I think there probably is a role for
- 2040 electrification, but I think there is also a much larger role
- 2041 for energy efficiency in improving resilience. Right? The
- less that we use opens up capacity, and it helps utility
- 2043 companies and others not to have to invest in some
- 2044 infrastructure if we do energy efficiency right and make

- 2045 those kinds of investments.
- 2046 \*Mr. McNerney. Thank you. Let's get back to
- 2047 efficiency.
- 2048 All right, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
- 2049 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 2050 recognizes Mr. Griffith for 5 minutes.
- 2051 \*Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Let
- 2052 me say first I look forward to seeing the science on what
- 2053 caused this cold snap in Texas. I know it is easy to go and
- 2054 say this is a part of climate change, and that may be a
- 2055 contributing factor. But apparently there was a similar
- 2056 weather pattern in Texas in 1928, which is why one of our
- 2057 earlier folks talked about this happening about once a
- 2058 century in Texas, because apparently it has happened before.
- 2059 So I don't know that we can put all of the cold weather in
- 2060 Texas at the feet of climate change or global warming.
- 2061 Ms. Glover, thank you so much for talking about energy
- 2062 efficiency. I do have some good-paying jobs in my district
- 2063 with that, in a coal district, but I do appreciate you
- 2064 highlighting that very much.
- 2065 And some have called for the complete elimination of
- 2066 using our fossil fuels, and I was pleased to hear Chairman
- 2067 Pallone say that, while we may shift, and transition, and
- lower that number, that he didn't see it being eliminated
- 2069 from part of our mix. And it is interesting, because one of

my professors, a science researcher at Virginia Tech working 2070 2071 on fossil fuels, has lamented in the past that never before have we eliminated or tried to eliminate a energy source, 2072 whether we started with wood, et cetera. With the exception 2073 2074 of whale oil, we have never eliminated one. We have reduced it, depending on market conditions, and it improved 2075 efficiencies, but we have never eliminated one of our 2076 potential energy sources. And I think that is important to 2077 keep in mind. 2078 2079 Mr. Powell, I appreciate you mentioning that we are trying to make false choices, that you have to choose one or 2080 the other. I am an all-of-the-above kind of quy. I like 2081 your concepts of using more innovation. You talked with my 2082 colleague, Mr. McKinley, about reducing emissions, and that 2083 2084 that ought to be at the top of our list, and doing the research to reduce that. 2085 I would point out that in my district -- and they are 2086 all over the country, but one in my district, MOVA 2087 Technologies, has been working on panel bed filtration 2088 2089 systems that not only eliminate CO2, but eliminates, depending on what panel you have and what industry you are 2090 2091 dealing with, it eliminates all kinds of other pollutions.

It is already out of the test phase and is now into the -- in

the small test phase -- and it is now going to the next

level. And these are the kinds of things that I think we

2092

2093

- 2095 need to be working on, as well.
- Now, we can invest all the money we want to in research
- 2097 and innovation, but if industry is disincentivized to install
- 2098 new technologies, it will be for naught. Last week I had a
- 2099 meeting with the pulp and paper workers -- challenges
- 2100 associated with the new source review permitting program.
- 2101 And we have learned that the NSR often discourages new
- 2102 investments at facilities like paper mills, a furniture
- 2103 factory in my district, other manufacturing plants and power
- 2104 plants. It discourages them from making small bites of the
- 2105 apple. They are told if you take a small bite, you have got
- 2106 to swallow the whole apple.
- 2107 I have reintroduced the New Source Review Permitting
- 2108 Improvement Act, H.R. 245, which would reform the program so
- 2109 that we can upgrade U.S. facilities with new pollution
- 2110 control technology. But not having --
- 2111 [Audio malfunction.]
- 2112 \*Mr. Griffith. -- is new source review a barrier to
- 2113 reducing emissions, Mr. Powell?
- \*Mr. Powell. Yes, sorry, you froze there for a second,
- 2115 but I think I heard the question. Thanks so much for the
- 2116 question. Thank you for your leadership on this vital issue
- 2117 for carbon capture technologies, really for all technologies
- 2118 which would help reduce the emissions from existing
- 2119 facilities.

It absolutely is a barrier in its current form. 2120 2121 not think that the original drafters of the Clean Air Act understood this kind of scenario. I think they would have 2122 probably framed new source review in a different way, had 2123 2124 they been thinking about things like carbon dioxide emissions at the time. I think reforming that so that we don't have 2125 NSR as a barrier, and so that you don't enter an entirely 2126 different regulatory regime if you simply bolt one thing on 2127 to a facility which significantly helps reduce the emissions. 2128 2129 That actually has the exact opposite effect of, I think, what folks would have been trying to accomplish with the original 2130 new source review revisions. 2131 2132 And so I think reforms are urgently needed, and I think your proposal is an excellent step in that direction. 2133 \*Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much. I mean, look, a 2134 lot of times people characterize it as just trying to get rid 2135 2136 of the rules. No, what we are trying to do is make the rules so that they can be used effectively. And if you take one 2137 bite at the apple every 3 or 4 years, a factory can make its 2138 2139 facility a whole lot better. If you have to do the whole thing at one time, they are never going to do it, and it 2140 slows down our ability to control emissions. 2141 I was pleased to hear, you know, discussion, and I know 2142

the intent is good about, you know, being prepared and

planning -- and this would have been Mr. Pacala -- being

2143

- 2145 prepared and planning. I come from an area where there is a
- 2146 lot of coal production and a lot of lost jobs already. But I
- 2147 will tell you that there is a December 6, 2019 New York Times
- 2148 article, which I forwarded to committee staff because I would
- 2149 like to have it introduced into the record.
- 2150 This article talks about a town -- 10 years has been
- spending money trying to reinvent their economy. They have
- 2152 created a law school with some of their money. They have
- 2153 created a pharmaceutical school, or a pharmacy school in
- 2154 their community. And they have spent -- according to that
- 2155 article, they have spent approximately \$170 million over this
- 20-year period trying to, you know, reinvent themselves.
- Now, there is all kinds of other issues -- road access
- 2158 -- that we are working on. But I will tell you that --
- 2159 \*Mr. Rush. Will the gentleman --
- 2160 \*Mr. Griffith. Give me just one second, thank you. But
- 2161 I will tell you that 1 in 6 jobs is still coal-related, and
- 2162 the county is getting hit hard.
- 2163 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman --
- 2164 \*Mr. Griffith. I yield back, I apologize. Thank you,
- 2165 Mr. Chairman. I apologize.
- 2166 \*Mr. Rush. That is quite all right. The chair now
- 2167 recognizes Mr. Tonko for 5 minutes.
- 2168 \*Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and this is a great
- 2169 hearing, and there is so much to cover. I will try to get

- 2170 through as much as I can.
- I don't think we should overlook the importance of
- 2172 energy efficiency and decarbonizing our energy system. There
- 2173 are many widely available, cost-effective measures that can
- 2174 be done to improve the energy efficiency, as well as the
- 2175 health and safety of homes. But we need to recognize that
- 2176 many low-income people aren't going to take advantage of a
- 2177 tax credit. And for this category of individuals, often they
- 2178 pay a much higher percentage of their incomes on energy
- 2179 bills.
- So, Ms. Glover, what is the role for a program like
- 2181 DoE's Weatherization Assistance Program to improve energy
- 2182 efficiency of low-income homes?
- 2183 \*Ms. Glover. Thank you so much, Congressman, for that
- 2184 question. You know, WAP program, the weatherization program,
- 2185 is an important program for low-income consumers. And
- 2186 certainly, I would say even middle-income consumers would, if
- 2187 they could take advantage of it, would want to. It certainly
- 2188 needs to be funded more, and there have been some requests to
- 2189 add more funding to that program.
- 2190 But I would also say that, as you as you all in -- as
- 2191 Members of Congress have been thinking about how do we direct
- 2192 that funding to the right families. And so part of that
- 2193 thinking has to be what are the communities that we are going
- 2194 to start with first. Is weatherization, in and of itself,

- that program, going to be enough of an investment for some
- 2196 communities in rural and urban communities around this
- 2197 country? Their homes are not ready for even basic
- 2198 weatherization. And so we do have to think about what is the
- 2199 proper investment, and do we need to build on top of existing
- 2200 programs to make those communities more resilient, in terms
- 2201 of energy efficiency.
- 2202 \*Mr. Tonko. Okay, thank you. And do you believe this
- 2203 program helps promote more equitable energy policy?
- \*Ms. Glover. I do think that it does. I just -- I
- 2205 think that it is -- you know, look, we -- there are so many
- 2206 things we need to invest in. And I think that weatherization
- 2207 -- and that program is probably one of those programs that
- 2208 needs greater investment.
- 2209 \*Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And last year Congress enacted
- 2210 reforms to strengthen the program, and President Biden has
- 2211 called for weatherizing 2 million homes. So I think that is
- 2212 a great shot in the arm.
- Ms. Glover do you believe funding for a program like the
- 2214 Weatherization Assistance Program should be considered for
- 2215 inclusion in a future infrastructure package?
- 2216 \*Ms. Glover. I do. I do believe that funding for that
- 2217 could be included in a future infrastructure package.
- But I want to say that, you know, if we are trying to
- 2219 impact low and moderate-income families, it is not just the

- weatherization program that can do that. There are other 2220 2221 programs, as well, and other proposals out there that also -our small business proposal, I think, is a good one. 2222 talks about how you bring jobs to these communities and small 2223 2224 business growth to those communities, as well as ensuring that the businesses in those communities are thriving. 2225 I think Congresswoman Blunt Rochester's bill on mission 2226 critical and building infrastructure is another important 2227 program that can help, not only those communities in terms of 2228 making them more resilient, but also in terms of jobs and 2229 small business opportunity, and addressing our equity needs. 2230 So there are lots of programs that I think have been 2231 2232 proposed that will get us where we need to be, and at the same time address our issues around climate change, 2233 decarbonizing our energy grid, and providing economic 2234 opportunity to communities around the country, particularly 2235
- 2237 \*Mr. Tonko. Thank you.

2236

those who are suffering the most.

- 2238 And Dr. Pacala, could you give us a sense of why the NAS 2239 report recommended increasing funding for weatherization?
- \*Dr. Pacala. Yes, the -- we recommended both an

  increase in funding in the low-income -- in LIHEAP and in the

  Weatherization Assistance Program because of the need to

  upgrade infrastructure, which has lagged behind, and which

  disproportionately impacts the incomes of low-income

- 2245 Americans already.
- 2246 And so there are -- we did discuss the inefficiencies
- built into some of those programs, but on balance thought
- 2248 that we ought to put more money into them. So there are
- 2249 specific numerical amounts in the recommendations, and it
- followed a review of the performance of both of those
- programs.
- 2252 \*Mr. Tonko. Thank you. Thank you very much.
- Mr. Powell, I am excited to hear that ClearPath is
- 2254 getting involved in the industrial sector. Do you believe
- low emissions hydrogen could play a role in decarbonizing
- 2256 certain manufacturing processes?
- 2257 \*Dr. Pacala. Thanks for the question, Ranking Member
- 2258 Tonko, thanks for your leadership on the Energy Act of 2020,
- 2259 as well.
- I absolutely believe that hydrogen could be a big part
- 2261 of that solution.
- 2262 As I mentioned earlier, low carbon heat is going to be a
- 2263 core component to decarbonizing the industrial sector, and
- low-emission hydrogen, whether that is produced from natural
- gas, but carbon capture from renewable electrolysis, from
- 2266 nuclear electrolysis, or maybe a whole lot of processes that
- we don't even understand or realize yet could be a really
- 2268 significant part of that transition.
- 2269 \*Mr. Tonko. Thank you very much.

- Well, Mr. Chair, I think I have exhausted my time, so I
- 2271 will yield back.
- 2272 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 2273 recognizes Mr. Johnson for 5 minutes.
- \*Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be
- 2275 really brief, so I can get to my questions. But as I listen
- 2276 to my colleagues and some of our witnesses today, we keep
- 2277 hearing proposals for, I quote, "deep decarbonization'' that
- 2278 would serve, really, only to kill good-paying American jobs,
- 2279 while simultaneously increasing our supply chain dependency
- on China, embolden Russia, and, ironically, do very little to
- 2281 decrease total global carbon emissions.
- I keep thinking, why would we want to go down that road?
- 2283 Well, I think we might have found the answer. One of our
- 2284 witnesses today, in their prepared testimony, cited a desire
- 2285 to achieve a -- and I quote -- "fundamental economic and
- 2286 social transition.''
- 2287 So, I am wondering, are decarbonization policies about
- 2288 climate or energy at all, or is it more about power and
- 2289 control?
- Outside of this Zoom hearing, in the real world,
- 2291 abundant American resources are being leveraged to create
- jobs, revitalize communities, and strengthen American
- 2293 manufacturing. So I have a question for Commissioner Camp.
- 2294 Thank you for joining us, Commissioner. My district is

- 2295 not far from Beaver County, just across the state line in
- 2296 eastern and southeastern Ohio. We have a site ready for a
- 2297 similar, I think, cracker facility. And just as in Beaver
- 2298 County, it is intended to take advantage of the vast natural
- gas resources right below our feet in Ohio and Pennsylvania.
- 2300 It is still awaiting a final investment decision but, God
- 2301 willing, if construction begins on this project, we will see
- our communities benefit immediately with thousands of workers
- 2303 coming to town. Is that your perspective, will we see those
- 2304 thousands of workers coming to town?
- 2305 And also, what does it mean for a community with a proud
- 2306 but distant industrial past to have heavy manufacturing like
- 2307 this return?
- 2308 \*Mr. Camp. Congressman Johnson, thank you very much. I
- 2309 worked closely with the previous board in Belmont County,
- 2310 Ohio, where that proposed petrochemical plant is being set
- 2311 forth. Absolutely, we see right now -- in 2019, as I said
- 2312 before, when I testified in front of the Subcommittee on
- 2313 Environmental and Climate Change, we had roughly 3,500
- employees on site. Today we have 7,950 employees on site;
- 2315 7,000 are working there during the day, 950 in the night
- 2316 turn. We are seeing that.
- But not only are we seeing that at the plant itself, we
- 2318 are seeing the effects of them, even through this global
- 2319 pandemic, support our community. Our tax base has gone up

- 2320 due to this. There is a pilot program in place with Shell
- Petrochemicals for 20 years, 25 years. But we are going to
- see the downstream jobs. There are many, many, many options
- on property up and down Interstate 376, which is our
- 2324 headquarter here, where the train -- rail meets the river and
- 2325 Interstate 376. You can't purchase a piece of property in
- 2326 Beaver County right now that is an industrial site, because
- the options are exercised.
- 2328 \*Mr. Johnson. So the bottom line is it is far from
- 2329 over.
- 2330 \*Mr. Camp. It is far from over. We won't start seeing
- these downstream manufacturing jobs, the companies who
- 2332 utilize the rubber pellets that Shell Petrochemical will be
- 2333 making, for years. Once they start production, these
- 2334 companies will then start to look at building facilities in
- 2335 Beaver County, Western Pennsylvania, Allegheny County,
- 2336 Westmoreland, even into Ohio and West Virginia in
- 2337 Representative McKinley's district.
- \*Mr. Johnson. Well, good. Well, good. Well, let me go
- 2339 to Mr. Powell now. Thank you, Commissioner.
- 2340 Mr. Powell, you made an important point earlier about
- 2341 how a molecule of carbon released in Shanghai has the same
- 2342 impact as if it was released in Chicago. Well, what I am
- 2343 hearing from my Democratic colleagues today is too much of a
- focus on reducing carbon emissions domestically, regardless

- of the cost to American jobs like those in Beaver County,
- 2346 without acknowledging that climate change isn't just
- 2347 America's problem to confront. In fact, even if America
- 2348 reduced its emissions to zero, there wouldn't be a measurable
- 2349 effect on the global climate.
- 2350 We need to take a step back here and put the American
- 2351 people first. Rather than trumpeting gimmicks like the Paris
- 2352 Accord, which gives a free pass to huge global emitters such
- 2353 as China and India, we have an opportunity to support
- 2354 pragmatic policies that can build new and carbon-free
- 2355 technologies like nuclear here in the U.S., and enable them
- 2356 to be built internationally.
- So, Mr. Powell, do you believe there is room for
- 2358 bipartisan consensus on improving advanced nuclear
- 2359 technology?
- 2360 And how best can we modernize our export process, which
- 2361 not only has clean energy benefits, but supports U.S.
- 2362 interests and national security?
- 2363 \*Mr. Powell. Thanks for the question, Congressman.
- 2364 Thank you for your support for modernizing our nuclear
- 2365 exports infrastructure.
- I believe there is bipartisan consensus on advanced
- 2367 nuclear energy. It was one of the technologies highlighted
- in the Energy Act passed in December, demonstrating new
- 2369 pieces of that.

- I do think that the exports process, both the 810 2370 2371 agreements and the 123 process do need to be modernized. have to remember it is not a choice about whether a country 2372 is going to accept new nuclear technology. It is whether 2373 2374 they are going to accept U.S. technology or Russian or Chinese technology. And our preference would be that it was 2375 American technology with American safeguards, and where 2376 America captures the economic opportunity and the benefits 2377 and the jobs of those exports. 2378
- \*Mr. Johnson. Well, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Powell.
- 2380 Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now recognizes Mr. Veasey for 5 minutes.
- \*Mr. Veasey. Mr. Chairman, thank you very much. And of 2383 course, as you know, Mr. Chairman, we are going through 2384 catastrophic weather events right now in Texas. And it is --2385 you know, it is really bad. I am not going to mince words 2386 about it. It is as bad as it seems from afar. People don't 2387 have heat. People haven't had heat for days. We have had a 2388 2389 record number of people going in to local hospitals because of carbon monoxide poisoning, trying to stay warm. 2390 bad. And I want to thank you for hosting this hearing today. 2391
- 2392 And I wanted to ask some questions specifically related to
- 2393 this catastrophic energy failure that we are having in our
- 2394 state right now.

The extreme weather events over the last few days have
caused a massive failure to deliver electricity to those who
desperately need it, as I just pointed out, and the inability
of some of these power plants to produce electricity when our
communities needed it the most meant that people in 254
counties all across our state are going without power.

And now we are at a point now, Mr. Chairman, to where there are people having to boil water. We have several places here in the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex where people are under boil alerts, because they don't have fresh water.

I even -- I have heard of at least one hospital that doesn't have fresh -- that doesn't have adequate clean water.

And in the days and weeks to come, we will be examining the questions of infrastructure-related causes, looking at what measures can be taken to properly weatherize and insulate our power plants of all fuel types.

Another important issue for us to consider is how we can better connect Texas to the national grid to allow for interregional transmission to bring electricity from other areas of the country. And yesterday I sent a letter to FERC with a desire to start a conversation on this. There will be many benefits and challenges of allowing limited energy transfers into ERCOT territory in certain emergency situations. There are a number of legal and technical infrastructure hurdles that we will need to overcome for greater interconnection,

- 2420 and I believe that every option should be explored so we can
- 2421 avert any other potential disasters that we may have in the
- 2422 future.
- And as we continue to search for answers, I am glad that
- 2424 we have some experts on power generation with us here today.
- 2425 And Mr. Craig -- and I don't want to get into the silly
- 2426 season of comparing things that -- that has been too much of
- the conversation, that has been utterly ridiculous, that
- 2428 people are comparing these things. We obviously had failures
- 2429 with all of our platforms in ERCOT, and we need to figure out
- 2430 how we can weatherize these things. And I want to ask you,
- 2431 given that a large part of the blame for the Texas grid
- 2432 failure was due to some of our more traditional fuels around
- 2433 natural gas and coal and nuclear, and not having adequate
- 2434 weatherization and insulation, can you speak a little bit
- 2435 about a -- what -- about weatherizing a power plant for cold
- 2436 weather looks like?
- \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman. I am not sure I
- 2438 am the expert on how to weatherize a coal plant or a gas
- 2439 plant. I do think there are ways to do so. I think folks at
- 2440 ERCOT and the generation owners ought to, you know, consult
- 2441 with folks in the Dakotas, and Minnesota, and places like
- that, where they are dealing with these sort of things, you
- 2443 know, year in and year out.
- I will say, however, that the way the market is designed

- 2445 doesn't encourage additional investments in generation
- 2446 technology. For instance, we have peaking plants in Ector
- 2447 County. They do not have the capacity to burn fuel oil in a
- 2448 situation like this. If the ERCOT market was structured such
- that there was a way to compensate for that additional
- reliability, you would have plenty more generating owning
- 2451 companies invest in the dual fuel capabilities to ensure
- that, when a situation like this comes, that there will be,
- you know, backup fuel to keep the generation going.
- I would also say that additional investments in energy
- 2455 storage which don't require water would be a smart
- investment, as well. And again, you know, always going back
- 2457 to more transmission to connect different parts of the Texas
- 2458 grid, as well as to different parts of --
- 2459 \*Mr. Veasey. Thank you very much.
- 2460 Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- 2461 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 2462 recognizes Mr. Bucshon for 5 minutes.
- 2463 \*Mr. Bucshon. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. And this
- 2464 is a great hearing. It is timely.
- Look, I am an all-of-the-above energy believer. I think
- 2466 we should continue to pursue innovation and technology
- 2467 advances across the energy generating space. You know, my
- 2468 district is a coal district, however, and I just, you know,
- 2469 want to remind people that, actually, coal may be the most

- 2470 reliable source of energy in this situation, because you have
- 2471 a stockpile at your plant, you don't require a pipeline, and
- 2472 -- when the wind and solar panels don't get frozen up or
- 2473 covered in snow.
- 2474 That said, that is why I think we need to continue to
- innovate across the energy space, and not forget about fossil
- 2476 fuel.
- I also am very happy that part of this conversation has
- 2478 been about energy efficiency, because, you know, I grew up in
- 2479 a small town, 1,500 people. And I can tell you the homes are
- 2480 100 years old, and they are very energy inefficient. That is
- 2481 a very big piece of this.
- Mr. Gordon, how did Invenergy wind projects perform in
- 2483 Texas, and how many megawatts out of the total system had to
- 2484 be shut down due to cold weather and icy conditions?
- 2485 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman, for the question.
- 2486 At various points of the last several days, many of our wind
- 2487 farms were not operational. However, at no point over this
- 2488 period did all of our wind projects fail to operate. So it
- 2489 was hit or miss. It was really dependent on the location of
- 2490 the facility. You know, some facilities were iced over more
- than others, and so some came through, you know, doing very
- 2492 well, better than expectations.
- 2493 \*Mr. Bucshon. Okay, how did Invenergy's natural gas
- 2494 units perform during the same period?

- 2495 \*Mr. Gordon. Yes, sir. So we were not able to procure
- 2496 natural gas. The transmission pipelines were not available.
- \*Mr. Bucshon. Okay, so -- I mean, I am just going along
- 2498 the lines of innovation and technological advances that can
- 2499 help all aspects of our energy generating system, including
- 2500 natural gas, including wind. And, I guess in Texas, we saw a
- 2501 domino effect, where the wind started to fail early in the
- 2502 wintery conditions, which constrained the system. And then,
- 2503 as natural gas, coal, and nuclear facilities -- plants began
- 2504 to have operational problems and freeze off, the blackouts
- 2505 started.
- Mr. Powell, if Texas were 100 percent wind for power
- 2507 generation, what would have happened to the grid?
- 2508 \*Mr. Powell. Well, I don't think Texas or any
- 2509 jurisdiction should be 100 percent any generation. You know,
- 2510 I think in any -- I just don't think it would be technically
- 2511 possible for Texas or any state to be 100 percent wind.
- 2512 \*Mr. Bucshon. I think that --
- 2513 \*Mr. Powell. If it was, this would have been a bad
- 2514 event, and I don't think --
- 2515 \*Mr. Bucshon. I mean, it is a hypothetical question, I
- 2516 think proving my point again, that --
- 2517 \*Mr. Powell. Sure.
- 2518 \*Mr. Bucshon. -- we need to continue to pursue an all-
- 2519 of-the-above energy approach, which includes renewables and

- 2520 fossil fuels.
- In addition, I guess, homes having no heat, it was
- 2522 reported that electric vehicles saw a dramatic loss of
- charge, and many charging stations were unavailable. Mr.
- 2524 Powell, how do you -- how do we ensure that future -- the
- 2525 future of EVs and the reliability of the charging stations
- are not another way we could leave people without access to
- 2527 their vehicles?
- \*Mr. Powell. It is a great question, Congressman. I
- 2529 think the unfortunate reality of this and many of the other
- extreme weather events we have seen, and will likely see more
- of, is that all parts of our energy system and our energy-
- 2532 dependent systems like transportation are going to have to be
- 2533 hardened for more extreme weather on both sides, for more
- 2534 extreme heat events and extreme cold events.
- 2535 Unfortunately, these extreme events are hard on all
- 2536 energy systems. They can be hard on batteries, and they can
- 2537 degrade the performance of these vehicles. So we are going
- 2538 to have to invest more in insulating these vehicles and
- 2539 improve technologies that can operate under a wider range of
- 2540 conditions if those are going to be a bigger part of the
- 2541 transportation system in the future.
- 2542 Unfortunately, it will --
- \*Mr. Bucshon. I mean, you have probably seen -- I think
- 2544 everyone has -- major automobile companies announcing they

are going to go completely electric in a short, fairly short
period of time. And interestingly, you know, I think GM did
a demonstration I posted on my social media, and they had an
electric car plugged in, and they asked the GM executive
where the electricity was coming from. And she replied,
"Well, it is coming from the building.'' And then she said,
"Well, it is the local power company providing power to the

building.'' And that wasn't the question. The question was

- 2554 And it turns out, in this area where they were demonstrating the electrical vehicle, 90 percent of the 2555 electrical power was generated from coal. So I just think we 2556 need to be open-eyed about this, and all of us, you know, try 2557 to be as least ideological and more practical as we can, and 2558 2559 recognize that we need to continue to advance innovation and technology across the space. You know, wind turbines are 2560 going to learn from this. They are not going to freeze up 2561 2562 any more, if we get some technological advances. thing is true with other forms of power. 2563
- So I would encourage all of us to continue to support innovation and technology advances to decrease our carbon emissions, as we have more than any other country in the world, and work towards a lower carbon future.
- 2568 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

where does the electricity come from.

2552

2553

2569 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now

- 2570 recognizes Ms. Schrier.
- 2571 Ms. Schrier, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
- \*Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to
- 2573 our witnesses.
- Dr. Pacala, your report covers a wide range of
- 2575 technologies that need to all be deployed in rapid fashion in
- 2576 order to reach our goals and have diversity and avoid putting
- 2577 all of our eggs in one basket. And I want to ask
- 2578 specifically about hydrogen cells for energy and their
- 2579 potential applications.
- Washington State's energy portfolio is 80 percent clean,
- 2581 mostly because of two-thirds of our energy, our electricity,
- 2582 comes from hydropower. And hydropower provides a fantastic,
- 2583 reliable baseload. And sometimes there is oversupply,
- 2584 especially when you add wind and solar. And spilling more
- 2585 water, which, you know, you would like to do,
- 2586 environmentally, actually could further harm salmon
- 2587 populations. And so there is a lot of interest in capturing
- 2588 and storing that excess, including as hydrogen energy.
- 2589 And I recently had a really interesting meeting with the
- 2590 Douglas County PUD general manager, Gary Ivory, about the
- 2591 renewable hydrogen demonstration project happening in my
- 2592 district. And last September the Bonneville Environmental
- 2593 Foundation partnered with the county to develop the first
- 2594 hydrogen fueling station for fuel-cell electric vehicles in

- 2595 Washington State. Increasing development of these
- 2596 technologies and storing excess electricity in this way could
- go a long way toward building a clean energy economy.
- The White House has also pointed to green or renewable
- 2599 hydrogen as an area they are interested in. And I know the
- 2600 Department of Energy has been working on this innovation for
- 2601 years.
- Your report calls on a rapid scaling of hydrogen
- 2603 technology, stating that we need -- that this could create
- 2604 positive synergies. Now, in parts of my district I can't
- 2605 drive 2 minutes without seeing a Tesla, but I have yet to see
- 2606 a hydrogen-cell-powered vehicle. And so I just want to know,
- 2607 where are we with hydrogen innovation? Has it reached a
- 2608 point where it can play a serious role in helping the U.S.
- 2609 meet an interim goal of net zero by 2050? And can you talk
- about some of these positive synergies?
- 2611 \*Dr. Pacala. So, like Rich Powell, I believe that
- 2612 hydrogen is a big piece of the long-term future. But the
- 2613 fact is that hydrogen, as an energy storage device, is still
- 2614 expensive. All right? And it is still expensive relative to
- other alternatives that we could deploy during the 2020s.
- So during the 2020s, if we expand our net zero power
- 2617 offerings primarily with wind and solar, while planning for
- other sources, right, while trying to reduce the very high
- 2619 cost now of nuclear, and while also preparing for CO2

- 2620 transport technology so that we continue to use decarbonized
- 2621 fossils -- if we, as a species, decide to do so, as a nation
- 2622 decide to do so -- then these are ways in which we can reach
- 2623 an 80 percent decarbonized power grid.
- 2624 And then hydrogen comes in probably later. And it
- depends on the combined ingenuity of people in the country.
- 2626 Now, I am a real believer in the combined ingenuity. It is
- one of many technologies that we need to double down, on R&D
- 2628 investments. Yes.
- 2629 \*Ms. Schrier. Yes, I really appreciate that, because,
- 2630 first, it gives me a perspective on time. But second,
- 2631 starting these kind of pilot projects now is what will pave
- the way to the 2030s, and potentially having this.
- 2633 And we have heard a lot about resources, whether they
- are metals, solar panels that are cheaper now from China, and
- 2635 not wanting to be dependent, that this is just one of the --
- 2636 sort of the layers of redundancy that will help give us that
- 2637 kind of security.
- I wanted to ask, and I am not sure which of you is the
- 2639 best to ask, just about other ways of storing excess energy.
- 2640 Because we will get that from wind and solar, too. And I
- 2641 wonder if you could just comment -- I have got about 40
- 2642 seconds left -- about other ways of storing excess energy.
- 2643 \*Dr. Pacala. So I can. Pumped hydro is the way we do
- 2644 it now, but we have exhausted a lot of their -- a lot of the

- 2645 sites for that.
- Long-term batteries that make fuels like hydrogen and
- store it is another way to do it. And there are a number of
- 2648 technologies that look for that. There are some exotic,
- 2649 long-term storage solutions.
- Right now, the center of the action on close to
- 2651 deployment or deployable is grid-scale storage in the -- sort
- of the 6-hour range, which is one of the sweet spots. And
- that is a real commercial opportunity for U.S. firms.
- 2654 \*Ms. Schrier. Can you tell me more about that, the --
- oh, we are out of time.
- I yield back. Thank you very much.
- 2657 \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now
- 2658 recognizes Mr. Walberg for 5 minutes.
- 2659 \*Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We all agree on
- the need for a clean energy future. What we differ on, as
- this hearing title indicates, is the best path to get there.
- As many of my colleagues have already indicated, this
- 2663 Administration has dropped an economic bomb onto the nation's
- 2664 energy sector, threatening hundreds of thousands of jobs, and
- 2665 billions in state tax revenues that go toward supporting
- 2666 public schools, fire departments, police stations, and
- 2667 countless other community services.
- 2668 The Laborers International Union of North America said
- themselves that canceling the Keystone XL pipeline will

- result in the loss of 1,000 jobs immediately, and an
- additional 10,000 jobs over time.
- Mr. Powell, in your testimony you state that, according
- 2673 to the International Energy Agency, only 2 of 14 critical
- 2674 power-sector technologies are on track to reduce emissions in
- 2675 the timeframe laid out by President Biden's executive orders.
- 2676 Further, you state -- and I quote -- "Requiring further
- 2677 emissions reductions before those technologies are ready
- 2678 poses significant risks to the reliability and affordability
- of our energy system, and to the millions of workers whose
- jobs rely on that energy supply.''
- We have already heard demands that President Biden go
- 2682 further to ban all fossil fuels, shut down initial --
- 2683 additional pipelines, and enact policies inspired by the job-
- 2684 killing Green New Deal. And so, Mr. Camp, thank you for
- 2685 talking about the vital role natural gas plays in Western
- 2686 Pennsylvania. We know natural gas has already played a
- 2687 critical role in reducing emissions in the power sector.
- 2688 What about heavy industry?
- 2689 Can we continue to meet the demands of steel and cement
- 2690 facilities without natural gas?
- 2691 \*Mr. Camp. Natural gas plays an important role in the
- 2692 heavy industries. You know, I don't specialize in can we
- 2693 meet the demands, but personally, what I see whenever I talk
- 2694 to the individuals who are running these facilities, that

- they need the natural gas to meet these demands. You know,
- 2696 that is based off their opinion.
- You know, I don't think we can cut the fossil fuels
- 2698 completely out. I think we can't abandon them. I think we
- 2699 have to clean them up. But I think this committee alone will
- 2700 work together to do that. It is important that we continue
- 2701 to use those fossil fuels to have that feedstock into these
- 2702 facilities.
- You know, as we talk in great lengths about nuclear, you
- 2704 know, Beaver County is home to First Energy -- is now Energy
- 2705 Harbor. We do have a nuclear power plant in Beaver County.
- 2706 We had a coal-fired power plant in Beaver County that closed
- 2707 down in 2019. So, you know, not -- as I speak, not just on
- the natural gas industry, when I say "all energy sectors,''
- 2709 that is what I am talking about here, in Beaver County, in
- 2710 Southwestern Pennsylvania.
- 2711 \*Mr. Walberg. All-of-the-above plan. Thank you.
- 2712 Mr. Powell, according to the U.S. Energy Information
- 2713 Administration, no power sector technology has been
- 2714 responsible for more emission reductions than natural gas
- over the past decade. We have also moved to become a top
- 2716 exporter of liquid -- liquefied natural gas, allowing more
- 2717 counties and countries to utilize cleaner fuels. In your
- 2718 testimony you highlight the opportunity of exporting clean
- 2719 U.S. technologies and commodities. How does restricting

- 2720 fossil fuel development align with that line of thinking?
- \*Mr. Powell. Well, thanks for the question,
- 2722 Congressman, thanks for your leadership on cleaner fossil
- 2723 technologies and innovation in this space.
- I do think there is a real tension there. Exporting
- 2725 liquefied natural gas, for example, is one of the top ways
- that we can help other economies around the world decarbonize
- their sectors. Often that liquefied natural gas is going in
- 2728 and it is displacing, often times, critical coal plants, some
- of the highest emitting plants in the world, or coal for
- 2730 district heating. So liquefied natural gas exports can play
- 2731 a huge role in that global decarbonizing picture. And I
- 2732 don't think that is necessarily being taken into account when
- 2733 folks are talking about restricting particular pieces of U.S.
- 2734 fossil extraction.
- 2735 \*Mr. Walberg. And in my home state -- in fact, my own
- 2736 district -- America's largest electric utilities, like DTE in
- 2737 my district, have committed to reaching net zero emissions by
- 2738 2050.
- 2739 You also mentioned that zero-emission fuels like
- 2740 hydrogen should play a role in response to climate change.
- 2741 Has your organization looked at how existing infrastructure,
- 2742 such as our natural gas pipeline network, can be utilized to
- 2743 deliver alternative fuels?
- \*Mr. Powell. Absolutely. I think we should all

- 2745 remember that we have this asset. We have, literally,
- 2746 trillions of dollars of natural gas infrastructure in the
- 2747 ground around this country. We should be trying to find ways
- 2748 to work with that as part of a low-carbon future. And there
- 2749 are so many ways.
- 2750 We could use that natural gas. We could create hydrogen
- with it, and capture the carbon emissions, and put them
- 2752 underground. We could partially run hydrogen alongside
- 2753 natural gas and other low-carbon fuels through the pipelines
- 2754 along the way. We could do a lot with that existing
- infrastructure. Again, we ought to be focusing on reducing
- the emissions, not on eliminating the use of the fossil
- fuels, and certainly not on eliminating the use of the fossil
- fuel infrastructure, which we have invested so dearly in, and
- which could be a real asset in decarbonizing.
- 2760 \*Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.
- 2761 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 2762 recognizes Ms. DeGette for 5 minutes.
- \*Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. I am so
- 2764 delighted to join your subcommittee in this Congress. I
- 2765 think that energy policy and, in particular, climate issues
- 2766 are going to be the preeminent issue in this Congress.
- 2767 And I also -- I want to share your concern, the concern
- 2768 of so many on this committee, about what has happened in
- 2769 Texas, which is really a national strategy (sic). And I will

- volunteer to put the resources of the Oversight Subcommittee
- 2771 to work in helping us make sure that we get to the bottom of
- what happened in Texas, and working with you to make sure we
- 2773 can have policies that address this.
- I just want to ask some questions of the panel about
- 2775 greenhouse gas emissions. And the first thing I want to say
- 2776 -- my staff actually wrote a question on this, but I don't
- 2777 think we need a question on it. I think everybody on this
- 2778 panel would agree Americans deserve affordable, reliable
- 2779 electricity. And that is becoming more and more of a
- 2780 challenge, something we need to deal with.
- I want to ask the panel this question: Does climate
- 2782 science tell us we need to reduce our greenhouse gas
- 2783 emissions to net zero by no later than 2050, and sooner, if
- 2784 possible, to minimize the risk of catastrophic climate events
- 2785 like we are seeing right now?
- Let's just go down the panel, if we can.
- 2787 Ms. Glover?
- 2788 [Pause.]
- 2789 \*Ms. DeGette. You have gone on mute. There you go.
- 2790 \*Ms. Glover. I said, "Congressman, I really don't know
- 2791 if scientists are telling you that it has to be net zero by
- 2792 2050. I'' --
- 2793 \*Ms. DeGette. Okay, you don't know.
- \*Ms. Glover. I don't have that knowledge.

- 2795 \*Ms. DeGette. Okay. Dr. Pacala?
- \*Dr. Pacala. Yes. So the science is extremely clear
- 2797 that, if you want to limit global climate change to
- 2798 substantially less than 2 degrees, the globe has to get to
- 2799 net zero by 2050.
- 2800 \*Ms. DeGette. Okay, all right.
- 2801 \*Dr. Pacala. There is no doubt about that.
- 2802 \*Ms. DeGette. Thank you.
- 2803 Mr. Gordon?
- \*Mr. Gordon. Yes, Congresswoman. Again, I am not
- 2805 qualified to answer that question.
- 2806 \*Ms. DeGette. So you don't know, either.
- 2807 Mr. Powell?
- 2808 \*Mr. Powell. So I echo Dr. Pacala's point that,
- 2809 globally, we need to make an extremely deep reduction in CO2
- 2810 emissions if we are to have that impact on the climate.
- \*Ms. DeGette. Great. And Mr. Camp?
- 2812 \*Mr. Camp. As Mr. Gordon said, I am not qualified to
- 2813 make that --
- 2814 \*Ms. DeGette. Okay.
- 2815 \*Mr. Camp. But with the -- with Dr. Pacala, this
- 2816 hearing we mentioned many times, this is a global issue.
- 2817 \*Ms. DeGette. Absolutely.
- 2818 \*Mr. Camp. And if we continue to take our fossil
- 2819 fuels --

- 2820 \*Ms. DeGette. I appreciate that, sir. You are right.
- 2821 The 2018 report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 2822 Change says that we need to reduce our global greenhouse gas
- emissions to zero no later than 2050, and sooner if possible.
- Dr. Pacala, I want to ask you if we have the technology
- 2825 today to achieve an ambitious reduction in carbon emissions
- 2826 by 2030, while still providing affordable, reliable
- 2827 electricity for every American?
- 2828 \*Dr. Pacala. Yes, we absolutely have the technology to
- 2829 do that.
- 2830 \*Ms. DeGette. Okay. And that is interesting, because
- what I heard, like, from my utilities is that we have most of
- the technology. It is that last 10 to 20 percent we just
- 2833 need to incentivize. Is -- would that be accurate, or do you
- think we could just get there today?
- \*Dr. Pacala. Yes, it is absolutely accurate. So the --
- 2836 most net zero plans by 2050 call for a 75 percent or 80
- 2837 percent decarbonized -- de-emissioned grid, electricity grid,
- 2838 by 2030. Okay?
- 2839 \*Ms. DeGette. Right.
- 2840 \*Dr. Pacala. And so --
- 2841 \*Ms. DeGette. Right.
- 2842 \*Dr. Pacala. -- it is true that the last 20 percent is
- 2843 way harder.
- 2844 \*Ms. DeGette. Right. But that is why we need to

- 2845 incentivize research and development, from --
- 2846 \*Dr. Pacala. Right.
- \*Ms. DeGette. -- what I have heard, to get there,
- 2848 because we can't get there without new technology, is that
- 2849 right?
- 2850 \*Dr. Pacala. That is right. And also, right now, we
- get to use, for instance, our abundant natural gas capacity
- 2852 as backup generators to provide the firm source of
- 2853 electricity for when the wind doesn't blow, when the sun
- doesn't shine. And that gets you down to about 80 percent
- 2855 decarbonized. But then you have got to do something with
- those sources as well, either decarbonize them, carbon
- 2857 capture and storage, or build more nukes, or build some other
- 2858 -- you know, build long-term storage or something, some other
- 2859 form source.
- 2860 \*Ms. DeGette. Right. So, just for my colleagues, I
- 2861 have got a bill, the Clean Energy Innovation and Deployment
- 2862 Act, which is designed to address this issue by setting up a
- 3-speed mechanism where the speed to which we try to get to
- 2864 zero is impacted on how fast we can break through with new
- 2865 technology. So I will be talking more about that.
- Thanks to our whole panel. I appreciate it.
- Thanks again, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.
- 2868 \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. Let me just say
- 2869 to the gentlelady that I want to personally welcome you to

- 2870 the subcommittee, and I look forward to working with you over
- 2871 this next -- so again, my personal welcome to you to this
- 2872 subcommittee.
- 2873 \*Ms. DeGette. Thank you.
- 2874 \*Mr. Rush. The chair now recognizes Mr. Duncan for 5
- 2875 minutes.
- 2876 \*Mr. Duncan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for this
- 2877 hearing. I want to enter into the record an editorial from
- 2878 The Wall Street Journal today. It has a lot of facts in it.
- 2879 It is entitled, "Texas Spins into the Wind,'' and I would
- 2880 like to enter that into the record.
- \*Mr. Rush. Hearing no objections, so ordered.
- [The information follows:]

2883

\*Mr. Duncan. Thank you. I also want to point out -and Ms. DeGette may want to look at this article -- but there
is a great graphic on there, very difficult to see on there.
But let me just tell you that change in power output in the
State of Texas from January the 18th until February the 17th,
when generation reduced by almost 20,000 megawatts, that was

a 93 percent reduction in wind power output.

- At the same time you saw coal increase by 47 percent,
  and natural gas increase. This is power generation output
  increased by 450 percent. I don't know that it was
  necessarily the transmission to the power plants, other than
  a diversion of some of the natural gas in Texas to meet the
  needs of powering and heating homes and hospitals and other
  communities.
- 2900 You know, Mr. Chairman, in my district we get a lot of our power from nuclear power. Nuclear energy produces a lot 2901 2902 of the electricity in the Carolinas. In fact, Duke Energy in the Carolinas has a fleet of 11 nuclear power plants that 2903 make up more than 50 percent of the power utility in North 2904 2905 Carolina and South Carolina. That fleet of nuclear power plants are responsible for cleaner air where I live. 2906 alone, this same nuclear fleet generated almost 74 billion 2907 kilowatt hours of electricity, and avoided the release of 2908 more than 52 million tons of carbon dioxide. 2909
- I point that out because nuclear energy is the future if

- we want to lower our carbon emissions in this country. And I 2911 2912 am all about next-gen nuclear power, I am all about SMRs and thorium reactors, and anything that we can do, Mr. Chairman. 2913 But I wanted to ask Mr. Powell, as you stated in 2914 2915 testimony, in order to reduce CO2 emissions as fast as possible we need to modernize the permitting process. Last 2916 Congress I introduced a bill to modernize the review of our 2917 nuclear power reactor projects, and I plan to reintroduce 2918 this bill again in this Congress. I hope some of my 2919 2920 colleagues on the other side of the aisle will join me on that. But could you -- what do you see as the biggest 2921 barrier to rapidly deploying new, clean-energy projects and 2922
- \*Mr. Powell. Well, first, thank you, Congressman, for
  your leadership on nuclear innovation and supporting the
  existing nuclear fleet, both extremely important. ClearPath
  was founded in the Carolinas, and we greatly appreciate the
  remarkable clean energy abundance that that nuclear fleet
  that Duke maintains provides, along with the clean air, and

-- whether it is nuclear and other clean technologies, Mr.

2923

2924

2931

Powell?

You know, going forward with nuclear and continuing
that, there is a couple of big challenges ahead. The first
is modernizing the existing nuclear fleet so that those
plants could all go through the second life extensions, and

the tax base, and all the other great benefits of nuclear.

- 2936 could go from being 60-year plants to 80-year plants.
- In the wholesale power markets, the ones that aren't
- 2938 regulated, a lot of those nuclear plants are facing extreme
- 2939 economic stress due to subsidized renewables and extremely
- 2940 low-cost natural gas. There is a number of pieces of
- legislation that have been introduced in the past Congress
- that I think could be looked at again this year that would
- 2943 take a stab at preserving those existing nuclear units, using
- 2944 EPA and other authorities to keep those generating, keep
- 2945 those online. I think that is a really important priority.
- Then, as we think about the future, and the next
- 2947 generation of reactors, obviously there is a big piece about
- 2948 regulatory reform. You have really taken this on at the
- 2949 Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Finding ways to streamline
- 2950 and shorten the timelining to permit new nuclear design is
- 2951 absolutely vital right now. The fastest the NRC could do is
- 2952 about 40 months. And with a lot of licensing activity in
- 2953 front of that to get a new nuclear design license, you can't
- 2954 even start building or financing it before you get that
- 2955 design license. That is a long time --
- 2956 \*Mr. Duncan. Right.
- 2957 \*Mr. Powell. -- kind of innovator, right, so finding
- 2958 ways to shorten that down.
- 2959 And then, once we get the plants actually -- the designs
- licensed, finding ways to then get the siting and the

- 2961 permitting of the specific sites done in a more expeditious
- 2962 manner, while not sacrificing in any way safety in that
- 2963 siting, I think is the next big challenge.
- 2964 \*Mr. Duncan. Absolutely. And I just want to point this
- 2965 out, that in my district alone, replacing the Oconee Nuclear
- 2966 Station, which is a land use of about 2 square miles with
- 2967 solar, would require 107 square miles of land. That is
- 2968 nearly 4 times the size of the City of Greenville, South
- 2969 Carolina. To replace a nuclear power with wind would require
- over 854 square miles of land. That is more land than the
- 2971 entirety of Anderson County, which is in my congressional
- 2972 district. So we have got to address all these, I believe, in
- 2973 nuclear.
- 2974 Mr. Chairman, it is a great hearing, I have enjoyed it.
- 2975 And I look forward to continue to listen on the way out.
- 2976 Thanks.
- 2977 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 2978 recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina, Mr.
- 2979 Butterfield.
- 2980 \*Mr. Butterfield. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman,
- 2981 for convening this very important hearing today. And
- 2982 certainly thank you to the witnesses for your testimony. Let
- 2983 me start with Mr. Gordon.
- Mr. Gordon, you referenced, I believe, a solar project
- in my district, a 75 megawatt solar project called Edgecombe

- 2986 Solar. It is in Edgecombe County, North Carolina, which is
- 2987 just a few miles from where I am right now. Let me just
- 2988 commend your company's decision to base this project in my
- 2989 district. This project, along with others across the state,
- 2990 will ensure that North Carolina remains a leader in solar
- 2991 energy deployment. So thank you so very much.
- Now, my question is, how can we continue to support the
- 2993 development of the solar industry? And perhaps you could
- 2994 provide some insights into your company's decision to build a
- 2995 solar farm in a rural community so we can learn more about
- 2996 what constitutes an attractive environment for solar and for
- 2997 renewables.
- 2998 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman, for the
- 2999 opportunity to answer your question.
- I think, first and foremost, you have got to have the
- 3001 right conditions for a solar plant. So, you know, ample sun.
- 3002 But you also need interconnection capacity. You need to be
- 3003 able to connect to the grid at a cost that is affordable,
- 3004 because, you know, high cost to connect can kill a project
- 3005 quickly. And I think, you know, undermining -- or
- 3006 underpinning those 2 things, you need the customers who are
- 3007 willing to buy it. And what we are seeing right now is a --
- 3008 just a huge interest from Fortune 100 companies to invest in
- 3009 renewable energy.
- 3010 And so what we are doing is we are trying to work with

- 3011 some of these companies to find locations where they have
- 3012 interest in -- you know, in having renewables nearby to act
- 3013 as an energy hedge for them, or to provide renewable
- 3014 attributes to them.
- 3015 So I think the answer to your question, you know,
- 3016 complex. There is a lot of things going on. And ultimately,
- 3017 we are also looking for landowners who want a project. You
- 3018 know, we provide significant financial benefits to the
- 3019 landowners who participate. And so it -- the whole community
- 3020 is raised.
- 3021 \*Mr. Butterfield. I am glad you are mentioning the land
- 3022 ownership aspect of it, because that is so critically
- 3023 important. I know it is here in my district.
- 3024 The construction of high voltage, low -- long-distance
- 3025 transmission facilities is highly necessary to meet the needs
- 3026 of the clean-energy transition. Existing utilities, such as
- 3027 electric co-ops and municipally-owned utilities, will rely on
- 3028 these transmission facilities for distribution of renewable
- 3029 energy. Mr. Gordon, as high-voltage transmission
- 3030 infrastructure is constructed to integrate growing renewable
- 3031 energy production, how can we make sure the services of
- 3032 existing electric utilities can continue to serve their
- 3033 customers uninterrupted?
- 3034 \*Mr. Gordon. So the type of projects that we are
- 3035 proposing basically interconnect with the high-voltage grid

- 3036 at the various locations. They do not disrupt the local
- 3037 service whatsoever. And what they do is, ultimately, provide
- new resources, new low-cost, renewable resources to be
- 3039 shipped and delivered to areas of the country that may not
- 3040 have an abundance of geography to site new wind or new solar
- 3041 such as South Carolina.
- 3042 \*Mr. Butterfield. Yes. Let me take my last minute with
- 3043 Ms. Glover, if I may.
- 3044 Ms. Glover, while climate change affects everyone, our
- 3045 most vulnerable communities disproportionately bear the brunt
- 3046 of impacts of climate change. This is why environmental
- 3047 justice is a critical part of the Clean Future Act. Low-
- 3048 income communities like my community and communities of color
- 3049 are more likely to lack resiliency against the risk of
- 3050 climate change, and less likely to have access to sustainable
- and affordable energy. We have got to fix this thing.
- Ms. Glover, from your perspective, what can we do to
- 3053 make sure that low-income communities, communities of color
- 3054 are better prepared for climate change?
- 3055 \*Ms. Glover. Thank you so much for the question, Mr. --
- 3056 Congressman Butterfield, and for your leadership. You know,
- 3057 I am going to keep repeating my song, which is that I believe
- 3058 energy efficiency is really one of the starting points for
- 3059 this. And it should be the center point of these
- 3060 conversations.

- At the end of the day, we want to be able to get to 3061 customers, particularly those in low-income, disadvantaged 3062 communities, front-line communities, and help them to use 3063 less now, and invest in those communities so that they are 3064 3065 using less, so that there is more money for them, but also to be able to develop their infrastructure so that it is more 3066 resilient. Those 2 things combined, I think, need to happen 3067 3068 in those -- the worst of our communities, the communities
- And I believe that energy efficiency really is an opportunity that is sitting right there, and something that we can pull the trigger on fairly quickly, and can have some significant impact very quickly, as well.

that are suffering the most.

- 3074 \*Mr. Butterfield. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am right 3075 on the mark. I yield back.
- 3076 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now recognizes Mrs. Lesko for 5 minutes.
- 3078 \*Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good 3079 afternoon to the witnesses and all of the members.
- I agree with Mr. Pallone, that we need to try to work
  together to come up with an energy plan for the future of
  America. I think it needs to be a common-sense, affordable,
  reliable, high-quality energy plan.
- I have to tell you that I don't think it should copy the
  California policies, because my utility companies here in

Arizona say that at certain times of the year California 3086 3087 actually pays Arizona utilities to take their energy off of their hands. And I don't think that is probably a very good 3088 plan for the Californians. 3089 3090 I do, Mr. Chairman, want to ask unanimous consent that an article mentioned by Morgan Griffith earlier be entered 3091 into the record. It is a New York Times December 6, 2019 3092 article entitled, "Can a Coal Town Reinvest Itself?'' 3093 \*Mr. Rush. I thought I had, by unanimous consent, 3094 3095 already entered that into the record. \*Mrs. Lesko. Oh, fantastic. Mr. Griffith had texted me 3096 and didn't know if it was done or not. So thank you. 3097 \*Mr. Rush. Will the gentlelady -- for a moment? Let me 3098 just take another stab at it. 3099 Hearing no objections, so ordered. The lady's request 3100 for the -- entering into the record of the New York Times 3101 article. 3102 3103 [The information follows:] 3104

\*

3105

- \*Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have a question
- 3108 for Mr. Powell.
- 3109 Mr. Powell, the Center for Negative Carbon Emissions at
- 3110 Arizona State University is currently working on carbon
- 3111 removal technologies, particularly direct air capture under
- 3112 the direction of Klaus Kackner. Do you know if -- what we
- 3113 can do to increase the efforts and research on that
- 3114 technology and use of that technology?
- 3115 Do you think it is being financed enough?
- \*Mr. Powell. Thank you so much for that question,
- 3117 Congresswoman, and thank you for your attention to this
- 3118 really important, relatively new technology.
- 3119 Everyone should remember that, when we say net zero,
- that means that folks might still be emitting as long as they
- 3121 have a corresponding offset, or something netting out those
- 3122 emissions, and pulling it back out of the atmosphere. And
- that is what these technologies like direct air capture, or
- 3124 broader carbon dioxide removal technologies could do. They
- 3125 could give us a lot of flexibility, and they could also, in
- 3126 the far future, if we decide there is just too much CO2 in
- 3127 the atmosphere, maybe we might decide to pull more out, just
- 3128 as a public service kind of a thing.
- And so it is very, very important. A lot of university-
- 3130 scale research is done at this stage. I was very excited to
- 3131 see in the Energy Act of 2020 a major new program to

- 3132 demonstrate these technologies at scale was authorized in
- 3133 that bill. This would be the real start of a big federal
- 3134 program to actually demonstrate it. There is a prize concept
- 3135 which would be conducted at the Environmental Protection
- 3136 Agency for breakthrough technologies in this space. And then
- 3137 there would be a more traditional demonstration program at
- 3138 the Department of Energy.
- Of course, the authorizing legislation is only the first
- 3140 step. And now your colleagues on the Appropriations
- 3141 Committee actually have to fund that research at DoE, and
- 3142 that prize at EPA. And I think significantly more can be
- 3143 done in this space.
- A number of utilities who have made net zero commitments
- 3145 seem to be relying on the existence of a serious amount of
- this technology 30 or 40 years from now. I know Duke Energy,
- for example, in some of their modeling has indicated they
- 3148 might like to buy as much as 8 million tons a year. That is
- 3149 a really significant market signal to innovators in this
- 3150 space. But that is a market signal far in the future. So we
- 3151 need to invest in the R&D along the way to make sure that
- that is actually going to be available when they want to
- 3153 start buying that in the future.
- \*Mrs. Lesko. Well, thank you, Mr. Powell. That sounds
- 3155 like something maybe the Democrats and Republicans can agree
- 3156 upon as part of the energy mix. And so I hope we can.

Mr. Powell, I have another question for you. 3157 3158 understanding is that the federal government is required to purchase 7.5 percent of its energy from renewable sources. 3159 But right now hydroelectric power isn't included as a 3160 3161 renewable energy source. And I know Representative Schrier talked about all the hydroelectric power in her state. Why 3162 shouldn't hydroelectric power be included as a renewable 3163 3164 energy source? It seems counterintuitive to me. And do you think it should be? 3165 3166 \*Mr. Powell. That is a great question. It absolutely should be. And to take a bigger step back, it is unclear to 3167 me why that requirement is only renewable resources. 3168 we care about is low-carbon energy, I don't see why that 3169 wouldn't be a low-carbon requirement for federal purchasing, 3170 not a renewable requirement. 3171 I was actually heartened to see, I believe, one of the 3172 3173 executive orders from the Biden Administration actually proposed making that change, that it is going to be a carbon-3174 free procurement, as opposed to a renewable procurement. 3175 3176 long way to go, I don't think that has been implemented yet, 3177 but I think that is a step in the right direction. And absolutely large and existing hydropower should be 3178 part of that mix. It is -- right now it is the second-3179 3180 largest renewable resource in this country, and it is by far

the most flexible renewable resource in this country. So it

- 3182 certainly should be included in procurements like that.
- 3183 \*Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Powell.
- 3184 And Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now recognizes the gentlelady from California, Ms. Matsui, for 5
- 3187 minutes.
- \*Ms. Matsui. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I am really
- 3189 looking forward to being on this subcommittee. And I also
- 3190 want to thank the witnesses for being here today. This is
- 3191 such an important subject area, and I think we can devote a
- 3192 lot of time to it, but I am trying to be as quick as
- 3193 possible.
- A clean energy development fueled by California's
- 3195 renewable portfolio standard or, as we call it, RPS, has
- 3196 attracted more than \$2 billion in clean energy investments.
- 3197 And the clean energy sector now employs over a half a million
- 3198 workers in the state. Now, federal tax credits for solar and
- 3199 wind energy have also made these developments possible. And
- 3200 the recent extension of these programs really will continue
- 3201 to fuel investments into clean energy and decarbonization.
- 3202 Given California's success with RPS, a national clean
- 3203 energy standard, or CES, should be a crucial solution for
- 3204 decarbonization. Dr. Pacala, I would like to ask you about
- 3205 the role a CES can play in driving decarbonization during
- 3206 this decade, the 2020s, and what is a realistic, ambitious

clean-energy target for 2030? 3207 3208 [No response.] \*Ms. Matsui. Dr. Pacala? 3209 \*Dr. Pacala. So I should start by representing what is 3210 3211 in the report that we just released, and that is that we recommend a clean energy standard that -- particularly for 3212 3213 electric power -- that gets us to 75 percent zero carbon electricity by 2030, and also a standard for zero emissions 3214 vehicles that gets us to 50 percent of sales for light-duty 3215 3216 vehicles by 2030, and also a zero emissions standard, manufacturing standard, for home appliances, particularly 3217 home heating, but also home cooling. 3218 3219 I want to also just double down on the point that you made, that the position that we are in, where we can do a 3220 transition at about the same cost as the energy system that 3221 we have had over the last 30 years -- actually, a little less 3222 3223 than the energy system we have had for the last 30 years -the reason we are in that position is a triumph of human 3224 ingenuity, backed by public policy. 3225 3226 So it is precisely the creation, for instance, of markets in wind and solar before they were ready, and also, 3227 to some extent, the unconventional natural gas by using 3228 public policy instruments that created these markets before 3229

they were ready, that allowed free-market competition to

drive their costs down, and made them available as

3230

- 3232 alternatives today.
- 3233 And the clean -- the fuel standard in California has
- 3234 been used in exactly that same way. I will note that one of
- 3235 the big companies doing direct air capture is making use of
- 3236 that subsidy to bring that technology into the marketplace,
- 3237 even though it is still pretty commercial, otherwise.
- 3238 \*Ms. Matsui. Okay. Now I want to get into
- 3239 transportation. The Diesel Emissions Reductions Act bill
- 3240 that I have championed for many years was enacted last
- 3241 Congress. This legislation focused on providing millions of
- 3242 dollars in funding to retrofit polluting diesel engines in
- 3243 medium and high-duty -- heavy-duty vehicles with cleaner
- 3244 technologies.
- 3245 Similarly, my home state adopted the Advanced Clean
- 3246 Trucks bill, which requires truck makers to sell cleaner zero
- 3247 emission trucks in the state. Both initiatives will have
- 3248 significant consequences on reducing greenhouse gas emissions
- 3249 and air pollution for frontline communities.
- Dr. Pacala, once again, what are your recommendations
- for actions to reduce emissions from heavy-duty vehicles in
- 3252 this decade?
- 3253 \*Dr. Pacala. So there are --
- 3254 \*Ms. Matsui. Go ahead.
- 3255 \*Dr. Pacala. Yes, there are 2 technologies that can be
- 3256 used to decarbonize heavy, heavy vehicles, and they are still

- 3257 in competition. Right?
- There are some developers that think that you can do
- 3259 this with batteries, even for long haulers, and that we can
- 3260 get charging rates down to low enough levels that you could
- 3261 do long hauling, interstate transport with big trucks.
- 3262 Almost everyone agrees now that, for routes less than 250
- 3263 miles, which includes a lot of the urban traffic you are
- 3264 talking about that leads to local air pollution, that
- 3265 probably can be done with batteries.
- The alternative is hydrogen fuel cells right now. And
- 3267 hydrogen fuel cells represent, you know, still -- there is a
- 3268 horse race. I think that, if I had to guess, I am going to
- 3269 guess batteries are going to win, but I wouldn't go to the
- 3270 market on that yet.
- \*Ms. Matsui. Okay, well, I am running out of time, so
- 3272 thank you very much. I yield back. Thank you.
- 3273 \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now
- 3274 recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Pence, for 5
- 3275 minutes.
- 3276 [Pause.]
- 3277 \*Mr. Rush. Mr. Pence? Please unmute.
- 3278 [Pause.]
- \*Mr. Rush. Mr. Pence, it seems as though you are muted.
- 3280 Mr. Pence, it seems as though you are muted.
- 3281 [Pause.]

- 3282 \*Mr. Burgess. Mr. Chairman, perhaps we could go to Mr.
- 3283 Armstrong, and we will try to get Mr. Pence on.
- \*Mr. Rush. The chair now recognizes Mr. Armstrong for 5
- 3285 minutes.
- 3286 \*Mr. Armstrong. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 3287 And Mr. Powell, I actually appreciated some of what you
- 3288 talked about, probably because I was the prime sponsor of the
- 3289 FAST Act legislation last session, and am going to introduce
- 3290 it again. And I know Ms. Castor is going after me, and I had
- 3291 the ability to serve on the Select Committee on the Climate
- 3292 Crisis with her. And one thing we heard from witnesses from
- 3293 all across the ideological spectrum is the interoperability
- 3294 and the interoperability of our grid is reliant on
- 3295 infrastructure.
- And regardless of what source of infrastructure that is,
- 3297 the permitting process, primarily with federal -- in federal
- 3298 areas has become so duplicative, burdensome, and just simply
- 3299 takes so long that it is very difficult to raise capital for
- 3300 that. So, if you could, just talk about that as part of
- 3301 making sure, regardless of which energy is getting on the
- grid, that we actually have an ability to do this.
- Because I am in North Dakota right now, and we obviously
- 3304 deal with these issues better than Texas. We know winter
- 3305 pretty well. But we have rolling blackouts as well right
- 3306 now, because of the strain on the grid, as a whole, from the

- 3307 Canadian border to the Gulf of Mexico.
- 3308 \*Mr. Powell. Absolutely. So thank you so much for the
- 3309 question, Congressman. Thank you for your leadership on this
- 3310 really important issue.
- You know, we can only build clean energy as fast as we
- 3312 can permit it. And it doesn't really matter what your vision
- of a clean energy future is, whether it is something that is
- 3314 really, really highly renewable and requires an enormous
- amount of new transmission, and that kind of linear
- infrastructure along with a lot of really large land area
- 3317 developments, like very large wind farms or large solar
- 3318 plants, or if it is a vision of the future that has a much
- 3319 more compact, clean energy vision, like a lot of carbon
- 3320 capture plants on existing fossil facilities.
- But that probably requires more pipelines running around
- the country, taking that carbon dioxide away from those power
- 3323 plants. Or if it is a vision with a lot of hydrogen, that is
- 3324 going to require a lot of new hydrogen pipelines. Like,
- regardless, we are going to need to build a significant
- amount of new linear infrastructure in this country,
- thousands and tens of thousands of miles of this.
- I think the Princeton net zero study that Dr. Pacala was
- 3329 very influential in setting up the meeting has demonstrated
- 3330 that, kind of regardless of which clean energy future, we are
- 3331 going to need an enormous amount of this, going forward. And

so it just cannot be the case that it takes a decade from
the, you know, beginning of attempting to site a project to
actually realizing steel in the ground between the NEPA
reviews, the environmental impact statements, the traditional
air and water permitting processes, and the local, state, and

federal permitting processes along the way.

3337

3356

- I am not suggesting that we sacrifice the environmental reviews, or the environmental integrity of any of that, but I think we do need to find ways that we can do more things in parallel, as opposed to in sequence, and that we can get to yes and no answers much more quickly in these processes.
- \*Mr. Armstrong. Yes, and I think actually, I mean,
  people talk about pipelines, we talk about transmission
  lines. The hardest thing to permit over a federal waterway
  is a highway. I mean, year in and year out, that is what
  takes longer than everything else.
- So, I mean, I will have plenty of time to fight with my 3348 colleagues about what sources of energy that are -- and we 3349 will probably go into it in the next minute and 45 seconds. 3350 3351 But I think, realistically, we have to do a better job of protecting the environment, but getting permitting done. 3352 Otherwise, first of all, private capital is going to be 3353 chased away because the time constraints just take too long. 3354 3355 And secondly, it is -- I mean, time value of money and energy

are really important.

But one of the other things I just wanted to talk about 3357 is when we talk about renewables versus other sources of 3358 energy, we don't talk about the economics of producing energy 3359 well enough. Because in North Dakota we do -- about 29 3360 3361 percent of our grid is renewables. But over the last month, when it has been 20 below, it has dropped under 3 percent. 3362 And for a very windy state, it has been unquestionably calm. 3363 3364 So coal and natural gas, between -- part of it -- and the other thing we don't talk enough about is primacy on the 3365 3366 grid, which is where -- one of the ways where low natural gas prices are an advantage against coal, but where they really 3367 have an advantage against coal is being able to start up and 3368 3369 scale down, depending on the amount of energy. And you have seen some of this in Texas in the last 2 days. 3370 So to oversimplify this in any way, shape, or form --3371 but a coal plant or a natural gas plant has to be 3372 economically viable when they are at -- when -- in North 3373 3374 Dakota, they are 70 percent of the grid because we need them when they are 97 percent of the grid. And we don't spend 3375 3376 enough time talking about that. And I can just guarantee you, when we introduce a bill 3377 that somehow harms a wind subsidy in North Dakota, the reason 3378 every wind lobby is -- from across the country flies into 3379 3380 North Dakota is not because they care about the environment.

It is because it has become incredibly lucrative.

- have done policies where we allow people to sell energy onto
- 3383 the grid for less than it costs us to produce. And then,
- when we get into these severe weather actions, we run into
- resiliency problems and we run into reliability problems.
- 3386 And with that I will yield back.
- \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 3388 recognizes the gentlelady from Florida, Ms. Castor, with the
- 3389 aspirational background.
- We are all jealous of you, Kathy. You are recognized
- 3391 for 5 minutes.
- \*Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Chairman Rush. This is a
- 3393 very important and timely hearing, and I want to thank our
- 3394 witnesses today, as well.
- I am really thinking about all of the folks all across
- 3396 the State of Texas, and what they are going through. So we
- 3397 really have a responsibility to work together to ensure that
- 3398 this kind of thing doesn't happen again. The problem is
- these climate-fueled disasters are coming faster, and they
- 3400 are costing us more. So we have a lot of work to do together
- 3401 on this.
- To the witnesses, I wanted to ask you about some of the
- 3403 recommendations that we included last year in the big Select
- 3404 Committee on the Climate Crisis, our Solving the Climate
- 3405 Crisis report. They relate to resiliency in our electricity
- 3406 system and infrastructure.

- We recommended that we develop federal resilience
  standards for electricity infrastructure, authorizing DoE to
  identify and evaluate climate-related risks to the electric
  grid, in partnership with states and local communities in the
  private sector, and build in the priorities of consumers.
- We recommended that the Department of Energy, FERC, and
  NERC work with the Mitigation Framework Leadership Group to
  develop resiliency standards so that, when we are federally
  funding these infrastructure upgrades, they have to come
  along with appropriate standards.
- We also recommended improving planning and cost
  allocation for transmission lines, something that you all
  have discussed a little bit already, and helping states
  harden their physical grid infrastructure and improve
  maintenance to make the grid more resilient.
- Now, when we are talking about the modernization and
  expansion of the grid, the macrogrid in America, I would
  think that it would be wise, if we are making those kind of
  federal investments, that they have to be paired with these
  kind of resiliency priorities. I want to ask you all if you
  agree. And do you highlight one over the other?
- 3428 First, Dr. Pacala.
- \*Dr. Pacala. I can be quick. I do believe that we need resiliency requirements as we develop the grid. Even if we didn't develop the grid to be more decarbonized, we need

- resiliency measures, additional resiliency measures.
- 3433 \*Ms. Castor. Mr. Powell?
- 3434 \*Mr. Powell. Absolutely, Congresswoman. But one thing
- 3435 I will note is I think storage could play a big role in this,
- 3436 if we thought of storage as a transmission asset alongside a
- 3437 distribution asset, and we have more ability to move energy
- 3438 and time, as opposed to just in space. I think that could be
- 3439 a really powerful part of this, as well, and could increase
- 3440 resilience.
- \*Ms. Castor. Yes, and I think folks agree on that. And
- 3442 when we are looking at the economic recovery package, we want
- 3443 to do more on storage. I mean, my friends from the natural
- 3444 gas areas, remember, it was federal investments that led to
- 3445 the expansion of natural gas. And now it is time to mitigate
- 3446 the damage that climate change is doing, and help put the R&D
- into those cleaner sources of energy.
- 3448 Mr. Gordon, what do you think about these important
- 3449 resiliency requirements, having the Congress authorize new
- 3450 requirements directing the federal Department of Energy to do
- so, as we expand and modernize the grid across the country?
- \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman Castor. I think it
- is a great idea. We are -- we would be fully supportive of
- 3454 that.
- And just to clarify, I think you may have said that, "if
- 3456 the federal government is investing in a lot of the

- 3457 transmission infrastructure.'' And I think -- I am not sure
- 3458 if that was the intent, but the transmission system, by and
- large, is owned by private companies today. And it is a
- 3460 patchwork grid that wasn't really designed for the future
- 3461 that we have to plan for.
- And so what we do really need to do is make sure that
- 3463 the transmission-owning utilities are working in concert with
- 3464 each other, both regionally and interregionally, to make sure
- that electrons can flow seamlessly long distances in order to
- 3466 make sure that everyone has a higher degree of resiliency in
- 3467 the grid.
- 3468 \*Ms. Castor. Well, I think we envisioned significant
- 3469 federal cooperation and investment and modernization and
- 3470 upgrading of the grid, and that has got to come in
- 3471 partnership with private utilities, public utilities, and the
- 3472 rest. And it would seem that we are on the cusp now, coming
- out of the COVID pandemic -- hopefully, soon -- and the
- 3474 economic turmoil that it has wrought, that this can be a
- 3475 source of hundreds of thousands of good-paying jobs in
- 3476 infrastructure and construction.
- 3477 And Dr. Pacala, I think the Academies -- in your report
- 3478 you focused a little bit on this. What is the potential
- 3479 here?
- 3480 \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady's time is up.
- 3481 \*Ms. Castor. We will take that for the record.

- 3482 \*Mr. Rush. All right.
- \*Ms. Castor. Thank you very much --
- 3484 \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now
- 3485 recognizes Mr. Pence, who has returned on screen.
- Mr. Pence, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
- \*Mr. Pence. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can you hear me now?
- 3488 Thank you, Chair Rush and Republican Leader Burgess, for
- 3489 holding this hearing today. And thanks to the witnesses for
- your insight on decarbonization in the U.S. energy industry.
- Like many of my colleagues on this committee, I support
- 3492 an all-of-the-above approach to our energy supply and power
- 3493 generation. Access to abundant, reliable energy sources is
- 3494 beneficial for the customer, the economy, and for our
- 3495 national security and safety, as we are, unfortunately,
- 3496 seeing so drastically in Texas in the last few days.
- I agree with my friends across the aisle that renewables
- 3498 should play an important role in the future of our energy
- 3499 supply. Indiana's sixth district is doing its part to
- implement innovative clean energy technologies.
- North Vernon, Indiana was the first city government in
- 3502 the state to be entirely powered by solar energy. The street
- 3503 lights, buildings, traffic signals are all powered by
- 3504 locally-sourced solar energy.
- 3505 Cummins Engine Company -- just mentioned the over-the-
- 3506 road diesel emissions -- is located in my hometown in

- Columbus, Indiana. It is an international leader in heavy-3507 3508 duty electric engines. And in 2020 alone, Cummins won 5 Department of Energy awards, the most of any company to 3509 advance production of fuel cell technologies. So, Doctor, I 3510 3511 hope that one wins out. And in the State of Indiana, wind energy production has 3512 doubled over the past decade, accounting for 6 percent of 3513 energy produced in Indiana. Hoosiers do not have a top-down 3514 federal mandate to thank for this progress. This progress is 3515 3516 attributed to improve economic costs and a free-market response to the growing demand for diverse energy production. 3517 It is in our best interest to support both the efforts 3518 to expand renewable energy capacity and access to fossil 3519 fuels like natural gas and coal. They provide robust 3520 baseload energy we need for a regional electric grid. 3521 As Mr. Camp mentions in his testimony, natural gas plays 3522 a critical role in local economic development, emissions 3523 reduction, and lower consumer utility bills. It is also a 3524 driver for good-paying manufacturing jobs that use natural 3525
- gas for feedstock in the production process of plastics and
  chemicals in everyday consumer goods in the manufacturing,
  which is so important to the State of Indiana. We need a
  robust network of pipelines to extend those benefits to parts
  of the country that do not have locally-sourced supplies of
  natural gas.

Before coming to Congress, I personally shipped through 3532 3533 pipelines, rail, and trucking companies. I know firsthand that nothing is safer for the environment and human lives 3534 than the pipelines that move reliable sources of energy to 3535 3536 every corner of our country. If we are serious about maintaining a reliable energy source and competitiveness, low 3537 3538 prices for consumers, then a diverse energy supply is paramount. 3539 Mr. Powell, running along the Ohio River in Madison, 3540 3541 Indiana the Clifty Creek Power Plant burns coal for electricity generation, producing enough energy to power a 3542 city of 1 million people. Since the plant was constructed in 3543 3544 the 1950s, the Clifty Creek Power Plant has invested more 3545 than \$1 billion in environmental upgrades and efficiencies. 3546 Congress passed several provisions in the omnibus bill relating to clean coal innovation, including the 45Q tax 3547 3548 credit extension for carbon capture, as well as demonstration 3549 programs to explore alternative uses for coal. Mr. Powell, can you speak to the importance of these provisions, and how 3550 3551 the Biden Administration can approach the implementation in accordance with congressional intent? 3552 3553 \*Mr. Powell. Absolutely. Thanks so much, Congressman, thank you for your attention to these issues, this important 3554 3555 support for carbon capture technology.

For facilities like the one you are discussing, I think

- 3557 the important thing now is, first, demonstrating that we can
- 3558 bring down the cost of coal carbon capture technology. So
- 3559 that is the first thing that DoE needs to do. Right now 45Q
- isn't quite enough to probably justify putting carbon capture
- on those facilities. We need to bring the price down a
- 3562 little further.
- 3563 And so the demonstration program set up at DoE will now
- 3564 authorize public-private partnerships to do more
- 3565 demonstrations on facilities like yours to capture those
- 3566 emissions in cost share with private-sector players and with
- 3567 private-sector utilities. So I think that is the first
- 3568 thing.
- And then, once we have brought the cost down further to
- 3570 where it is more economic, 45Q hopefully will be able to take
- over. We may need to think about further extensions of 45Q
- in the future to continue helping support that technology and
- 3573 that deployment.
- 3574 \*Mr. Pence. Thank you, I yield back.
- 3575 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back.
- Mr. Pacala, you asked that you be excused at 2:45. Do
- you still need to be excused from the hearing?
- \*Dr. Pacala. Well, I do have a National Academies
- webinar with 3,000 people signed up that starts at 3:00, and
- 3580 they can soldier on without me if I am needed. But if not,
- 3581 then I am happy to make that gig.

- \*Mr. Rush. So if -- we would love for you to continue
- as a witness, but you have to make the call. Do you need to
- 3584 be excused?
- \*Dr. Pacala. Yes, that would be best.
- \*Mr. Rush. Well, we thank you, Mr. Pacala, for your
- 3587 time. You have really made this hearing worthwhile, very
- interesting, and we certainly appreciate all your
- 3589 contributions to this area.
- \*Dr. Pacala. I want to thank you, Chairman Rush, and
- 3591 every member of the committee for your service in the
- 3592 nation's interest. There is no more important issue today
- 3593 than the one that you are in charge of. So thank you.
- \*Mr. Rush. All right, very good. You are excused. And
- 3595 now the chair recognizes the gentleman from Vermont for 5
- 3596 minutes for questioning.
- Mr. Welch, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
- \*Mr. Welch. Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr.
- 3599 Chairman.
- First of all, I want to say one of the best experiences
- 3601 I had in Congress was going to a coal mine in West-by-God
- 3602 Virginia with David McKinley. And Vermont is not coal
- 3603 country, but I got to tell you I really admired those
- 3604 hardworking coal miners who kept the lights on in our barns
- and schools for so long.
- 3606 And I want to say to Mr. Camp I really admire the

hardworking folks that you are here representing. 3607 3608 whatever it is we do, there has to be enormous respect paid to people who have been, really, the pioneers and the hard 3609 workers in keeping our lights on, keeping our economy going. 3610 3611 But having -- there is also something that Mr. -- I think Mr. Powell said: disruption is happening. And many of 3612 our major utilities have adopted zero emission goals. 3613 whether it is market forces, whether it is business changes, 3614 whether it is the awareness of climate change and carbon 3615 3616 emissions playing a big role in that, change is here. And I think the challenge for us is to come up with 3617 pragmatic policies that are all-of-the-above approach to 3618 3619 addressing the changes that we need. But as we do it, never forget the people who have contributed. And we have to 3620 acknowledge that there is some disruption, and we have got to 3621 mitigate that for communities that are affected. 3622 One of the approaches that makes a lot of sense for me 3623 is energy efficiency. And Ms. Glover, I want to ask you --3624 congratulations on your position, I really appreciate your 3625 3626 leadership, and -- of the Alliance. But we have some bipartisan bills in this legislature, in this committee: 3627 the Main Street Efficiency Act, and the HOPE for HOMES 3628 legislation. Could you comment on, A, efficiency; and B, why 3629

those 2 pieces of legislation would be helpful?

\*Ms. Glover. Excuse me, I didn't realize I was muted; I

3630

apologize. Thank you, Congressman, for your leadership and for that question.

You know, the Main Street Efficiency Act is particularly 3634 important to us, and we really do appreciate, you know, your 3635 3636 leading on that, because it does allow small businesses to have a place in this conversation, and they have a role that 3637 they can play. And as we talk about economic recovery for 3638 our country, and the importance of small business, we believe 3639 that the Main Street Efficiency Act and giving grants not 3640 3641 only to small businesses and particularly those in distressed communities and minority-owned businesses so that they can 3642 better improve the efficiency of their own spaces, whether 3643 that is building efficiency and/or maybe even manufacturing 3644 processes, but at the same time supporting small businesses 3645 to be able to do that work is a double win. 3646

Additionally, we also believe that investments in homes 3647 3648 and retrofits so that they are more efficient is also a 3649 double win. It is a win in that it allows people to save money, it allows us to save energy in our use on the grid and 3650 3651 builds resilience, but it also can be a really big economic driver. The cost to enter the efficiency spaces of small 3652 business, it is a low barrier. It is not like other areas, 3653 other sectors of the industry. And so anything that we can 3654 do to not only encourage small businesses and residents to 3655 3656 take advantage of these opportunities to participate, as well

- 3657 as take advantage of what it provides is a really good --
- 3658 \*Mr. Welch. That is great, thank you. Because that --
- it is local control, business control, homeowner control,
- 3660 community control, community jobs.
- 3661 Let me ask Mr. Gordon. The Administration has a goal of
- 3662 clean energy by 2035. Representative Clarke and I have
- introduced a renewable energy standard which would have as a
- 3664 goal 55 percent renewable by 2030. We have heard how
- 3665 absolutely important it is for our generation folks to have
- 3666 some reliability. How would a 10-year renewable energy
- 3667 standard, combined with a clean energy standard, allow for
- 3668 certainty of the electrical generator community? And how
- 3669 would that help us with a clean energy economy?
- 3670 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman Welch, for that
- 3671 question.
- I think, as you point out, business certainty is huge
- 3673 for major infrastructure investments. And so having a 10-
- year program, whether it is a clean energy standard or a
- 3675 renewable energy standard, gives us the certainty we need to
- 3676 know that customers are going to be buying for that period of
- 3677 time, at a minimum.
- And normally what happens, as soon as they start buying
- 3679 a little, they start buying a little bit more, because the
- 3680 economics are so positive for them and for their customers.
- 3681 And so I think just giving a little nudge to the market

- 3682 through programs like this really gets the ball moving.
- And I think, you know, what we have seen is massive
- interest, you know, over the last 5 years from, historically,
- 3685 the biggest coal utilities in the country: the American
- 3686 Electric Powers, for instance, they are going big on wind
- 3687 right now. So all it takes is a nudge. You get the policy
- 3688 direction set, you give the certainty to the investors and
- the developers because these projects take 5 to 7 years to
- develop, and you have got to get them onto the grid, which
- 3691 can take even more time and more money.
- 3692 So we need that long horizon in order to make those type
- of investments.
- \*Mr. Welch. Thank you very much.
- 3695 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.
- 3696 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. The chair now
- 3697 recognizes Mr. Schrader for 5 minutes. I don't see any
- 3698 additional Republican members -- I am sorry.
- 3699 Mr. Palmer, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
- 3700 \*Mr. Palmer. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am sitting way
- out here to your right, so it may have made me hard to see.
- 3702 I yield to the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Burgess, for -- may
- 3703 consume.
- \*Mr. Burgess. I thank the gentleman for yielding and,
- of course, Mr. Palmer, here to the right of all of us, so
- 3706 that is no great surprise.

So Mr. Powell, you are still here. Let me ask you a 3707 3708 question. I tried to ask you one earlier, and it got taken by another witness. But that is okay. I got a good answer, 3709 so it gave me something to work on. But you talked about the 3710 3711 45Q tax credit. Are you familiar with Petra Nova Coal Plant in Houston, and the fact that it has been closed since 3712 3713 September because it could not meet the operating costs, or the operating costs were -- exceeded any ability for it to 3714 meet those because of the reduction in energy prices that 3715 3716 occurred with the COVID pandemic? So could you speak to that issue? It -- right now it 3717 just seems criminal that that plant is shuttered with the 3718 state so badly needing electricity. And granted, it is in 3719 the southern part of the state, but every little bit helps 3720 right now. But could you speak to that? 3721 Sure. Absolutely, Congressman. 3722 \*Mr. Powell. 3723 certainly does seem tragic at the moment that, you know, not 3724 just a coal-fired power plant, but a coal-fired power plant operating with very low emissions is not running, you know, 3725 3726 at this very moment of kind of energy scarcity in the state. You know, to take a big step back on Petra Nova, I think 3727 we should all remember that was a demonstration project, and 3728 it worked as intended, so it clearly demonstrated host 3729 3730 combustion carbon capture on a coal-fired power plant.

3731

[Audio malfunction.]

- 3732 \*Mr. Powell. It has worked very well at sequestering
- 3733 more than 2 million tons, it put it safely underground into
- 3734 an -- used it for -- recovery.
- Overall, the economics of the project worked, even in
- 3736 the absence of --
- 3737 [Audio malfunction.]
- 3738 \*Mr. Powell. It wasn't able to capture those 45Q -- it
- 3739 wasn't able to capture those 45Q benefits. It was able to
- 3740 capture some of the revenues from the enhanced oil recovery
- 3741 project that it was associated with. But unfortunately,
- 3742 when, you know, the COVID pandemic hit, oil prices crashed,
- 3743 and all gas prices crashed, as well, in Texas and the gas-
- 3744 fired production is so expensive it just no longer made sense
- 3745 to run that plant.
- 3746 So you know, I think it worked very well as a technical
- 3747 demonstration. And now we need to go forward with the next
- 3748 generation of combustion capture to bring that price down a
- $^{3749}$  little bit further. And then, those would also be --  $^{45Q}$  --
- 3750 it probably would be a lot closer to an economic operation if
- 3751 you were to, say, do a Petra Nova --
- 3752 \*Mr. Burgess. Right. Well, when we were working on one
- of the coronavirus response packages last summer that didn't
- 3754 actually get passed into law, I worked with Senator Cornyn
- 3755 here in Texas to get extension of the 45Q tax credit, and I
- 3756 also worked with Mr. Crenshaw to get that extended to natural

- 3757 gas generating facilities.
- 3758 But it seems to me that having the stability of that --
- 3759 I mean, that credit is going to expire. So it makes it
- 3760 harder to plan a big capital-intensive project like that if
- 3761 the tax credit is going to evaporate. So it just seems to me
- 3762 -- and again, maybe we will get a chance to revisit this with
- one of the coronavirus response things. We haven't so far
- 3764 had any ability for bipartisan input. But Mr. Cornyn and I -
- 3765 or Senator Cornyn and I, our contribution last summer was
- 3766 to extend this 45Q tax credit to provide perhaps a little bit
- 3767 more stability for major projects like this.
- And I just think that is such an important part of this,
- and we can't lose sight of it. We have got the technology.
- 3770 We are doing what everyone asked us to do: produce
- 3771 electricity with coal with zero -- near zero emissions and,
- 3772 as you correctly point out, the enhanced oil field recovery
- 3773 on the other side of it. It really was a win-win-win
- 3774 proposition. And again, right now, tragically, it is
- 3775 shuttered and not contributing to the very necessary baseload
- 3776 of electricity in Texas.
- 3777 So just in general, and the question that I had asked
- 3778 earlier that kind of got taken up by another witness, but
- just in general, your thoughts on decarbonization,
- 3780 renewables, resiliency of the grid -- in short, could you
- 3781 summarize that?

- 3782 \*Mr. Powell. Absolutely. I think -- let's take the
- 3783 Texas example. I think what we have seen very clearly is
- that we need a more resilient grid with a mix of resources.
- I think there are a number of highly-resilient, advanced
- 3786 technologies that could help in situations like this, and
- 3787 they could help companies grids all over the country when
- 3788 they are going to be dealing with situations like this --
- 3789 [Audio malfunction.]
- 3790 \*Mr. Powell. -- carbon capture, that is enhanced
- geothermal, and that is energy storage, so that we can take
- 3792 the great low-cost energy from wind and solar, and then we
- 3793 can move it around through time, right, because that is a
- 3794 more variable energy source. So I think technology can be a
- 3795 big answer in all of this.
- But the real key is that we need a broad portfolio, a
- 3797 really resilient mix. We don't want to have all our eggs in
- any one or a few baskets in this. We need a lot of options,
- 3799 especially because, if we are going to have different parts
- 3800 -- we are going to be --
- 3801 [Audio malfunction.]
- 3802 \*Mr. Powell. -- extreme weather.
- 3803 \*Mr. Burgess. Great answer, I appreciate that.
- Mr. Chairman, if I may, I would -- I do need to point
- out that one of the hazards of an interconnected grid is that
- 3806 problems can spread more rapidly. And we need to bear that

- 3807 in mind, as well.
- 3808 And I will yield back.
- \*Mr. Rush. The acting ranking member yields back. The chair now recognizes Mr. Schrader for 5 minutes.
- \*Mr. Schrader. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I
  really appreciate this hearing. It is certainly timely, and
  I agree with folks that this is going to be, hopefully, one
  of the signature efforts of this particular Congress, as we
  get, hopefully, on the other side of this COVID epidemic.
- And my heart goes out to the folks in Texas and that 3816 part of the Midwest and South that are really getting hit by 3817 this terrible freezing cold weather. But I will point out to 3818 everybody my district has also, unfortunately, been in the 3819 throes of a once-in-a-century ice storm in the mid-Willamette 3820 Valley here in Oregon, and it has put hundreds of thousands 3821 of folks out of power. I got my power back yesterday, 5 days 3822 without heat, water, you know, just the ability to do pretty 3823 much anything. My fireplace came in handy. But it showcases 3824 and headlines, I think, some of the problems that we face out 3825 3826 here.
- Ours in the Pacific Northwest wasn't the result of frozen pipelines, but it was downed power lines with the trees. It points out, I think, we need to do a serious vegetative management and pursue some of the new federal policies this Congress and previous Congresses have put in

- 3832 place over the last several years to effectively harden our
- 3833 grid, if you will, just by minimizing some of the power
- 3834 problems that we are going to have due to overhead power
- 3835 lines.
- I just would say also -- I think it goes for every
- 3837 member on this panel -- I want to thank all the line crews.
- 3838 The efforts that these men and women have put in going 24/7,
- 3839 18-hour shifts, certainly in my mid-Willamette Valley, and I
- 3840 am sure it is true down in Texas, too, that they have done
- 3841 everything they can, trying to get Oregonians and Texans back
- 3842 online. So I really want to call that out, and appreciate
- 3843 their work.
- I guess I question -- well, a comment. I just agree
- 3845 with Congressman Welch and the work that Ms. Glover's power
- 3846 alliance is doing. I think that is critical. Energy
- 3847 efficiency is probably the least expensive, most efficient --
- 3848 no pun intended -- way to get reduction in carbon emissions
- 3849 and compliance with all our folks out there.
- But I was going to ask Mr. Gordon if he could talk, with
- the transmission line problems that we are having, the
- 3852 pipeline problems, could you talk a little bit about what
- does it mean to harden the grid, how do you have redundancy,
- 3854 what role putting power lines underground plays, and how
- 3855 economical all that is?
- 3856 Everyone wants to talk about building another plant, or

- doing more renewable, but there is a certain amount of just
- 3858 getting the transmission redundancy, I assume, that needs to
- 3859 occur. Could you comment on that?
- \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman Schrader. Yes, it
- is absolutely the case. What we need to harden the grid will
- 3862 be more high-voltage transmission lines, so that if one line
- 3863 trips off or is taken out by a tree, that there is redundancy
- in the system, which obviously improves the resiliency of the
- 3865 grid and hardens the grid. So, I mean, absolutely, that is
- 3866 imperative.
- As you might know, there is not a lot of public support
- 3868 for new transmission lines, so it is a tough one. You know,
- 3869 it is going to be the Achilles heel of making this transition
- 3870 happen, because what really needs to happen is more of these
- 3871 lines in order to harden the grid. Burying the lines is an
- option in some cases. The costs are higher, as well. So
- that has to be taken into consideration, of course.
- 3874 So there is no one easy solution, from a cost
- 3875 standpoint. But I think the solution from a technical
- 3876 standpoint is fairly clear.
- 3877 \*Mr. Schrader. I appreciate that. Maybe a role of
- 3878 Congress could be to incentivize some of the landowners to
- 3879 allow some of these transmission lines to go over or under
- 3880 their properties.
- 3881 Mr. Powell, what is the proper balance? We talked a

- little bit about our role in the United States and other 3882 governments, about global -- you know, globally balancing 3883 out, what is America's role, and how do we engage others to 3884 do their fair share.
- 3886 \*Mr. Powell. Thanks very much for the question, Congressman, and thanks for your leadership on the energy 3887 innovation topic, broadly. 3888

3889 It is a delicate balance. You know, when we think about some of these very aggressive goals, even some of the 3890 voluntary goals that have been made in the United States, the 3891 net zero goals, we do have to acknowledge those things are 3892 going to come with a cost, in all likelihood. And, you know, 3893 there may be near-term opportunities for cost savings, but it 3894 probably will mean more cost in the future. And that is why 3895 innovation is so important, because it can help drive down 3896 the costs of compliance. So hopefully we don't lose too much 3897 to American competitiveness and jobs during that period. 3898

And of course, if we don't drive down the costs, then we 3899 are not going to have the things to export to the rest of the 3900 3901 world that it will take so many other -- you know, Nigeria, Indonesia, the rapidly developing world, they don't have the 3902 rich resources that the United States does. They are making 3903 their decisions about building up their economies almost 3904 3905 entirely on the basis of the lowest-cost, nearest-term opportunities. So unless we give them better opportunities 3906

- 3907 to decarbonize their grids, as well, they are very unlikely
- 3908 to take them on.
- 3909 So I think it is a delicate balance, and it really
- 3910 highlights the need for innovation to drive down costs and
- improve performance.
- 3912 \*Mr. Schrader. Thank you very much. I hope American
- innovation and technology can contribute to that solution.
- 3914 And I yield back, Mr. Chairman, thank you.
- 3915 \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. I seem to have
- 3916 lost my visual, but can you hear me?
- 3917 Can you hear me?
- \*Voice. Yes, Mr. Chairman, loud and clear.
- 3919 \*Mr. Rush. All right, Ms. Kuster, you are recognized
- 3920 for 5 minutes.
- \*Ms. Kuster. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I
- 3922 wanted to, at the outset, insert into the record, if I could,
- 3923 2 articles: the first from the Texas Tribune, "Texas largely
- 3924 relies on natural gas for power. It wasn't ready for the
- 3925 extreme cold''; and the second, the New York Times article
- 3926 entitled, "How to Prevent the Next Texas Power Breakdown.''
- 3927 So I would seek permission to insert those into the record.
- 3928 \*Mr. Rush. Hearing no objections, so ordered.

3930

3932	[The information follows:]
3933	**************************************
3934	
3935	

- \*Ms. Kuster. Thank you, Chairman Rush and Acting
  Ranking Member Burgess, for holding this important hearing
  today. I am excited to be returning to the Energy
  Subcommittee and continuing our work across the aisle to
  advance policies to tackle climate change and advance clean
  energy solutions.
- I believe that the federal government must take bold

  action to invest in clean energy to achieve net zero carbon

  emissions because it is good for our health, it is good for

  the planet, and it will create millions of good-paying green

  jobs.
- As a recent National Academy of Sciences report found, 3947 the transition to net zero could provide quality jobs and 3948 economic benefits for American workers. One form of carbon-3949 3950 free energy that is ripe for expansion is hydropower. A 2016 DoE report outlined U.S. hydropower production could grow up 3951 to 150 gigawatts in 2050, producing enough carbon-free energy 3952 to power 36 million homes. We don't need to build new dams 3953 to achieve this goal. The Federal Energy Regulatory Energy 3954 3955 Commission has already identified hundreds of dams, including 4 in my district, that could be safely retrofitted to 3956 generate hydropower. 3957
- Mr. Gordon, my first question is for you. Would retrofitting, rehabilitating, and removing dams create quality jobs and help to decarbonize the energy system?

- \*Mr. Gordon. I am sorry, Congressman Kuster, can you
- 3962 repeat the question?
- \*Ms. Kuster. Sure. Would retrofitting, rehabilitating,
- 3964 and removing dams create quality jobs and help to decarbonize
- 3965 the energy system?
- 3966 \*Mr. Gordon. So our company does not operate in the
- 3967 hydro sector, so I am not sure I am qualified to answer that
- 3968 question.
- 3969 \*Ms. Kuster. Okay. Is there anyone else on the panel
- 3970 that wants to take a crack at that? If not, I will move on.
- \*Mr. Powell. I would be happy to, Congresswoman.
- 3972 \*Ms. Kuster. Sure.
- 3973 \*Mr. Powell. Thank you for your attention to this
- 3974 issue. We have got an enormous potential in retrofitting
- 3975 non-power dams in this country, literally thousands of
- 3976 potential opportunities for that. And I think there was just
- 3977 an important announcement between the National Hydro
- 3978 Association and American Rivers, where basically the
- 3979 conservation community and the hydropower community are
- 3980 coming together with some joint proposals about places where
- 3981 perhaps older, or non-used dams could be removed, and other
- 3982 non-power dams could be powered up, and so we could have a
- 3983 real win-win on conservation and producing more clean
- 3984 electricity. I think that there is an enormous opportunity
- 3985 there.

Terrific. Well, I am a big fan of that 3986 \*Ms. Kuster. 3987 approach, and I am a -- I know well Dan Reicher, formerly of the Department of Energy, who was involved in that 3988 negotiation. So thank you for bringing it up. 3989 3990 What I am interested in is, while I am a strong supporter of taking steps to reach net zero emissions, I 3991 believe we should also pursue negative-emission technologies 3992 that remove carbon directly from the atmosphere. And my time 3993 is short, so I am going back to Mr. Gordon, but if someone 3994 3995 else would like to respond, can you speak to the role that negative-emissions technologies have to play to help the 3996 planet achieve net zero emissions? 3997 3998 \*Mr. Gordon. Congressman Kuster, again, I am sorry, I am not informed on that topic --3999 4000 \*Ms. Kuster. All right. Anyone else want to take a stab at that? 4001 I am happy to also add, Congressman 4002 \*Mr. Powell. 4003 Kuster, and I apologize for the siren behind me here. But negative-emission technologies, I think, could play an 4004 4005 enormous role in this space. Most of the models of the future of decarbonized energy systems show that we will need 4006 to rely on, you know, perhaps around the world, billions of 4007

tons of this negative-emission technology. That could take a

devices, which capture things directly from the atmosphere.

That could take the form of mechanical

4008

4009

4010

number of forms.

- 4011 That could take the form of better forestry and soil
- 4012 management practices, where foresters and farmers could be
- 4013 compensated for pulling this out of the atmosphere. It could
- 4014 even take the form of ocean approaches, where we either grow
- 4015 more plants in the ocean, or do things to the ocean so that
- 4016 they become more of a sink for carbon dioxide.
- 4017 A ton of innovation is needed in this space. DoE is
- 4018 just getting started, and the broader federal energy
- 4019 innovation apparatus is just getting started. The private
- 4020 sector is also leading the way. You have seen major
- 4021 commitments from Microsoft, and Amazon, and a number of other
- 4022 major technology producers that are really investing deeply
- 4023 in this space, as well. So I think it is a space with a lot
- 4024 of movement.
- It is very early days, and it remains very expensive.
- 4026 Currently we need to focus on bringing the cost far, far down
- 4027 so it could be a real part of the mix.
- \*Ms. Kuster. Great. Thank you, Mr. Powell. My time is
- 4029 up, and I will yield back. Thank you for your expertise.
- 4030 \*Mr. Rush. I thank the gentlelady for yielding back.
- 4031 The chair's screen is frozen, and my time -- my clock is
- 4032 frozen, also. So -- but the audio is -- I can hear you. The
- 4033 audio is working fine. So the chair now recognizes Ms.
- 4034 Barragan for 5 minutes.
- 4035 And Ms. Barragan, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

```
*Ms. Barragan. Thank you, Chairman Rush, for this
4036
4037
      important hearing on solutions to reach a 100 percent clean-
      energy economy. We have seen the deadly cost associated with
4038
      the fossil fuel industry through extreme weather events
4039
4040
      influenced by climate change. Whether it is record wildfires
      in California or a polar vortex in Texas, we cannot drill,
4041
      mine, or frack our way out of the climate crisis.
4042
4043
           Instead, we need a massive investment in clean energy,
      energy efficiency, and battery storage combined with
4044
4045
      modernizing our grid for this century's challenges. By
      prioritizing these investments in environmental justice
4046
      communities, we can have a transformational impact on our
4047
4048
      economy and our climate.
           Mr. Chair, I would like to submit for the record a
4049
      February 16, 2021 article from The New York Times entitled,
4050
      "Texas Blackouts Hit Minority Neighborhoods Especially
4051
      Hard.''
4052
           *Mr. Rush. So ordered.
4053
           [The information follows:]
4054
4055
      *********COMMITTEE INSERT******
4056
```

- 4058 \*Ms. Barragan. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- Ms. Glover, I would like to start with you. It is
- 4060 critical for there to be racial equity in the new jobs
- 4061 created from our transition to clean energy. In California
- 4062 Latinos make up 34.4 percent of California's workforce, yet
- only 21.8 percent of the energy efficiency industry. Black
- 4064 workers are 9.8 percent of the workforce, yet only make up
- 4065 7.3 percent of the energy efficiency industry. How can the
- 4066 energy efficiency industry do more to prioritize minorities
- 4067 for training and support to enable them to obtain employment
- 4068 in energy efficiency business?
- \*Ms. Glover. Thank you, Congresswoman, for that
- 4070 question, and I appreciate your leadership.
- 4071 You know, through the summer the Alliance and the
- 4072 members of our coalition really started to talk very deeply
- 4073 about equity and the concerns of under-represented
- 4074 communities, and how we could do better. And we adopted a
- 4075 set of principles that would guide us, not only in our
- 4076 advocacy positions, but also we are working to support our
- 4077 companies and those that are part of our coalition.
- They are all really focused on trying to figure out how
- 4079 do they better attract people of color to their business, how
- 4080 do they reach out to them better, do a better job of that,
- 4081 identify those types of opportunities that people would be
- 4082 interested in and encourage them to participate.

- But additionally, we are looking at who our partners 4083 4084 should be who are already in these communities, who can really provide us the kind of quidance and direction that we 4085 need. And I would suggest that, you know, the entire 4086 4087 industry in some way is thinking about these problems and trying to figure it out. But we do need the help of leaders 4088 as yourself, such as yourself, as well as others in our 4089 4090 communities to help us do the right thing the right way. And what I mean by that is address the concerns of the 4091
- community in a way that they see them, and also make sure 4092 that we are encouraging investment in those communities so 4093 that, as you stated, they are also getting, not just jobs --4094 4095 I think jobs and for people to be employed is a great thing, but we have lots of entrepreneurial minds in our communities 4096 and people who have the ability to grow great businesses in 4097 terms of energy efficiency, and we want them to be a part of 4098 this industry and use that talent so that we can spread the 4099 work that we do throughout the country --4100
- \*Ms. Barragan. Thank you.
- \*Ms. Glover. -- quite frankly.
- \*Ms. Barragan. Thank you so much, Ms. Glover.
- Mr. Gordon, when I listen to my colleagues on the
  Republican side, they repeatedly talk about electricity
  prices being a consequence of the transition to a cleaner,
- 4107 healthier energy future. However, over the past 10 years the

- 4108 cost of wind power has dropped by 70 percent, solar power
- 4109 costs are down by 90 percent, and lithium ion batteries are
- 4110 -- for energy storage are -- and electric vehicles are down
- 4111 by 85 percent.
- Is the argument that clean energy is too expensive based
- 4113 in reality or outdated?
- 4114 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you for your question,
- 4115 Congresswoman. I think that is a very good question, and you
- 4116 are right to state the facts. The cost of new wind, new
- 4117 solar, new battery storage have declined significantly over
- 4118 the last 10 years. And so, when you are comparing, you know,
- 4119 the building of a new gas plant versus a new wind plant
- 4120 versus a new solar plant, wind and solar are competitive with
- 4121 both of those. And if you look at the stats, there is not a
- 4122 single coal plant being built in the United States in the
- 4123 contiguous 48 right now.
- 4124 On the other hand, you have significant builds in wind
- 4125 and solar. It is because the costs have come down so much
- 4126 that the utilities who own both renewables, nuclear, coal,
- gas, they see the future is very -- that is very clear to
- them, and it is going to be dominated by renewables. And so
- they are just making that move right now because of the
- 4130 costs.
- \*Ms. Barragan. Well, thank you for that. One thing we
- 4132 don't talk enough about is the cost of the impact on health

- 4133 and negative health impacts. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I
- 4134 yield back.
- \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. I just want to
- 4136 remind members I am having a technology problem. My screen
- 4137 is frozen, my clock is frozen. My audio is working just
- 4138 fine, so I am going to ask members -- you know, I can't see
- 4139 the clock, so please be mindful of the fact that, when your
- 4140 time is up, bring your questions to a conclusion.
- The chair now recognizes for 5 minutes the gentleman
- 4142 from Virginia, Mr. McEachin, for 5 minutes.
- 4143 [Pause.]
- \*Mr. Rush. Mr. McEachin?
- 4145 [Pause.]
- 4146 \*Mr. Rush. The chair now recognizes the gentlelady from
- 4147 Delaware, Ms. Blunt Rochester, for 5 minutes.
- \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman,
- 4149 for calling this important hearing. And I want to thank the
- 4150 witnesses, not only for your testimony, but for your
- 4151 perseverance.
- I hear every day from my constituents in Delaware about
- 4153 the impacts of climate change that are -- that they are
- 4154 already facing, whether it is the rising sea levels that
- flood our beaches, the changing seasons impacting our farmers
- in Delaware, or the extreme heat that endangers our most
- 4157 vulnerable citizens.

This week's extreme weather event in Texas and parts of 4159 the Midwest has highlighted the importance of investing in energy resilience. We need to work together to create a more 4160 climate-resilient energy system. We need to be better 4161 4162 prepared for future emergencies to better protect our constituents, which is why I introduced the Open Back Better 4163 Act last year, and why I plan to reintroduce it in the 4164 4165 upcoming weeks. As we start to rebuild our economy from the ongoing 4166 4167 public health pandemic, we need to be intentional. The Open Back Better Act invests in retrofits to ensure that our 4168 nation's critical infrastructure, such as hospitals, 4169 4170 libraries, and community centers are safer, cleaner, more energy efficient, and more resilient against future threats, 4171 while creating good-paying jobs and prioritizing those 4172 communities hardest hit by the COVID-19 pandemic. 4173 upgrades are critical to low-wealth communities and 4174 communities of color, which are so often disproportionately 4175 burdened by the impacts of public health emergencies and 4176 4177 national -- natural disasters. My questions are for Ms. Glover. 4178 First, Ms. Glover, I want to thank you and the coalition 4179

for all of your hard work, and also your leadership and

support for the Open Back Better Act. As you referenced in

your written testimony, the Open Back Better Act helps to

4158

4180

4181

- 4183 retrofit mission-critical buildings throughout the country.
- 4184 Can you please expand on why these efforts are so important,
- 4185 especially to low-wealth communities and communities of
- 4186 color?
- And how do we ensure that resiliency efforts include all
- 4188 communities?
- \*Ms. Glover. Sure. Thank you so much, Congresswoman,
- 4190 for the question and for your leadership on this issue.
- 4191 You know, I think, as we start to think about buildings
- in particular, and the importance that they place, a lot of
- the conversation that we have had over the last 6 months
- 4194 around equity is really focused on underinvestment or non-
- 4195 investment. And so it is really important for those
- 4196 communities that are the most disadvantaged that we start
- 4197 investing them -- in them first.
- And buildings -- and retrofitting buildings is a great
- 4199 way to do that, and an important way to do that, one, for
- 4200 those communities, particularly when we are talking about
- 4201 public buildings, being able to save money for localities on
- 4202 their energy costs -- and they can redirect those funds to
- 4203 other things that they have to take care of is one thing that
- 4204 this would do.
- Secondly, as you mentioned, the opportunity for jobs is
- 4206 a big one, right? And we are talking about not just a job on
- 4207 one building, but we are talking about giving people skills

- 4208 that they can carry on to do that work in all kinds of ways.
- 4209 And we are not talking about just college education jobs, but
- 4210 also blue jobs, green jobs, however you would like to
- 4211 describe them. And we are talking about giving people skills
- 4212 that are going to allow them to sustain themselves and their
- 4213 family over the long haul.
- And thirdly, I think, is an opportunity to give a
- demonstration to the community at large about why efficiency
- 4216 is important, what it can do for you. People get to see it
- in ways that they may not -- even if they can't see behind
- 4218 the walls, they see the effective impact of that work in
- 4219 their schools, in their mayor's offices, et cetera, and their
- 4220 hospitals.
- And so I think, you know, for all of those reasons, this
- 4222 work is critically important, and we have an opportunity to
- 4223 do it now. And if we are going to transition, we need to
- 4224 take care of these communities first, and we need to do it
- 4225 now.
- \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Excellent. Can you also tell us
- how Congress can help alleviate any real or even perceived
- 4228 risks for businesses and industries as we accelerate
- 4229 transition to a clean energy economy?
- 4230 \*Ms. Glover. I think the -- what Congress can do is to
- 4231 think about what businesses are really needing now, and
- 4232 address those needs. And that means hearing from people.

- A lot of what we learned with how we were trying to help
  small business, particularly out of the pandemic, what we
  learned sometimes is that the rush to put money out there
  sometimes doesn't hit the people that you want. And so I
  appreciate all of your deliberative efforts to make sure that
  what you are putting out into the market in terms of funding
  is very specific, and is going to hit the communities and
- And I just think that, in terms of energy efficiency, as
  we said, 99 percent of the energy efficiency job -- 99
  percent of the jobs -- well, no, all the jobs happen in 99
  percent of the counties across this country. That means we
  are all impacted by it, and we should do something with that.

intended -- that you intend.

- \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you so much, and I yield back the balance of my time. Thank you.
- \*Mr. Rush. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now recognizes Mr. O'Halleran for 5 minutes.
- \*Mr. O'Halleran. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and the
  panel, and also the members on the committee for the
  outstanding discussion today. It was a broad view of what
  the discussion is going to be for the next year, number of
  years.
- The energy industry has changed significantly in the last decade, as we all know. Electricity from coal has declined, our nation has become energy independent, and

- renewable energy technologies have put our nation on the path to continued carbon emission restrictions -- reductions, I am sorry.
- My district is facing the brunt of the transition away 4261 4262 from coal. As major plants continue to close, workers are laid off, and local economies are hurt. It is essential that 4263 new federal policies provide equality and opportunity for 4264 4265 rural communities that are too often left behind. Biden Administration pursues its robust climate agenda, I 4266 4267 look forward to putting forward bipartisan climate proposals that support innovation and energy security. 4268
- I will soon be introducing comprehensive legislation,
  the new Promise Act, to put impacted coal communities in the
  driver's seat, with economic development support for their
  economies and workers, mitigate the tax revenue losses, major
  plant closures that cost those -- cause local economies to
  have impact, empowers workers, and more, including job
  training.
- pr. Powell -- or Mr. Powell, I am sorry -- I appreciate your testimony highlighting the need for pragmatic policies to support in impacted communities and workers in the energy transition. Part of my legislation will authorize grant funding for communities to respond and repurpose coal-fired facilities for new energy production, manufacturing, and other proposal purposes. Could you comment on how this and

- other policy solutions could reduce the strain on assets and
- 4284 create real employment?
- \*Mr. Powell. Thank you so much, Congressman. Thank you
- 4286 for your support of USE IT Act and so much other legislation
- 4287 that has tried to bring forward carbon capture and these
- 4288 other important technologies. Thank you for the update in
- 4289 title, as well. I didn't get quite that far, but I will take
- 4290 it here.
- 4291 You know, I think that policy that tries to take
- 4292 advantage again of the existing infrastructure, as we
- 4293 discussed with Congressman Armstrong, has a lot of real
- 4294 merit. I mean, it is an absolute shame that units like the
- 4295 Navajo Generating Station that have all of the interconnects,
- 4296 probably a lot of boilers and other potential things that
- 4297 could be put back to use, aren't being taken advantage of
- 4298 right now.
- 4299 I would say the highest and best use for facilities like
- 4300 that are as demonstration sites for carbon capture
- 4301 technology. So, you know, continuing the existing use of
- 4302 those sites, and continuing the existing use of the fossil
- 4303 fuel assets, we know we need to crack that technology if we
- 4304 are going to resolve global emissions. We know we need to
- 4305 demonstrate that somewhere. Why shouldn't we prioritize
- 4306 disadvantaged communities?
- 4307 And if it is not carbon capture technology, I do think

- 4308 that there is a lot of other things that could be done with
- 4309 those units and assets. For example, advanced nuclear
- 4310 technologies might be one thing that you could put into
- 4311 repower an existing fossil generating plant like that. Low-
- 4312 carbon hydrogen also might be something that you could bring
- in, whether that is produced from fossil fuels, or carbon
- 4314 capture, or produced from renewable resources, it might be
- 4315 something that you could bring in to revitalize those
- 4316 facilities and reuse those assets.
- So I think that prioritizing communities that are facing
- 4318 this transition and prioritizing using those existing assets
- 4319 is the way to do this that both has the least impact on
- 4320 communities, and potentially is the most cost-effective way
- 4321 to do it, because you are using the existing assets.
- \*Mr. O'Halleran. Thank you, Mr. Powell.
- Mr. Gordon, a recent report stated that utility-scale
- 4324 energy storage installations will exceed 10 gigawatts by
- 4325 2021. I was proud to see my legislation signed into law last
- 4326 year, which the committee voted for also, which will provide
- 4327 technical assistance, identify barriers and financial
- 4328 resources from DoE to utilities serving rural communities.
- Could you discuss the importance of new energy storage
- 4330 technology being considered with transmission resource
- 4331 planning? Thank you.
- 4332 \*Mr. Gordon. Thank you, Congressman. Yes. And in

- fact, in your own district we have over 1,000 megawatts of
- 4334 combined solar and energy storage projects in development.
- 4335 So we are working with utilities in the state to address, you
- 4336 know, the -- their resource adequacy needs after they replace
- 4337 or decide not to build new fossil generation. So we are
- 4338 already in your district working right now to build
- 4339 significant amounts of projects.
- \*Mr. O'Halleran. Thank you very much. And I yield.
- 4341 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- \*Mr. Rush. The gentleman yields back. And with that,
- 4343 this concludes the witness questions and answers phase of the
- 4344 subcommittee.
- And I certainly want to thank each of witnesses for your
- 4346 participation in today's hearing. You have made this hearing
- 4347 a very, very meaningful and successful hearing. I want to
- 4348 also thank all the members for your fine questions that you
- 4349 asked of the witnesses, and the witnesses for your answering
- 4350 these questions.
- So, again, I want to thank our witnesses for your
- 4352 participation, and the witnesses are excused.
- I want to remind members that, pursuant to committee
- rules, that they have 10 business days to submit additional
- 4355 questions for the record to be answered by the witnesses who
- 4356 have appeared. I ask each witness to respond promptly to any
- 4357 such question that you may receive.

4358	And now I have a unanimous consent request to enter into
4359	the record the following documents. And the staff has agreed
4360	that, due to the high volume of documents for the record, the
4361	minority and the majority staff have come to an agreement on
4362	the completeness and of this list. And I will ask now
4363	that we enter these records and these documents into the
4364	record, rather, en bloc.
4365	And without objection, so ordered.
4366	[The information follows:]
4367	
4368	**************************************

```
*Mr. Rush. At this time the subcommittee stands --
4370
           *Mr. Burgess. Mr. Chairman? Mr. Chairman? Wait, this
4371
      is Burgess. Would you yield for another unanimous consent
4372
      request?
4373
4374
           *Mr. Rush. Oh, yes. I yield to Mr. Burgess.
           *Mr. Burgess. I just wanted to ask unanimous consent
4375
      that an article from E&E News discussing the Petra Nova plant
4376
4377
      that I talked about in Houston from September of 2020 -- I
      will have my staff get that to you, and I would ask unanimous
4378
4379
      consent to include that in the documents in the record, as
      well.
4380
           *Mr. Rush. Hearing no objection, so ordered.
4381
           [The information follows:]
4382
4383
      4384
```

```
*Mr. Rush. We will now -- and without objection now,

the subcommittee is adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 3:38 p.m., the subcommittee was

adjourned.]
```